**Université de Montréal** 

**The Global Structure of Hot Star Winds: Constraints from Spectropolarimetry** 

**Par** 

**Thomas Eversberg DIpartement de physique Faculté des arts et des sciences** 

**Thése présentée** à **la Faculté des études supérieures en vue de l'obtention du grade de Philosophie Doctor (Ph.D.) en physique** 

**Septembre, 1999** 

©Thomas Eversberg, 1999





# **National Library**

"I **uisitions and Acquisitions et** 

Otlawa ON K1A ON4 Canada

**Bibliothèque nationale** 

**Bb** iographic **Services services bibliographiques** 

Ottawa ON K1A ON4 Canada

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre rélévence

**The author bas granted a nonexclusive licence aiiowing the National Libmy of Canada to reprduce, loan, distn'bute or seli copies of this thesis in microform, paper or electronic formats.** 

**The author retains ownership of the copyright in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts fiom it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.** 

L'auteur a accordé une licence non **exclusive permettant** à **la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distn'buer ou venQe des copies de cette thèse sous la forme de microfiche/film, de reproduction sur papier ou sur format électronique.** 

**L'auteur conserve la propri6té du droit d'auteur qui protège cette thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.** 

0-612-48767-9



Université de Montréal **Faculté des études supérieures** 

**Cette thèse intitulée:** 

**The Global Structure of Hot Star Winds: Constraints from Spectropolarimetry** 

**présentée par:** 

**Thomas Eversberg** 

**a étB évaluée par un jury composé des personnes suivantes:** 

**Pierre Bastien, président-rapporteur Anthony Moffat, directeur de recherche Giiies Fontaine, membre du jury Katen Bjorkman, examinateur externe** 

**Thèse acceptée le:** . . . . . .. .

# **"Es** *gzbt keine* **grofien** *Entdeckungen solange noch* **eh**  *Kznd auf Erden* Hunger *leidet.* )'

 $\bullet$ 

*("There can't be* **great** *discoveries,* **os** *long* **as one** *chdd* **on** *earth* 

ia *still storving.")* 

*(Albert Einstein)* 

Für *Barbara, Johannes* **und Verena** 

 $\overline{a}$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

# **Contents**





.

vi



 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

vii

# **List of Figures**

 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ 





 $i\mathbf{x}$ 



 $\bullet$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  . The set of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

# **List of Tables**



 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 

# RÉSUMÉ

**.4h** de pouvoir analyser la structure du vent **dans** les étoiles chaudes, trois **projets de recherche différents** ont été réalisés:

- **1.** j'ai étudié des sous-structures dans le vent de l'étoile O super-géante C Puppis pour obtenir des informations concernant la similarité et le lien évolutif entre les étoiles O et **leurs** descendantes, les etoiles Wolf-Rayet;
- 2. j'ai participe à la **mise** en **œuvre** du nouveau spectro-polarimètre William-Wehlau pour l'observation de sources ponctuelles. Après une description de l'instrument, les premiers résultats pour un échantillon d'étoiles stan**dard** sont **donnés. Les** problèmes physiques et techniques sont **soulignés** et différentes solutions sont proposées;
- 3. je présente l'étude **du** vent de **l'étoile** binaire WR+O, **9** Velorum, réalisée <sup>à</sup> partir d'observations obtenues avec le spectro-polarimètre William-Wehlau. Les variations du vent et leur interprétation sont rapportées.

## 1.) De denses régions éjectées dans le vent de l'étoile O super**géante** ( **Puppis**

CPup **est** l'étoile de type O la plus brillante **dans** le ciel **et,** par conséquent, **une** étoile de prédilection pour l'étude des étoiies **trés massives. Les étoiies de type O sont supposées être les parents des étoiies WoKRayet (WR) (Langer et** ai. 1994). **Les** étoiies **WR** et **quelques** étoiies de type O **montrent des raies** d'émission dans leur spectre optique; **ces** raies sont interprétées **comme** la manifestation de matière éjectée sous forme de **vent** stellaire. **Les** étoiles WR sont **connues** pour présenter, **dans** leurs fortes raies d'émission, de petites variations stochastiques attribuées à des zones de matière denses éjectées par l'étoile (" clumps": Moffat & Robert 1992). Ces régions denses sont créées par des chocs **dûs** à des éléments turbulents qui se déplacent à des vitesses supersoniques dans le vent (Henriksen **1994)** et qui sont entretenues par des instabilités radiatives (Owocki 1994). La question demeure à savoir si les étoiies de type O, avec leur vent plus faible mais parfois aussi plus rapide et d'opacité plus faible, présentent des structures similaires dans leurs raies d'émission. Et, si de telles structures existent, **quels** sont leurs paramètres physiques? **<sup>I</sup>**

Pour répondre à ces questions, nous avons observé  $\zeta$  Pup durant deux deminuits avec le télescope de 3,6m du CFH (Canada-France-Hawaii). Nous avons obtenu **une** série temporelle de spectres (environ toutes les 10 minutes) à haut rapport signal sur bruit et à très haute résolution spectrale ( $\sim 1000/\text{pixel} =$ 0.03A) de la raie en émission Hen X4686, **sur une** durée totale de **5** heures par nuit. Après un traitement soigné des données , nous avons additionné tous les spectres et **formé** ainsi un spectre moyen par nuit qui a été soustrait des spectres individuels. Dans les résidus des spectres, nous avons trouvé des sous-structures **qui** se déplacent toujours du centre de la raie vers les bords. En outre, toutes **ces** structures ont tendance à disparaitre **avec** le temps, l'intensité augmentant tout d'abord puis chutant. Nous avons montré que toute la raie He **n** X 4686 était affectée par cette variabilité, ce qui est compatible avec la supposition que tout le vent de **C** Pup est également sujet à une telle variabilité. **Nous** notons que le **u**  degré de variabilité **est** légèrement plus élevé pour **L'aile** bleue.

**Le** fait de **suivre** temporellement la sous-structure la plus évidente nous permet d'estimer **son** accdération **moyenne (Robert** 1992). En supposant que les stmctures **les** plus rapides **se** déplacent radialement et que les **stmctures stables**  en vitesse ont un déplacement quasi-perpendiculaire à **fa ligne de visée, nous** 

pouvons estimer leur mouvement selon les dinérentes valeurs du paramètre 0 **dans**  l'expression de la loi de vitesse standard<sup>1</sup> et l'angle de visée. Nous avons trouvé que la valeur standard de  $\beta = 0.8$  est trop petite dans le cas de l'étoile  $\zeta$  Pup et que  $\beta \sim 1.1$  est plus réaliste (ceci en accord avec le récent travail théorique de **Puls** et al. 1996).

En considérant des paramètres prédits auparavant pour la vitesse terminale v, **(Puls et ai. 1996)** et le **rayon** stellaire & **(Kudritzki** et al. **1983),** nous montrons que les régions denses dans le **vent** de **C** Pup apparaissent à une petite distance de l'étoile  $R \sim 1.2R_{\star}$  et disparaissent à un rayon  $R \sim 2R_{\star}$ . Il faut remarquer que cette distance modeste est probablemeht la raison pour expliquer **moins** de **variabilité dans** l'aile rouge de la raie d'émission, par un effet **d'ombre** lorsque 1'4toile elle-même cache une **partie du vent variable sur L'aile** rouge de la raie.

**Les** régions denses **détectées dans** le vent de **C** Pup nous amènent à une des plus importantes conséquences de nos observations. **Les** simulations théoriques pour la prédiction du **taux** de perte de **masse** M via L'ajustement de **la** raie Ha **7**  supposent généralement des vents homogènes, sans parties plus denses. Or, nous **avons** montré que la raie He n , **formée** encore plus proche de l'étoile que **la** raie **Ha, révèle** une **structure** morcelée du **vent.** Comme **le** flux de **la** raie de recombinaison est ptoportionel au **carré** de la densité de matière, **les taux** de **perte** de masse théoriques seront sous-estimés **lorsque** des vents seront supposés être homogènes, au **moins dans** le **cas** de **CPup,** et vraisemblablement pour **toutes** les étoiles O, si elles montrent également des régions **denses** dans leur vents étendus.

**2.) Le spectro-polarim&tre WiIliam-Wehlau** : **détermination des quatre paramétres de Stokes pour la polarisation des 6toiles chaudes** 

 $I_v(r) = v_{\infty}(1 - R_{\star}/r)^{\beta}$  avec typiquement  $\beta = 0.8$  pour les vents d'étoiles OB. Remarquez  $q$ ue plus  $\beta$  est grand, plus le vent a une vitesse faible à un rayon donné.

Pour l'étude de **dinérents** types d'étoiles, les coliaborateurs **des** Universités de Western Ontario, de Brandon et de Montréal ont développé et construit un nouveau **polarim&tre ajustable** au foyer Cassegrain de différents télescopes. Ce polarimètre, couplé à un spectrographe standard, peut devenir un spectropolarimètre. L'instrument est ainsi appelé spectro-polarimétre William-Wehlau2. Nous l'avons utilisé au **cours** de quatre missions d'observations à IrObsenmtoire Austral **de l'université** de Toronto (University of Toronto Southeni O bservatory, **UTSO)** et a **1'0 bsenmtoire** du Mont-Mégantic (OMM).

L'instrument utilise **les** techniques **habituelles** pour mesurer **la** polarisation de la lumière : **une lame** retardatrice décale **l'angle** de phase d'une des **deux**  composantes perpendiculaires de la lumière polarisée d'un angle *r,* un polariseur **les** sépare en **deux** faisceau, qui suivent la loi de réfraction. **Les** deux faisçeaux, ordinaire et extraordinaire, sont envoyés au **moyen** de **fibres** optiques vers un spectrographe à detecteur CCD. **Les** deux faisceaux contiennent alors l'information complète polarimétrique et spectrale. **Pour** la calibration, un prisme de **Glan-**Taylor, qui polarise linéairement presque 100% toutes les longueurs d'onde de la lumière, peut être inséré devant les lames retardatrices.

L'extraction de l'information **polarimétrique** est faite suivant la méthode de Mueller. Il sera clairement démontré ci-dessous que, pour **la ddduction** des **quatre**  paramètres de Stokes  $(I(\lambda), Q(\lambda), U(\lambda))$  et  $V(\lambda)$ , nous devons utiliser de façon indépendante **deux** lames **quart-d'onde** identiques comme retardateurs.

**D'après** le **calcul** de Mueller et **les rbgles** d'algèbre matricielle, **nous** pouvons **calculer** les quatre **paramétres** de Stokes pour cette configuration **avec** un **retard**  *r* (idéalement de **90")** pour les deux **lames quart-d'onde.** Soit **A** le vecteur initial de **Stokes** *(I,Q,II,V),* et soit A' le **vecteur** final de **Stokes (P,Q',llf,Vf) après**  passage **B** travers des **deux lames retardatrices de matrices Ri et R2 et** *B* **travers** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Du nom du premier responsable du projet William H. Wehlau, qui décéda en février 1995, **juste aprés mon** arrivée **au Cimada.** 

le polariseur de matrice P, nous avons alors (en écrivant A et A' sous forme **verticale)** :

$$
A' = P \times R_2 \times R_1 \times A
$$

**En utilisant les matrices pour les lames quart-d'onde (Serkowski 1962)** à différentes orientations (ex : -45°, 0° et 45°) et suivant l'orientation des deux **faisceaux polarisés** ((1 **ou** 1, **Le. O0 ou 90°, respectivement), nous obtenons trois paramètres de Stokes** : **i.** 

$$
q \equiv \frac{Q}{I} = \frac{R_Q - 1}{R_Q + 1}
$$

$$
u \equiv \frac{U}{I} = \frac{R_U - 1}{R_U + 1}
$$

$$
v \equiv \frac{V}{I} = \frac{R_V - 1}{R_V + 1}
$$

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

où

$$
R_Q = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{0,0,\parallel} \cdot I'_{45,45,\perp}}{I'_{0,0,\perp} \cdot I'_{45,45,\parallel}}}
$$
  
\n
$$
R_U = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{0,45,\parallel} \cdot I'_{0,-45,\perp}}{I'_{0,45,\perp} \cdot I'_{0,-45,\parallel}}}
$$
  
\n
$$
R_V = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{-45,0,\parallel} \cdot I'_{45,0,\perp}}{I'_{-45,0,\perp} \cdot I'_{45,0,\parallel}}}
$$

Les paramètres de Stokes normalisés q, u et v doivent en principe être pure**ment ümités par le bruit de photons car toute variation temporelle et un fwteur**  **de gain,** indépendants **du** temps, **s'annulent @&ce** *li* la double division obtenue. Le paramètre de Stokes *I* peut être extrait en additionnant deux spectres d'une image donnée, après **détermination** satisfaisante des facteurs de gain suite **A** la division par une image de plage-uniforme  $("flat-fielding").$ 

Après avoir calculé les valeurs finales  $I_{\parallel}$  et  $I_{\perp}$  attendues pour différentes positions **de** la lame quart-d'onde avec le prisme de Glan-Taylor (lumière en sortie polarisée **linéairement** à 100%) et les avoir **comparées** avec des **mesures** réalisées à chaque **IO0,** nous **avons** trouvé de petites déviations par rapport à la situation **idéale.** Le retard des **deux lames** quart-d'onde **semble être** dépendant de l'angle de position. En appliquant un retard lié *B* l'angle de position **dans** l'ajustement par **x1** de nos données, nous avons découvert la raison de ce comportement **dans**  les lames **quart-d'onde elies-mêmes. Nos** lames achromatiques sont composées de quatre lames cristallines **séparbes** par de l'air et montées **sur une** roue dentée. Une composante de la **lame 1** est désalignée par rapport **B** l'axe optique (deux **dans**  le cas de la lame 2). Cela ne devrait pas poser de problème parce qu'un décalage seul ne modifie pas le retard. Cependant, en plus, **les** lames quart-d'onde dans leur ensemble ont du être imparfaitement montées à l'intérieur **des** roues dentées, et ainsi l'effet de décalage introduit une dépendance  $I(\psi)$  (au lieu de  $I(2\psi)$ )<sup>3</sup> pour **les** vecteurs de polarisation.

Nous avons **aussi** obtenu une série de poses d'étoiles briUantes **avec le** prisme **de** Clan-Taylor **afin** de **mesurer** l'efficacité totale de l'instrument et l'interférence possible entre différents paramétres de Stokes **suivant** plusieurs longueurs d'onde. **Dans** notre **cas,** 17interf6rence entre différents paramètres **de** polarisation montre **des** structures ondulatoires **en** fonction de la longueur d'onde, dont l'amplitude **ne** dépasse **jamais 5%. Une** partie du décalage **dinérent** de **zéro dans ii** pourrait être due à une déviation légère dans la rotation du prisme de Glan-Taylor. Nous pouvons **cependant** exclure ceci **comme** raison **du** comportement imparfait du

 $3\psi$  est l'angle de rotation en rapport de l'axe rapide du prisme Wollaston.

retard **T** avec **l'angle** et la longueur d'onde.

Selon le calcul de Muelier et les doubles divisions résultantes, on devrait exécuter chaque opération **sur** les **pixels** de la **même** manière, pour obtenir **des**  spectres q, **u** et v purement limités **par** le bruit de photons. Cela signifie que chaque étape mathématique devrait être Faite sur les images à 2 **dimensions.** Cependant, pour réduire l'espace-disque et le temps de **calcul,** on peut additionner les lignes des images **2D brutes** en **des** spectres **1D** et exécuter ultérieurement les opérations **dans** l'espace **1D.** Après avoir appliqué diverses techniques de réduction, nous n'avons trouvé aucune différence significative entre ces deux possibilités. **Par**  simplicité, **nous** avons décidé de réaliser la réduction de **données** dans l'espace **ID**  en utilisant les procédures standards d'IRAF.

Un fait très inquiétant était la **variabilité** de la polarisation du **continu** (- 1%), pour toutes les étoiles observées, d'une séquence de polarisation à l'autre. Ceci a été trouvé **daos nos** données obtenues *B* **UTSO** aussi bien que dans celles obtenues à l'OMM. Par conséquent, cette déviation doit être d'origine instrumentaie. **Elle** est probablement due à de petites variations, d'une pose à l'autre, de l'illumination **globale des** deux fibres, dont les surfaces sensibles extérieures ne sont **jamais** parfaitement uniformes. Cet effet peut se produire en raison de fluctuations du *seeing* ou de légères osciilations du **télescope lors du suivi de** l'étoile. **Nous avons** trouvé **une** confirmation de notre hypothèse en obtenant des plages**uniformes sur** l'écran du **dome: les fibres** sont **alors** illuminées uniformément et invariablement avec le temps. Les spectres de plages-uniformes à bande large résultants étaient stables, au bruit de Poisson près.

Un problème **s'est** produit avec les spectres obtenus à **UTSO. Des spectres du**  faisceau **extraordinaire** étaient légèrement **élargis** dans **la** direction **de** dispersion, de sorte que **des stmctures** en forme de chapeau **mexicain** se sont produites a la position **de raies d'absorption après** la **double** division **des quatre ouvertures. Nous avons appliqué avec succès une étape de** correction à **ces** domb **mais** la

#

raison de ce comportement demeure inconnue.

Nous présentons ci-dessous les premiers résultats obtenus avec le spectropolarimètre William-Wehlau pour quatre étoiies différentes :

- 1. nous rapportons des structures variant (au-delà de  $3\sigma$ ) avec la polarisation linéaire dans les fortes raies d'émission CIII  $\lambda$  5696 et CIV  $\lambda$  5802/12 (voir le Chapitre 4) dans l'étoile binaire WR+O  $\gamma^2$  Velorum. Nous ne détectons **aucune** poluisation circulaire **significative dans** les raies au-dessus du **niveau**   $3\sigma$ ;
- 2. nous confirmons **les** structures significatives de polarisation précédemment détectés (Poeckert & Marlborough 1977) dans **la** raie de Ha de l'étoile Be  $l$ **umineuse**  $\gamma$  Cas;
- 3. nous confirmons la polarisation **circulaire** précédemment détectée (Borra & **Landstreet** 1980) **dans** les raies **de** t'étoile de **type** Ap, 53 Cam. La structure observée est compatible avec un champ de dipôle de 28000 Gauss **mesuré**  à **cette phase;**
- **4.** nous ne trouvons pas d'effet de raie dans la polarisation pour aucun **des**  paramètres de Stokes au-dessus de 0.2% à travers la raie H $\alpha$  de l'étoile la plus lumineuse du Trapèze d'Orion, la jeune étoile variable O7  $\theta^1$  Ori C.

# **3.)** La spectro-polarimétrie de l'étoile binaire  $WR+O \gamma^2$  Velorum **en fonction de la phase**

**Nous présentons** des observations **spectro-polarimétnques** a moyenne résdution obtenues **avec** le **nouveau spectro-polatim&re** William-Wehlau **en** fonction de la phase de la binaire WR+O  $\gamma^2$  Velorum de période P=78.5 jours. Le suivi quasi-simultané de chacun des quatre paramètres de Stokes  $I(\lambda)$ ,  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$ 

et  $v(\lambda)$  a été effectué à l'UTSO pendant un intervalle de 31 nuits lors du passage au **périastre de la binaire.** 

**y2 Vel** est l'étoile de type Wolf-Rayet la plus lumineuse dans **le** ciel, et **par**  conséquent, une cible standard pour étudier **les** phénomènes des WR. Elle est une des étoiles binaires **dans** lesquelles l'interaction **vent-vent** se produit. Entre **les** deux étoiles on s'attend que le **vent** des deux composantes vienne à un arrêt complet au point de contact. La matière **tombe dors** suivant **une zone de** choc en **forme** de cône et s'enroule autour de l'étoile O avec **le** plus faible vent (Stevens et ai. 1992). **Le choc** crée une région où la matière est fortement **excitée** : **il** se forme des raies de recombinaison en **émission** qui permettent **le** refroidissement radiatif rapide du **gaz comprimé** (par exemple, St **Louis** et al. 1993). En outre, **y2** Vel est connue pour montrer une variabiüté stochastique de **son** vent **émis** (Lépine et **al. 1998),** présumée être la manifestation de variations de densité (les " **clumps"** , **voir**  chapitre 1). La réduction de **données** est effectuée de **la** même **manière** que décrite au chapitre 2.

 $\gamma^2$  Velorum a été observée pendant une mission de cinq semaines avec le spectro-polarimètre **Wiliiam-Wehlau** monté sur le télescope UTSO de **0,6m,** situé au sommet du Cerro **Las Campanas, CU. Nous avons** obtenu **des** spectres pour chacun des quatre paramètres de Stokes en fonction de la longueur d'onde durant 21 nuits entre le 18 février et le 20 **mars** 1997 autour du passage du périastre  $(\Delta \phi \sim \pm 0.2)$ . De multiples spectres ont été enregistrés environ toutes les 3 minutes. La résolution était d'à peu près 2 Å par pixel dans le domaine de longueur d'onde 5200 - 6000 Å, couvrant principalement les raies He II  $\lambda$  5411, C IV  $\lambda$  5471, **C m** X **5696, C Iv A 54'?l/l2** et la raie d'émission He **r** A **5875.** 

Nous étudions cinq types différents de variabilité dans  $\gamma^2$  Vel : 1.) le mou**vement orbital, 2.)** les variations stochastiques à court terme, 3.) les variations **périodiques** à **court terme, 4.)** les **variations** à **long terme et 5.) L'excès d'émission C** III **X5696.** 

#### **1.) le mouvement orbital**

**En appliquant des** ajustements gaussiens simples, nous avons **estimé les**  vitesses radiales **pour** chacune des cinq raies d'émission moyennées par nuit. **Com**parée au mouvement orbital **déjh** estimé **avec** grande précision par l'étude **i** haute resolution spectrale de Schmutz et al. (1998), **la** raie **C IV X 5471 dans nos données**  représente le mieux le déplacement de la composante WR.

### **2.) les variations stochastiques**  à **court terme**

Comme dans le cas de **C Pup** (voir 1.) , nous avons aditionné tous les spectres de chaque nuit et avons formé un spectre moyen dans **le** système **de** référence de l'étoile WR; ce spectre a alors été soustrait des spectres individuels.

Dans **les** spectres résiduels, **nous** avons trouvé des sous-structures stochastiques dans chacune des **cinq** raies d'émission **observées** pendant la totalité de la mission, les plus fortes étant visibles dans la raie de CIII  $\lambda$  5696, comme déjà **détectées** par Lépine et ai. (1998). Pendant **quelques nuits** nous avons trouvé des sous-structures s'éloignant rapidement du centre de la raie, **même** avec notre rése lution spectrale relativement basse. En outre, de fortes modifications de la raie se sont produites d'une nuit à l'autre, révélant des régions variables de forte densité dans le vent. Deux raies dans notre échantillon, **He** II **X 5411 et He 1** X 5875, ont été affectées par l'absorption propre relative à l'étoile de type  $O$  : cette absorption stellaire a été particulièrement observée dans la raie  $\text{He II } \lambda$  5411, où l'absorption se déplace de l'aile rouge à l'aile bleue de la raie pendant le passage au périastre. Le **fait** que **toutes les** raies **d'émission** soient stochastiquement stmcturées **implique** que le vent entier **est** morcelé.

#### **3.) les variations périodiques** *B* **court terme**

Nous avons **calculé** les spectres de puissance (SP) (Scargle 1982) **en** utilisant l'algorithme **CLEAN,** développé par **Roberts, Lehk** et Dreher **(1987),** pour chaque **pixel** et longueur d'onde, afin de rechercher une éventuelle variabilité périodique dans les raies **d'émission** de **y\* Vel. Nous n'avons** trouvé **aucune** évidence de variabilité périodique dans les **raies, de** 6 minutes **A** 15 jours.

#### **4.) les variations** à **long terme**

Les largeurs équivalentes movennées nuit par nuit des raies C III  $\lambda$  5696 et He I  $\lambda$  5875 dépendent clairement de la séparation des deux composantes de la binaire. Les deux raies sont les plus fortes au moment où les étoiles se rapprochent  $(W_\lambda \sim$ *llr),* comme **précédemment** observé **par** St-Louis (1996). En outre, **nous** trouvons de **grandes** variations stochastiques au-delà des erreurs de mesure. Contrairement aux raies C ïïï et **He1** , **les** trois autres **raies** (toutes **d'un** niveau d'ionisation plus **élevé),** ne montrent aucune dépendance significative avec la phase orbitale.

#### 5.) l'excès d'émission CIII

Avec un certain nombre d'hypothèses, **nous avons essayé** de distinguer les variations de la **forte raie en émission C** ïïï A 5696, constituée de **diverses** composantes. **Le** plus important dans notre cas est L'extraction de **L'émission** due a la zone de choc en forme de cône. **Nous avons calculé un profil minimum** simple pour **chaque** nuit de la mission, et **avons** soustrait ce minimum global à chaque spectre **moyenné** par nuit. **Pour** déterminer l'émission due au choc, nous avons **mesuré** des vitesses **et** des largeurs pondérées de la raie **observée** à différentes nuits et avons appliqué à nos données<sup>4</sup> le modèle de choc conique développé par **LW (1997). En utiiisant divers paramétres connus pour l'orbite** et le choc, nous **trouvons** un assez bon accord entre le **modèle** et **les observations, bien que des** 

**<sup>&#</sup>x27;Les vitesses et les largeurs pondérées sont un compromis en raison du vrai minimum de la**  raie qui est inconnu et de la nature fortement compliquée du CIII

déviations systématiques se produisent. En comparant notre résultat à une anal**yse indépendante réalisée par Schweickhard et al. (1998), nous concluons que l'équilibre variable du vent (cf. Gayiey et al. 1997) dans ce système avec une orbite elliptique peut provoquer la chute du vent de l'étoile** WR **sur la surface de**  l'étoile O et accroître l'émissivité de la composante due à la collision vent-vent (par conséquent, augmenter la largeur équivalente  $W_{\lambda}$  à certaines phases). Les **émissions supplémentaires dans l'aile bleue qui en découlent et un décalage du** 

**profil WR vers les vitesses négatives sont clairement vus dans nos données aussi** 

 $\bullet$ 

**bien que dans celles de Schweickhard et al. (1998).** 

### **SUMMARY**

1 **present three different** projects to investigate the wind structure in hot stars.

- 1. The O supergiant C Puppis **was** examined for substructures in its wind to **ob**tain information about the similarity **and** evolutionary connection between O stars and their descendants. the Wolf-Rayet **stars.**
- **2.** The **newly constructeci** and **commissioned** William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter for the observation **of** point **sources** in the **sky is** introduced. First resuits for a number of **different** prototype **stars** are presented. Technicd **and phys**ical problems **are** highlighted **and different** solutions **are** suggested.
- 3. I present an investigation of the wind of the WR+O binary  $\gamma^2$  Velorum **with** the Wiiam-Wehiau **spectropolarimeter.** The observation **of various**  wind variabilities and their interpretation is reported.

#### **1.) Outmoving clumps in the wind of the hot O supergiant C Puppis**

**C** Pup **is** the brightest **eady** type O star in the **sky** and, hence, a standard **target for O star research. O stars are assumai** to be the **ptogenitors** of **WoKRayet (WR) stars (e-g., Langer et ai. 1994). WR** stars **and** some **0 stars show emission**  lines even in their optical spectra, which is interpreted as the manifestation of outmoving material in the **fom of a steliar wind** . **WR stars** are **known** to **show**  stochastically varying small-scale features in their strong emission lines, inter**preted as outmoving regions of higher density (clumps; Moffat & Robert 1992), created by shocks due to supersonic turbulent veIocities in the wind (Henxikien** 

**1994) and driven** by **radiative** instabilities **(Owocki** 1994). The question remained if O stars, with their **weaker** but sometimes faster **winch** of lower opacity, show **similar** features in their emission lines. And if **such** features **euist,** what are their physical parameters?

To answer these questions **we** monitored C Pup **during** parts of **two** nights at the **3.6m** Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope. We obtained high signal-to-noise and ultra-high spectral resolution ( $\sim 1000/\text{pixel} = 0.03\text{\AA}$ ) time-series (every  $\sim$ **10min)** spectra of the HeII  $\lambda$  4686 emission line during five hours each night. After careful data reduction we co-added all nightly spectra and calculated a mean spectnun for each night, which **was** \*then subtracted from the individual **spectra.** In the resulting **residual** spectra **we** found substructures **always** moving away from the line center to the blue/red wing of the line. In addition, all features tend to smear-out with time, while the intensity 6rst rises, then drops. We found that the whole He II  $\lambda$  4686 line is affected by this variability, which is compatible with the assumption that the whole **wind** of **CPup** is **affected** by **such variability.**  We note that we find a slightly **iarger** degree of **variability** in the blue line **wing.** 

**Tracing** the **most** prominent **subfeatures** in time enables us to **estimate** their average acceleration (cf. **Robert** 1992). **.ksuming** that the **fastest** features are **moving** approximately in the line-o'sight **(LOS),** whereas stable features **are** mov**ing** approximately perpendicularly to the LOS, we **are** able to investigate their **behavior** with respect to different 'β-values' of the standard velocity law<sup>5</sup> and **angles to the LOS.** We discover that the standard  $\beta$ -value of  $\beta = 0.8$  is too small for  $\zeta$  Pup and  $\beta \sim 1.1$  is more applicable (in agreement with recent theoretical **work** of **Puis** et al. 1996).

Applying previously predicted stellar parameters for the terminal velocity **ve (PUIS** et al. **1996) and the stellar Radius** & **(Kudritzki et** al. 1983) **we** show

 $\frac{f_0(r)}{r} = v_{\infty}(1 - R_r/r)^{\beta}$  with  $\beta = 0.8$  as the standard value for OB-star winds. Note that the **larger** is  $\beta$ , the slower is the wind at a given radius.

that the clumps in (Pup appear at very small stellar radii of  $R \sim 1.2R$ , and disappear at  $R \sim 2R$ . Note that this small distance is probably the reason for **smaiier variability** in the red line wing, introduced **by** a shadow effect, **when** the star itself **hides** part of the variable **wind** in the **red.** 

The observed clumps lead to the **most** intriguing consequence of **ou** observations: Theoretical simulations for the estimation of the mass-loss rate  $\dot{M}$  on the **basis of H** $\alpha$  **line fitting, generally assume smooth winds, unaffected by clumping.** We show that the HeII line, formed even closer to the star than Ha, shows a clumpy wind stmcture. Because of the **fact** that recombination line flux is **de**pendent on the square of the material density, theoretically calculated mass-loss rates **will** be overestimated when smooth **winds** are **assumeci,** at least for **CPup,**  and possibly for ali O **stars,** if they **also** show dumping in their extended **winds.** 

## **2.) The William-Wehiau Spectropolarimeter: Observing Hot Stars in ail Four Stokes Parameters**

For the investigation of various types of **stars,** the University of Western Ontario, Brandon University and Université de Montréal designed, developed, constnicted and comrnissioned a **new** polarimetric unit for use at the **Cassegrain**  foci of different telescopes, which, together with a standard spectrograph, can **be used as a** spectropolarimeter. This instrument **is** called the William-Wehiau spectropolarimeter<sup>6</sup> and we used it during four different observing runs at Uni**versity** of Toronto Southem **Obsematory (UTSO)** and **Observatoire du** Mont **Mé**gantic (OMM).

The instrument **appües standard** techniques **to** measure **poiarized** light: **Gen**erally, a retarder **shifts** the phase angle **of** one of the **two perpendicular** compo**nents** of a **polarized beam by an angle** r, a **beamsplitter** (or polarizer) separates

**<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> After the initial prime investigator William H. Wehlau, who died in February 1995, just**  $a$ fter I arrived in Canada to start this project!

these two **beams,** according to **the** refraction **law.** The **two** beams, the ordinary **and** the extraordinary **bearn,** are **fed** into a CCD **spectrograph** via optical fiben and dispersed as two different intensity spectra side by side. The two beams contain the complete polarimetric and inteasity information. For calibration purposes a removable GIan-Taylor **prism, which** produces **very** nearly 100% linearly polarized iight at al1 wavelengths **can** be inserted in front of the retarders.

Extraction of the polarimetric information is done with the Mueller calculus. **It** will be clear **further** below that for the extraction of *al1* four **Stokes** parameters  $I(\lambda)$ ,  $Q(\lambda)$ ,  $U(\lambda)$  and  $V(\lambda)$  we have to use two identical but independent quarterwave plates in tandem as retarders.

Following the Mueller calculus and the rules for matrix algebra, we **can**  calculate the four Stokes' parameters for this arrangement with retardance  $\tau$ (ideally **90')** for both **QWP's.** With A the input Stokes vector *(I,Q,U,V)* and A' the output Stokes vector  $(I', Q', U', V')$  after passing through the retarder plates with matrices  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , and the polarizer with matrix  $P$ , we have (writing  $A$ and A' in vertical form):

### $A' = P \times R_2 \times R_1 \times A$ .

**Using** the matrices for quarter-wave **plates** (Serkowski **1962)** at different angular positions with respect to the fast axis of the beam-splitter (e.g.,  $-45^{\circ}$ , **O\*** and **45")** and orientation of the **two polarizer beams** (11 or **1,** i.e. **0"** and **90a, respectîvely), we obtain** the **three Stokes** polarization **parameten** as

$$
q \equiv \frac{Q}{I} = \frac{R_Q - 1}{R_Q + 1}
$$

$$
u \equiv \frac{U}{I} = \frac{R_U - 1}{R_U + 1}
$$

$$
v \equiv \frac{V}{I} = \frac{R_V - 1}{R_V + 1}
$$

ï

with

$$
R_Q = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{0,0,\parallel} \cdot I'_{45,45,\perp}}{I'_{0,0,\perp} \cdot I'_{45,45,\parallel}}}
$$
  
\n
$$
R_U = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{0,45,\parallel} \cdot I'_{0,-45,\perp}}{I'_{0,45,\perp} \cdot I'_{0,-45,\parallel}}}
$$
  
\n
$$
R_V = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{-45,0,\parallel} \cdot I'_{45,0,\perp}}{I'_{-45,0,\perp} \cdot I'_{45,0,\parallel}}}
$$

**The** nomaiized Stokes polarization parameters q, u and u should be **purely**  photon-noise limited because **aii** time dependent variations **and** time independent gain factors **cancel** out due **to the** double divisions **above.** Stokes *I* **can** be **obtained** by **a** simple addition **of** the **two** spectra on a aven image, **after** appropriate determination of the **gain** factors **by Bat-fielding.** 

Calculating the expected output for  $I_{\parallel}$  and  $I_{\perp}$  for different quarter-wave plate positions with the Glan-Taylor **prism** (100% linearly polarized light as input) and comparing them with measurements for every 10°, we found small deviations from the ideal situation. **The** retardation of both quarter-wave plates **seems** to be position-angle dependent. By **applying an** angledependent redardation in the form of a  $\chi^2$  fit to our data, we found the reason for this behavior in the quarterwave plates themselves. Our achromatic plates consist of four air-spaced crystals, mounted in a **worm-gear** unit. **One** (ho) layers of plate one **(two)** are **tilted**  with respect to the **optical ais. This** should **actudy** be no problem **because** a tilt alone does not change the retardance. In addition however, the quartet-wave plates as a **whole must** have **been** imperfectly **mounted** in the **worm-gear units,**  so that a wobbling effect introduced a dependence  $I(\psi)$  (instead of  $I(2\psi)$ )<sup>7</sup> for

 $\tau$ *y* is the rotation angle of the quarter-wave plates with respect to the fast axis of the **Wollaston** prism

the polarization vectors.

We also obtained a number of exposures of **bright** stars with the Glan-Taylor prism to measure the overall efficiency and possible cross-talk between different Stokes **parameters** at different **wavelengths.** In our case, cross-talk between different parameters shows **wavy** structures as a **function** of wavelength, with an amplitude which never exceeds  $\sim$  5%. Some of the non-zero offset in  $\bar{u}$  might be due to a slight **misaiignment** in the rotation **axis** of the **Glan-Taylor prism. We can** however exclude this **as** the reason for the imperfect behavior of retardance  $\tau$  with angle and wavelength.

According to the Mueller calculus and the resulting double divisions, one should perfonn each **pixel** operation in the **same manner,** to **obtain purely** photonnoise limited q, u **and v** spectra. **This means,** each mathematical **step** should be done on 2D images. However, to **reduce necessary** hard-disk **space** and **the**  consumption, one **can** try to **collapse raw** 2D apertures to **1D** spectra **and** subsequently perform the operations in 1D space. Applying various techniques of different reduction parameters, we found no significant difference between these two possibilities. For the sake of simplicity we decided to perform the data reduction in **1D** with **standard** iRAF' packages in chapter 2.

A very disturbing fact was the variability of the continuum polarization  $\sim$ 1%) from one polarization **sequence** to the **next** for **aii** obserwd stars. This **was**  found in our data **obtained** at **UTSO** as **weli** as in those from OMM. Thus, this scatter must **be** of instrumental **origin.** This **is** probably due to **srnail** variations from one exposure to the next in overall illumination of the two fibers, whose spatial **surface sensitivities are not uniform. This** can **happen** either **due** to **seeing**  fluctuations or tracking of the telescope. **We** found support for our assumption by **obtaining dome flats, which iiiuminated the fibers uniformiy and invariably with-tirne. The** resulting **0at** field broadband output spectra **were stable within the Poisson noise ievel.** 

xxix

One problem occurred oniy in **spectra** obtained at **UTSO.** Spectra of the extraordinary beam were slightly broadened in dispersion direction, so that Mexican hat features occurred at absorption **iine** positions after double division of the four **individual** spectra. We **successfully** applied a correction technique to these data but the reason for this behavior remains unexplained.

**We present** first results for four different prototype stars, obtained with the William-Wehlau **spectropolarimeter:** 

- 1. We report varying linear polarization features above the  $3\sigma$  level across the prominent emission lines of CIII  $\lambda$  5696 and CIV  $\lambda$  5802/12 (two lines unresolvable) (see chap. 4) in the WR+O binary  $\gamma^2$  Velorum. We detect no significant circular polarization across the lines above the  $3\sigma$  level.
- 2. We confirm previously detected **(Poeckert** & Marlborough 1977) significant polarization features across the H $\alpha$  line of the bright Be star  $\gamma$  Cas.
- 3. We confirm previously detected circular line polarization in the Ap star 53 **Cam (Borra k Landstreet** 1980). The observed **feature** is consistent with a dipole field of 28000 **Gauss measured** at this phase.
- **4.** We find no **line** effect in **any Stokes** parameter above 0.2% across the Ha line of the **brightest Orion Trapeziurn** star, the **young, variable 07V star**   $\theta^1$  Ori C.

# **3.) Phase-dependent Spectropolarimetry of the WR+O Binary**   $\gamma^2$  **Velorum**

We present medium resolution phase-dependent spectropolarimetric observations obtained with the **new Wiiiiam-Wehlau spectropolarimeter** for the 78.5 d  $WR+O$  binary  $\gamma^2$  Velorum. Quasi-simultaneous monitoring of all four Stokes parameters  $I(\lambda)$ ,  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$  and  $v(\lambda)$  was carried out at UTSO over an interval of 31 nights centered on periastron.

 $\gamma^2$  Vel is the brightest WR star in the sky, and hence, a standard target for investigating WR phenomena. It is one of a number of binaries where wind-wind interaction occurs. **Between** the **two** stars the **wuid** of both components is expected to corne to a complete stop **at** the stagnation point. Materiai **then flows** along a shock-cone that **wraps around the weaker-wind O star (Stevens et al. 1992). The shock** region creates highly excited material that **leads** to recombination excess emission via fast radiative cooling of the shocked **gas (e.g.,** St.-Louis et al. 1993). In addition,  $\gamma^2$  Vel is known to show stochastic wind variability in its outmoving **wind** (Lépine et al. **1998),** presumed to be the manifestation of density variations (clumps, see 1.). **The** data reduction **is** carried out in the **same** manner **as** described in (2).

 $\gamma^2$  Velorum was observed during a five week run with the William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter mounted on the **0.6m** telescope UTSO on Cerro **Las** Campanas, **Chile.** We obtained spectra in **dl** four **wavelength-dependent** Stokes parameters during 21 nights between 1997 Feb 18 and March 20 within  $\Delta\phi$  about  $\pm 0.2$  of periastron passage. Individual spectra were obtained every  $\sim 3$  minutes. The 3-pixel spectral resolution was about  $6 \text{ Å}$  in the wavelength range 5200 -6000 Å, covering mainly the HeII  $\lambda$  5411, CIV  $\lambda$  5471, CIII  $\lambda$  5696, CIV  $\lambda$  5802/12 and He I  $\lambda$  5875 emission lines.

We investigate five different types of variability in  $\gamma^2$  Vel: 1.) orbital motion, 2.) stochastic short-term variations, 3.) periodic short-term variations, 4.) longterm variations and 5.)  $\text{C \text{III}} \lambda 5696$  excess.

#### **1.)** - **Orbital motion**

By applying single **Gaussian** velocity fits **we** estimated the LOS velocities for ali five **observed** nightly averaged **emission Lines. Compareci** with the orbital motion, already estimated with high accuracy **from** the **high** resolution **study** of Schmutz et al. (1998), the CIV  $\lambda$  5471 line in our data represents best the orbital motion of the WR component

#### **2.)** - **S tochastic short-term variations**

Analogous to the procedure for  $\zeta$  Pup (see 1.) we co-added all nightly spectra and calculated a mean spectrurn for the whole **run** in the **kame** of the WR **star,**  which was then subtracted from the individual spectra.

In the resulting residual spectra we **found** stochastic **substnictures** in ail five observed emission lines **during** the whole nui, **most** prominent in C **m** *h* 5696, as **already** detected by Lépine et **al. (1998). During some nights we** found **fast**  substnictures **moving away** from line center, even with Our relatively low spectral resolution. In addition, strong contrast **dianges** occurred fiom night to night, pointing to **strong** density **changes** in the **wind.** Two lines in **our sample,** He11  $\lambda$  5411 and HeI  $\lambda$  5875, were affected by the respective O star absorption, most clearly seen in **He II**  $\lambda$  5411, where the absorption moves from the red to the blue line flank **during** periastron **passage. The** fact that **all** emission lines are **stochasticdy stnictured** implies that the whole **wind** is **dected by** clumps.

### **3.)** - **Periodic short-term variations**

We have calculated **power** spectra (Scargle **1982)** including the CLEAN algorithm, developed by Roberts, Lehár, & Dreher (1987), for each pixel within our **observeci** wavelength range, to **search** for **periodic variability** in the emission **lines**  of  $\gamma^2$  Vel. We found no evidence for any periodic variability in the lines, from 6 **minutes to 15 days.** 

xxxiii

### **4.)** - **Long-term variations**

Nightly-averaged equivalent widths for C III  $\lambda$  5696 and He I  $\lambda$  5875 are clearly dependent on the sepmation of the **two binary** components. Both **lines** are strongest at closest aproach  $(W_\lambda \sim 1/r)$ , as previously observed by St.-Louis (1996). In addit ion, **we** find large stochastic variations exceeding the meanirement **errors.** In contrast to C ïïï and He1 , the three other **lines, dl** of higher ionization level, **show**  no significant dependence on orbital phase.

 $\bullet$ 

#### **5.)** - **C m excess**

With a number of assumptions we tried to disentangle the variations in the prominent C  $III \lambda$  5696 line, consisting of various line components. Most important in **our** case is the extraction of shock-cone emission. We applied a pure **minimum**  intensity profile for the whole run, and subtracted this global minimum fiom each nightly average spectrum. To test for shock-cone emission we measured weighted line velocities and widths **and** applied the **shock-cone model** developed **by Lühn** (1997) to **our** data8. Using **vaxious** known parameters for the orbit and the shock-cone **we** fmd **fair** agreement between the **model and our** data, although systemetic deviations do occur. By comparing our result with an independent **analysis** performed by Schweickhardt et al. **(1998), we** conclude that the changing **wind** momentum **balance** (cf. **Gayley** et ai. 1997) in this elliptical orbit system **rnay** provoke crashing of the WR **wind** onto the O **star surface** and increased emissivity from the wind-wind collision component (hence, an increase in  $W_{\lambda}$  at certain phases). The **resulting** change of **mass load** into the wind-winbçollision **zone and** thus emissivity **may** create extra **blueshiftd** emission **and** a **shift** of the **WR** profiie to negative velocities **is** clearly **seen** in **our data as well** as in those of **Schweickhardt** et al. **(1998).** 

**<sup>&#</sup>x27;**Weighted line velocities and widths are a compromise because of the unknown true minimum **b of the Iiae and the highiy compiicaed nature of CIIf X5696.** 

### **INTRODUCTION**

### **Extended atmospheres of O and WR stars**

**The physics of stars in the upper left Hensprung-Russel Diagram Iike O, B and Wolf-Rayet stars, is of great interest for various reasons. These stars are massive, hot and very luminous.** Normally, **steilar spectra show absorption lines, as does our Sun. They are formed in a** thin **layer called the photosphere, where continuum** light from **below is absorbed. Two typical examples of spectra of massive stars are shown in** Fig. **0.1.** 



**Figure 0.1. Spectra of**  $\zeta$  **Puppis (bottom) and**  $\gamma^2$  **Velorum (top)** 

**The most strüung evidence for material around stars are the emission lines in hot star spectra. Hot star spectra consist of Hydrogen and various ionized common species of elements, e.g.. Helium, Silicon, Carbon and Nitrogen. Atomic transitions of highly ionized elements are found, which shows that high temperatures are present.** 

**The key parameters here are the steiiar mass and radius. The iarger the stellar mass** and **the smaller** the **radius,** the higher **is** the **stellar temperature. If the temperature is sufficiently high and the gas density is low enough, the radiation pressure** *cm* **dominate over gravity. The corresponding threshold is**  given by

$$
L_{ed} = \frac{4\pi Gc}{\bar{\kappa}}M
$$

with  $\bar{\kappa}$  as the average opacity in the wind.  $L_{\epsilon d}$  is called the Eddington luminosity **or Eddington ümit. It is the** maximum **radiative lurninosity that a star ean have**  and still remain in hydrostatic equilibrium. If  $L > L_{ed}$ , the atmosphere becomes **unstable and extreme mass-los in the form of a radiatively driven outward moving stellar wind must occur. Stellar winds can also occur at L 6** *Led,* **however. As one can see from Fig. 0.1, al1 emission lines are very broad and show non-Gaussian form. In fact, this shows that unstable, outmoving atmospheres of high velocities and large rnass-Ioss rates are present.** 

#### **Evolutionary aspects**

**O stars are the most permanent luminous known stellar objects. They exhibit strong, fast stellar winds of relatively low opacity, driven by their strong**  radiation field. Luminosities are found to be up to  $10^6L_{\odot}$  (for O supergiants) with mass loss rates  $\dot{M}$  of up to  $5 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ . Terminal wind velocities  $(V_{\infty})$  of up **to 2000 km/s are found. Estimated dace temperatures are found to lie up to**  .- **50 000 K. A number of dinerent** spectroscopie **iine efiècts have been discovered**
**so far,** Ieading to **the**  image of dramatic changes **within** O star atmospheres. In addition, O stars are the major contributors to the radiation field of their r **spective galauy. Because of** this large-scale influence, it is of great importance to **understand their generai** behavior.

Intense emission **lines** of **various** ionized **elements** are the spectral characteristics of **Wolf-Rayet stars. Beals** (1929) realized that an extended, **and rapidly expanding** atmosphere is **responsible for these features. Among** al1 stable hot **stars,** WR **stars reveal** the strongest **mass** loss via **wind** mechanisms. **'ïypical mass** loss rates range from  $(2 - 10) \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$ , with wind velocities of 1000 - 2500 **km/s** (Willis **199** 1). The Wolf-Rayet **winds are** mostly optically t **hick,** so that the surface is not visible. This **means** that the comection of spectral classification to photospheric core temperature **is** not possible as for normal **stars** via MK classification, and the WR classification is purely spectroscopic. WR stars are highly luminous, **evolved,** hot. massive **stars,** in the final state of **nuciear** He buming.

Aiready **Chandrasekhar** (1934) discussed the **origin** of **WR stars and** their **exact** evolutionary **scenario** is still in discussion. However, **since** Conti's proposal in 1976 **based** on observational data, the general idea of O type progenitors **is widety** accepted. Chiosi & Meader (1986) suggest that **WR stars** are descendants of OB stars, Langer et al. (1994) suggest for  $M_{ZAMS} \geq 40M_{\odot}$  the scenario O  $\rightarrow$  Of  $\rightarrow$  H-rich WN  $\rightarrow$  LBV  $\rightarrow$  H-poor WN  $\rightarrow$  H-free WN  $\rightarrow$  WC  $\rightarrow$  SN, and Crowther et al. (1995) predict  $O \rightarrow Of \rightarrow WNL+abs \rightarrow WN7 \rightarrow WC \rightarrow$ SN. If these **paths** are correct, we **shodd** expect that **similar** or **even** the **same**  atmospheric effects play a role in both stellar types. This is important to know, not **only** for **itself** but for possible information about **WR parameters. As mentioned above,** the **WR** photosphere **is** hidden **by** a dense **wind** and information about the masses, the initial luminosities, effective temperatures, radii and mass-loss rates are difficult to obtain. Especially the mass-loss rate  $\dot{M}$  is a critical point.

For instance, due to clumping, already observed in WR star spectra,  $\dot{M}$  may be smalier than conventionally predicted. **This** wouid have consequences for the lifetime of the stage **in which** a star can **be** found.

The latest review about hot massive **stars** and their classification is given by van der Hucht (1996). One of the most intriguing questions is the so-called *rnomentum problem* for WR stars. We define the ratio *n* by:

$$
\eta = \frac{\dot{M}V_{\infty}}{L/c} \equiv \frac{\text{Wind momentum}}{\text{Radion momentum}} \tag{0.1}
$$

This number gives an indication of the efficiency at which radiation momen- $\tan$  **tum is imparted to the gas.** Single scattering delivers  $n < 1$ . Because  $n \gg 1$  for **many** Wolf-Rayet **stars** it **was** not clear for a long time how the **winds** of **WR**  stars **are** accelerated to their terminal velocities. The most probable answer **is**  that multiple scattering **must** play a role.

During the last **few years,** evidence **has** been growing that the **winds** of hot stars **are mostly** not sphericdly **symmetric. The** prototypes **having** well known largescale deviation kom sphericd symmetry are the Be **stars with** extended stable equatorial **disks** of **mainly** Hydrogen. An important question **is:** do other steliar atmospheres also show prefened geometries? In addition, it is **shown** that some stars show sub-structures across their absorption and emission lines. This is found especidy in the optically **thick winds** of Wolf-Rayet stars (Lépine et al. 1998), as shown in Fig. 0.2 for the star  $\gamma^2$  Vel.

**Such** perturbations in hot star spectra are **believed** to **be created** in the outmoving wind **itself.** The observed flux of a recombination line is proportional to the square of the **materiai** density. **This** means that if the emission **iine** flux **varies,** materiai **density** of the emission line source shouid **vary too.** For **this** reason, the obseved **stochastic line sub-structures in hot star spectra** are **beIieved** to be formed in a wind containing various density enhancements, also called clumps,



**Figure 0.2. Outmoving substructures in the C III**  $\lambda$  **5696 line of**  $\gamma^2$  **Velorum (Lépine et al. 1998). Greyscale plot of** nightly **residuals from the mean rectified spectrum**  of one night plotted in time vs. line-of-sight velocity.

blobs and shocks<sup>9</sup>. One of the most plausible explanations for this phenomenon **was suggested by Henriksen (1994). Supersonic velocities in the wind produce intemal shocks, resulting in turbulence and density variations. Such shocks in the wind could be generated due to radiative instabilities, as suggested by Owocki (1994).** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Varying nomenclature is a sign that the true nature of such line-features is still in discussion.

If clumping **is** present, the theoretical smooth-wind approximation for the calculation of the mass-loss rate will not be correct. **Clumped whds** with **regions**  of higher density produce increased flux due to the  $\rho^2$  dependence, even when the mass-loss rate is the **same** as in the **case** of a smooth wind. Or vice versa, clumped material **can** produce the **same** flux as a more massive smooth wind. **Thus,** in the **case** of clumping, classical **mas-loss** rates based on the assumption of a smooth wind, are overestimated.

The aspects above lead to two important questions:

- **a** Do O **stars** show **similar srnail-scde** structures (clumping) in their **whds** as do WR stars, and are their cdculated mass-los rates overestimated?
- **a Can we** obtain information about the hidden surfaces of WR **stars by** constraints from the **progenitors,** the O **stars?**

## **Polarimetric aspects**

**(A) Intrinsic** linear polarization - Intrinsic linear polarization of earlytype stars arises mainly from Thomson (electron) scattering of stellar light. The fundamental condition to show any intrinsic linear polarization and/or polarimetric variability is a non spherical stellar atmosphere. If spherical or circular geometry in projection **(e.g.** a cylinder seen dong the **axis as** for an equatorial disk if seen pole-on) prevails, all polarization due to Thomson scattering cancels out. But **if any deviation from** a radial **sphere** or **circdar** geometry in projection exists (e.g.+n **equatorial** disk not **seen** pole-on or localized regions of higher ionic or atomic density, so called *blobs*, or only density enhancement above the **equator)** an **oôserver shouid** be able to **detect inttinsic linear polatization.** The electron **scattering** process **itseIf** forms iinearly polarized light. If an **incoming beani is scattered at an electron, the electron begins to vibrate and re-ernits** (or **scatters)** a **beam, which is polarized perpendicuiar to the scattering plane. The**  **scattering process changes the length of the electric vector as descnbed in** Fig. **0.3** 



**Figure 0.3. The electron scattering process. The intensity of the electric vector is**  reduced by  $\cos^2 \chi$  for the component parallel to the scattering plane which is in the **same plane as the one which contains the outgoing bearn toward the observer.**  The perpendicular component is unaltered after scattering.  $\chi$  is the scattering **angle in the scattering plane, containing the original beam, the scatterer and the observer.** 

The degree of polarization after scattering depends on the scattering angle  $\chi$ :

$$
P = \frac{1 - \cos^2 \chi}{1 + \cos^2 \chi}.
$$

**Chandrasekhar (1946) predicted intrinsic polarization values for a steliar**  disk to be zero at the center and as high  $a$ s  $\sim$  11% at the limb. The electric vec**tor then vibrates tangentiaily to the stellar surface. To estimate the asymmetric geometry of the star-envelope spem via spectropolarimetry one has to consider the expected degree of polarization.** 

Polarization in early-type stars often yields intrinsic variability in time and **sometimes with wavelength. Nordsieck et al. (1992) showed four different expla-**

**nations how the observed polarization becornes wavelength dependent and dso**  Schulte-Ladbeck et al. (1992c) gave a brief explanation for this behavior and the **physical reasons (Fig. <sup>0</sup>**4.



**Figure 0.4. Intriasic polaxization** from **circumstellar envelopes (Nordsieck et al., 1992).** 

**If the star and the scattered üght are both in the observer's beam, and**  the polarized scattered light  $L_{scat}$  is small compared to the direct unpolarized starlight  $L_{\star}$ , the observed degree of polarization  $p_{obs}$  is

$$
p_{obs} = p(\Theta) L_{scat} / (L_{\star} + L_{scat})
$$
  
\n
$$
\approx \frac{\Omega}{4\pi} p_{max} g(\Theta) \tau_s (1 - \tau_s) D(r) \sin^2 \Theta,
$$

where  $\Omega$  is the solid angle subtended at the star by the scatterer,  $p_{max}$  is the **polarization near**  $\Theta = 90^{\circ}$ **,**  $g(\Theta)$  **is the scattering efficiency,**  $\tau_s$  **is the scattering optical depth, and D(r) is the geometry dilution due to the ônite star size. Fol**lowing this idea the four basic ways of making  $p_{obs}$  wavelength dependent are **illustratecl in Figure 0.5.** 



Figure 0.5. Sources of spectropolarimetric features (Nordsieck et al., 1992).

- (a) Unpolarized light  $L_{dil}$  not originating in the star (e.g., nebular emission from circumstellar material) dilutes the polarized light:  $p_{obs} = p(\Theta) L_{scat} / (L_* +$  $L_{scat} + L_{dil}$ , where  $L_{di}( \lambda )$  is wavelength dependent.
- **(b) Absorptive opacity may reduce scattered light more than direct starlight:**   $p_{obs} \sim 1 - \tau_a(\lambda)$  and we observe polarization with features that are weak or do not exist in the stellar spectrum.
- **(c) Dinerent illumination geometry in different wavelengths (e-g., due to iimb**  darkening):  $p_{obs} \sim D(\lambda) \sin^2 \Theta(\lambda)$ .
- **(d) The scattering process may itseifbe wavelength dependent, e.g., due to dust**  or atomic scattering:  $p_{obs} \sim p_{max}(\lambda)g(\Theta, \lambda)\tau_s(\lambda)$ . However, this is ruled out ' **for hot stars, due to the** " **grey" Thomson-scattaring process.**

 $\mathbf{\hat{r}}$ 

An axisymmetric scattering envelope leads to  $p_{obs} \sim \tau_s (1 - 3\gamma) \sin^2 i$ , where  $\gamma$  is an envelope shape factor (for a spherical envelope  $\gamma = 1/3$ ;  $\gamma = 0$  (1) for a flat (oblate) disk), *i* is the inclination, and  $\tau_s = \sigma_T \int_V N_e(R) dV$ , with the electron density distribution  $N_e(R)$ . The Thomson-scattering cross section is wavelength independent and the scattering process **does not** alter the scattered **spectnun. Chandrasekhar** suggested that the **best** condition for observable polarization **fiom**  the **limbs** of early-type stars should be the **symmetry breaking** effect of the **eclipse**  by a cornpanion. **This** phenomenon **has** been reported by Robert et al. (1990) and extended **by** St-Louis et al. (1993) for the **WR** binary **V444Cygni.** An example for wavelength dependence for the WR star **EZ CMa is given in** Fig. **0.6. i.** 

A common problem in **observations** of **intrinsic** polarization is that of **small**  contrast. Assuming an interstellar polarization of 1%, an intrinsic stellar continuum polarization of about 0.1% and **line** poiarization of **O%,** with a **0w-to**continuum ratio in the net **line** of 10, **then** the continuum polarization is 1.1% and the net line polaxization **is** 1.01%. For a **30** detection of this feature **we** need an intemal accuracy of at least 0.03% pet pixel. This **means** that a signal-to-noise ratio of 3000(!) is **necessary. So,** to observe not only bright but **also** faint **stars and** to understand the nature of the **variability** we need a large telescope for a reasonably long run.

It seems clear that deviations from sphericai wind symmetry in the forrn of equatoriai **disks** (Be **stars, e.g., Hanuschik 1996),** large-scaie and **small-scale**  wind structures (Wolf-Rayet **stars, e.g.,** Schdte-Ladbeck et al. 1991; Moffat et ai. **1988)** and **now also in at 1east one** O supergiant **(see** cbapter l), are common phenornena in the hot star **domain. FolIowing this aspect** and Nordsieck's considerations above, an interesting consequence follows from non-spherical symmetry, and **hence, non-sp hericd density** distribution, by **considering** polarization **effects.**  If we **are** able to meaaire **intrinsic** linear polarization, **we shouid** be able to obtain information about the material density distribution around the star, as long as it



**Figure 0.6. Total counts, polarization in percent, and position angle in degree ver**sus wavelength for  $EZ CMa$ , measured at the AAT. Error bars are  $±1\sigma$ . Changes **across line profiles, which are different in** flux **and polarization, can be seen. P\*FLUX is the specttum of the polarized counts after correction for interstellar polarization. Dashed lines mark** the **positions of the observed line centen for He II**   $\lambda$  4686 Å and  $\lambda$  5412 Å in the count spectrum. (Schulte-Ladbeck et al., 1992c).

is sufficiently ionized to produce enough free electrons to scatter light.

Two examples are:

- **0 Linear** spectropolarimetry at **high** signai-to-noise ratio for the **Ha line** of **C** Pup **was** presented by **Harries** & Howarth (1996). **Their** polarizat ion measurements give values smaller than  $0.1\%$  for q and  $u$  in the lines as well as **in** the continuum **(see page 23).**
- In the **first** two of a **number** of spectropolarimetry papers, Schulte-Ladbeck et al. (1990) published the discovery of linear polarization variations in the He II  $\lambda$  4686 wings of EZ CMa obtained at Pine Bluff Observatory in Wisconsin. **They** detected polarization across the emission lines, **like** those seen in Be **stars. This** leads to **the** assumption that **also** in this **WR star**  an axisymmetric, electron scattering envelope could be the teason for this behavior. Rom their data ehey suggest a rotating **and expanding** disk-like density distribution around **EZ** CMa.

**(B) Intrinsic circulas** polarization - The production of linearly **and** circulariy polarized **iight is** possible via cyclotron **emission.** A **free** electron captured by a magnetic field spins around the field lines and emits Bremsstrahlung **which**  is polarized. **The** emitted **wave** oscillates perpendicularly to the field direction. In **the** direction **of** the field the **electrons emit circularly** polaxized light. One refen to a transverse and a longitudinal field for the field components perpendicular and **paraliel** to the observer's direction, respectively. **This means** that if we **see**  circularly (linearly) polarized light, we look "onto" the electrons with their spin parallel (perpendicular) to the line-of-sight. As a result, circularly polarized light **is** a reiatively **unambigous indicator** for **intrinsic magnetic fieiàs.** However, **mea**suring this polarization is a rather delicate business. If a field of 1000 Gauss in a typical emission line star, e.g.  $\gamma^2$  Velorum (see Fig. 1.1), would be present we

could observe features in circular polarization of only 0.3 percent of the line in**tensity** at best (see eq. 3.8). Linearly polarized üght **is an**  indicatot of scattering **processes as** well **as** magnetic fields.

**The** detection of magnetic fields is done through the observation of the **Zeeman effect in** spectral lines. The **energy** levels of an atom in an extemal magnetic field are split into  $2J + 1$  sublevels. The energy difference of these sublevels is  $\Delta E = \text{geh}B/4\pi m c$ , were g is the Landé factor. As a result, the atomic lines are also split and we call the components for which  $\Delta M = \pm 1$  (quantum number *M* for angular momentum) the  $\sigma$  components and for  $\Delta M = 0$  we call them the  $\pi$  components. These components are polarized: For a transverse field, the  $\pi$  components are linearly polarized parallel to the field and  $\sigma$  components are polarized perpendicular to it. For a longitudinal field the  $\sigma$  components have opposite **circular** polarizations, whereas the **n** components are not **visible.** 

In **his** paper Landstreet (1979) **discussed** severai techniques of field measurements: photographic Zeeman polarimetry, spectroscopy of stars with resolved *Zeeman structure, pho toeledric spectmpolarimet* **y of** *rnetallic* **lines,** Bolmer-làne **Zeeman unalyzer** and the **hnsverse Zeeman** *emt.* In addition, Landstreet **also discusses** the limitations of **various** methods of measuruig longitudinal fields. An **interesting** effect **arises** when there is a patchy distribution **of** materiai. In that **case,** elernents **appear** to be **nonuniformly** distributed on the stellar surface and the measwed effective field **is** different for different elernents.

The geometries of magnetic fields **can** be very complex. Models are calculated for a **centered** dipole, a decentered one, a symmetric rotator, or a more complex one. A **list** of welI determineci geometries in several **stars is** given in Landstreet (1979).

In the Iast **few years** the technique of Doppler **imaging** (the estimation of **surfhie stniehues** of an unresolved **star using** the **Doppler effect) has made large**  **advances. It is also** possible to derive **surface** information about the distribution of magnetic fields with the technique of **Zeeman** Doppler **imaging. This can bp**  done with circularly polarized light. **-4** description of **this** technique, the basic phciples, **numerical** simulations and **technical** considerations are given in three publications by Semel (1989), Donati et al. (1989) and Semel et al. (1993).

The basic principles of the analysis of polarimetric measurements are given in the kt **paper** by Semel (1989). If we **assume** a stellar **disk, affected by two**  magnetc spots of **opposite** polarities, with no relative velocity to the observer, the **sum** of their circular polarizations would be reduced or cancelied out. In the case of non-zero stellar rotation, the relativg velocities of the spots **are** separated due to the effect of circular polarization. Then,

- the measured global **magnetic flux** is reduced and differential measurements may lead to the determination of the line-of-sight component of the mag**netic** field, **and**
- $\bullet$  to increase the S/N of Stokes V, one may add the signals from several lines, to increase the **quality** of magnetic field measurements.

From the **technical** point of **view,** the application of **Zeeman** Doppler imaging **has some** important requirements. **(A) Because** of polarization levels of the order of 0.1 percent, the **S/N** ratio must **be** very high. (B) **To** see smd scaie structures the spectral resolution **shouid** be reasonably high. (C) Spurious polarization levels **should** be reduced to the level of the photon **noise.** 

#### **Some previous polarimetric results**

**(A) O stars** - **No magnetic field for any O** star **has been** detected, **so far.**  Even  $\zeta$  Puppis, the brightest and most observed O star, failed to show clearly intrinsically generated circular polarization. Although some spectral **festures** in circularly polarized light were detected, insufficient data quality did not lead to quantitative **resuits.** 

In order **to search** for **a magnetic** field, Barker et al. (1981) tried to measure the longitudinal Zeeman **effect** in the **wings** of **H/3** of SPuppis, with a photoelectric - - - - - - - - - Pockels cell polarimeter. Their values of the measured mean longitudinal field are given in **Table 0.1.** 

JD	$B_{\epsilon}$	σ
$2,444,300+$	(Gauss)	(Gauss)
27.83	$-110$	138
48.62	-71	113
50.58	+48	65

**TABLE** 0.1. Surface **brightness** weighted average of longitudinal fidd strengths and standard deviation **(Barker** et al., **1981).** 

Rom these **resuits** alone, one cannot conclude that a magnetic field **is reaily**  present. Nevertheless, Barker et al. argue that there **may** be much higher field values, which were possibly not detectable because of an unfavorable combination of field geometry and stellar orientation. If a *u* sin *i* of 210km/s given in earlier publications **is** correct, C **Puppis must** be seen almost equator-on. For this orientation, possible field geometries **are** given in Fig. 0.7.

Using the expressions derived by **Schwanschild** (1950) the centered dipole field with the dipole axis parallel to the rotation axis (case A) yields zero longitudinal field  $(B_e = 0)$ , independent of the field strength  $B_p$  at the pole. With an inclination  $i = 70^{\circ}$  it is possible to have  $B_p \approx 1kG$  with  $B_e \le 100$  G. Case B **is-an extreme** case of an **oblique** rotator (cornmon **among** the **known magnetic stars): a centered dipole** with **axis** in the rotational **equatorial** plane. *B.* **wiU vary** 



**Figure 0.7. Three possible magnetic field geometries for a rotating star with a magnetic field, measured during a rotation period by an observer whose line-O' sight lies near the equatorial plane 00. (A) Centered dipole with rotation and magnetic axes pacaiieI. (B) Centered dipole with rotation and magnetic axes orthogonal. (C) Linear quadrupole with an axisymmetric purely radiai field in the equatorid plane (Barker et ai., 1981).** 

sinusoidally and an observed  $B_e \leq 100$  G implies a  $B_p \leq 300$  G. Barker et al. could not exclude this possibility for  $\zeta$  Pup because of incomplete phase coverage. **A** quadrupole geometry with an alignment of the field **axis** with the rotation **axis**  (case C) with a  $B_e \le 100$  G implies a  $B_p \le 2-4$  kG. But no stars with predominantly quadrupole fields are **known** among the chemicdiy **peculiar stars,** and so they conclude that it is not ciear how plausible a **pure** quadrupole model **of C** Pup might be.

Linear spectropolarimetry at high signal-to-noise ratio for the H $\alpha$  line of **C** Pup **was** presented by **Harries Jr** Howarth (1996). Their polarization **measure ments** are given in Table 0.2, which lists the measured polarization in **three bins;**  one 4- $\hat{A}$  bin at the line centre ( $\lambda$  6563 $\hat{A}$ ), one in the maximum of the line emission at  $\lambda$  6568 Å, and one over a line-free continuum region at  $\lambda$  6760 - 6860 Å.

Date	Line centre		Emission		Continuum	
Mar.	$\bar{q}$	ũ	ā	ū	ā	ū
1992			$(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$		(%)	(%)
15 <sup>th</sup>			0.090 0.081 0.033 0.007 0.041 0.018			
16 <sup>th</sup>	0.096.		0.093 0.035 0.007 0.042 0.024			
17 <sup>th</sup>	0.056		0.068 0.018 0.009 0.021 0.023			

TABLE 0.2. Polarization rneasurernents **from** 3 observations. **The** statistical errors on the line centre and maximum emission measurements are **0.008%** wfiile the **error** in the continuum **is 0.002% (Harries** & **Howarth, 1996).** 

Recent ultra-high signal-to-noise spectroscopic measurements of the He<sub>II</sub> **A4686 line of CPup by Eversberg, Lépine k** Moffat (1998, **see ais0** Chapter **1) show** outmoving micro-structures in the **strong** O star **wind. Aasuming** that **these structures** are generated by **"blobs" one** cleariy **can** expect to detect **hem** depolarization **features.** 

**(B)** WEk **stars** - **The** high **degree of** ionization in WR star **winds** coupled with a **clear** stratification (Schulte-Ladbeck et al. 1995), yield a large **number** of free electrons, which can scatter stellar light and polarize it. *Broadband* polarimetry **has** led to a large **amount** of information about **WR stars.** Here a group of eight papers, "Polarization Variability among Wolf-Rayet Stars. I. - VIII." by %-Louis et al. (l98?), **Drissen** et al. (l987), St .-Louis et al. (l988), Robert et al. **(1989a),** Robert **et** al. **(1989b),** Robert et al. **(1990), Drissen et ai. (1992),** and Moffat & Pürola (1993) **is** noted.

**Briefly** summarized:

1. Results are considered for a complete sample of southern WC stars brighter **than** 9th magnitude. Binary modulation **was** found in polarization; **less** stochastic variability with faster **winds; and** it **was suggested** that "blobs" **can** be more **easily detected** in **low** velocity, turbulent **winds.** 

II. This **anticorrelation between stochastic** polarization variability and wind velocity **was confirmed** by the **study** of the six bnghtest southern **WN** stars. No binary modulation **was** found in the **known,** long-period **WN7** + O **binary HD 92740,**  as in the suspected WN8  $+ c$  (c = compact companion) binaries  $HD86161$  and HD **96548.** 

III. A **new way** to **derive mass-loss** rates of WR **stars in binaries was pro**posed. **The** estimation of the indination of the **system** via polarization **meanwments led** to a correlation between M and **the mass of the WR** star.

IV. **Circuiar** polarization in the continuum **emission** of **WR stars** above **an**  instrumental level of  $\sigma_V \sim 0.01\%$  was not detected.

**V.** Confirming the anticorrelation between stochastic polarization variabil**ity** and **wind** velocity for **seven** of **the** eight **bright Cygnus WR stars, they ais0 deve1oped two** modeis **to** explain the **ongin** of **blobs.** 

VI. **The** orbital inclination of the WR + O **binary V444Cygni was** determined to be  $i = 78^{\circ}.5$ , in agreement with other methods. Also, they confirmed the hypothesis of Chandrasekhar that strong polarization variations should be visible **during** the eclipse of the WR **star** by the O companion. **The** WR radius was found to be  $\leq 4R_0$ . Note: An improved analytical model for the eclipsing WR + O **binary** V444 **Cygni was** presented later (StLouis et al., 1993).

**VIL** Monitoring the three single **WR stars WR14 (FVC6), WR25 (WN7),** and **WR69** (WC9), they **found** no **signifiant** variation in **WR14,** but for the other **two**   $\sigma \sim 0.06\%$ , as expected for late-type WR stars.

VIII. Finally, observations of the two non-eclipsing  $WC + O$  binaries  $HD$ 97152 and HD 152270 show variation in the continuum but none in the strong emission-line complex of  $\text{C\text{III}}$  / $\text{C\text{IV}}$  + He II. They deduce that mainly light from the O companion scatters **off** electrons in a sphericdy symmetric **wind** and **in**troduces modulated orbital polarization.

St.-Louis et al. (1995) observed **EZ CMa** with the IUE satellite in **their** IUE **MEGA Campaign during** 16 consecutive **days.** The observed variations **suggest** a global **wind** structure pattern t hat **remains** stable **during** several rotation **cycles**  in the hame of the **star. It can** best be explained by **some kind of** corotating **interaction regions** emanating from hot (magneticaily?) active regions **near** the **surface** of the **stellar** cote.

**The** first spectropolarimetric observation of a **WoK-Rayet star was** made McLean et **ai. (1979b) for EZCMa (WN5)** with the same instrument as for theù observations of Be **stars (McLean** et al., **19798)** here in the **range** 3400 <sup>A</sup>- **6000**  with 48 Å resolution (for the He II  $\lambda$  4686 Å line they obtained 32 Å resolution). That **EZ CMa shows strong Wabiiity is a well known fact (e.g., Robert et al.,**  1992). Serkowski (1970) found a deviation from spherical symmetry from broad**band polarization observations. The modei of CassineHi** & **Haisch (1974) then**  explained the observed polarization with a disk-like structure. A non-spherical atmosphere **was** established by McLean et al. due to **varying** degrees of **hear po**larization in HeI, HeII, NIII, NIV and NV lines, and from their data an edge-on **view** can be excluded. Their data suggest a density enhanced disk-like structure in the atmosphere **(see** Schuite-Ladbeck et al., **l992c).** 

In the first two of a number of spectropolarimetry papers, Schulte-Ladbeck et al. (1990 and 1991) pubüshed the discovery of **iinear** polarization **variations in the**  He **II wings** of **EZ** CMa obtained at Pine Bluff Obsemtory in Wisconsin. Also for the first time, they detected polarization loops in the **Q-U** plane, **like** those seen in Be stars. This leads to the assumption that also in this WR star an axisymmetric, electron scattering envelope **could** be the **reason** for this behavior. Rom their data t hey **suggest** a rotating and expanding disk-like **density** distribution around **EZ CMa.** 

In their second paper, Schulte-Ladbeck et al. (1991) probe the wind structue of **EZ CMa** through electron distribution as measured by spectropolarimetry. **They** conclude that

- **1.** the **wind** of **EZ** CMA **is** not **spherically symmetric, because** of a large **amount**  of continuum polarization,
- **2.** the polatization **is** due to eiectron scattering, **since** the continuum polarization spectrum **is** Bat at **most epochs,**
- 3. the **spectnim** of continuum polarization **may rise** into **the** W, **whîch is due**  to fiequency-dependent absorptive opacity in the **helium** continuum,
- 4. the continuum polarization **has** a large, quasi-static component **which can**  be **explaineci with an iuclined-disk rnodei.** The **disk extends rather far into the wind, because it is seen also in line-forming regions,**
- 5. the preferred explmation for polarization variations **is** from **density** fluctuations in the wind,
- 6. **line** photons are electron scattered, **since emission lines** are polarized, although not as strong as **continuum** light,
- **7,** ionization stratification is **seen** in this star,

Recent **resdts** clearly **show that** the intrinsic variations are aot caused by a cornpanion. **EZ CMa** seems to be a single star.

The impression that the continuum polarization rises into the UV was confirmed by the first linear polarization spectrum of  $EZCMa$  and  $\Theta$  Mus (WC6 + 09.51) obtained in the region 1400 to **3200A** by the **Wisconsin** Ultraviolet Photo-Polaximeter Expesiment **(WUPPE) (Schulte-Ladbeck** et al., **1992b).** The continuum polarization, measured in severai **bands** from around 1600 À to 3100 A, **reached** about **O&%, which** contirrned the picture of a distorted **wind** in **EZ** CMa. Although **8 Mus** shows variability in polarized light of about 0.2% around a **mean**  of 1.45% at 82° *(St.-Louis et al., 1987)*, *Schulte-Ladbeck et al. were able to fit* their W data **with** a Serkowski **law** for the intersteiiar polarization. The position angle **did** not change **from** one ernission line **feature** to another. **They** conclude that the intrinsic polarization of the  $\Theta$  Mus system is not easily distinguished from interstellar polarization.

Observations in visible Light for **HD** 191765 **(WN6) showed simiiar** results as for **EZ** CMa (Schuite-Ladbeck et ai., **1992a);** strong wavelength-dependent continuum polarization, reduced polarization levels **across emission lines,** a. **generai**  deviation from **spherical symmetry, localized density changes,** axisymmetric **wind geometry** and ionization stratification.

**One of** the **most recent** works about spectropolarimetry **is** the **Ph.D. thesis**  of **Hamies** (1995). **Among others, he investigated 16 WR stars (mainly WN types**  **but also 3 WC stars, single and binary) with state-of the-art techniques and telescopes. Among these stars he found** " **he effects"** , **polarization variability across their lines, in 4 single WN stars, 1 binary, and al1 3 WC stars. For a few single stars, he supports the daim of non-spherical wind structure, either** in **an oblate** fonn, **axisymmetric ellipsoid or disk.** 

 $\bullet$ 

## **Specific considerations**

The present **work is** an attempt to give answers to **some** basic questions highlighted **above.** 

**Chapter 1 discusses an** important part of a possible evolutionary link **be**tween O and WR **stars.** We show that at **least** in **one** O **star** clumping in the wind is present which supports the idea that O stars are the progenitors of WR stars. In an attempt to **answer** these questions we obtained two nights at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope for observation of the apparently brightest (according to its visual magnitude  $m_V$ ), early-type O star in the sky,  $\zeta$  Puppis. The brightness  $(\sim 2nd \text{ mag})$  and the size of the telescope enabled us to obtain a number of ultra-high resolution spectra with **high** signai-to-noise on very short time-scales. In this chapter the **fint** detection of a clumpy wind in an O star **is** presented. It is shown that the stochastically outmoving structures in the He<sub>II</sub>  $\lambda$  4686 A **line** of **CPuppis** behave simüarly to those in **WR** star **winds** and that their out**moving** acceleration **is** somewhat lower than previously predicted. Especially the fact that these clumps **appear at** very **smdl radii** supports the assumption that previously predicted **mass-loas** rates are probably significantly overestimated. **As**  a consequence, stochestic **clumping** in He ïï **means** that **also** stochastic variability of **linear** polarization in this üne should be present. Hence, our observation **gives**  an additional indicator that spectropolarimetric measurments should be **succesfid**  for O star atmospheres.

Chapter 2 introduces a new polarimetric unit for obtaining spectropo**larimetric** information about extendeci **stellar** atmospheres. So **far,** a number of spectropolarimeter units **exist.** Either a **half-wave** plate or a quarter-wave plate is normally used as retarder to measure linear *or* circular polarization, respec**tively. However,** it **is** of **great** interest to obtain quasi-simuitaneous observations of Iinearly **and** circuiarly **polarized light.** This **is passible by combining two ro**tatable quarter-wave plates as retarders with a Wollaston prism as the polarizer.

By using different fixed position angles of the quarter-wave plates with respect to the **prism,** it **is** possible to obtain **al1** four Stokes parameters **1,** Q, U **and** V in a quasi-simuitaneous **rnanner.** The main goal should be a reiiable instrument, as simple as possible, compact **and easy** to **carry, which** would enable the observer to use various telescopes at different observing sites. One can take advantage of the regular presence of standard CCD-spectrographs **at** modem telescopes by carrying only the polarimeter unit, mounting it at the Cassegrain focus, and feed**ing** the spectrograph by optical **fibers.** This **has** the advantage of being able to use even Coudé spectrographs with high resolution capability, although the polarimeter is connected to the Cassegrain focus to minimize linear instrumental polarization. For this reason A. MoRat, M: Debruyne, J. Rice, **N.** Piskunov, P. Bastien, W. Wehlau, O. **Chesneau** and the author of this thesis (see author's list of the respective publication in this chapter), developed a **new** polarimeter unit, the William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter, with two quarter-wave plates in tandem, which fullfills all the above considerations: It is light  $(\sim 40 \text{kg})$ , compact (length  $\sim$  1m) and feeds various spectrographs with long ( $\sim$  40m) optical fibers. The instrument is shown in Fig. 0.8. During a number of extended engineering **and** observation mns at Elginfield Observatory, University of Toronto Southern Observatory and **Mont** Mégantic **Observatory,** we encountered various technical problems and developed techniques to solve them.

Chapter 3 discusses spectropolarimetric resuits for the **most** prominent WR+O binary system  $\gamma^2$  Velorum. The feasibility of a first scientific observation **campaign** with a new spectropolarimeter depends on **various** conditions:

1. A smaii or **mid-size** telescope: - It **is clear** that a **new** spectropolarimeter must pass a number of tests, before applying for time at larger telescopes. Even with **our tests** at Elginfield Observatory **we had** little hope to **accumu-**  .late obsenring the at **large** instruments, **because** of reiativdy bad weather conditions and **limiteci inçastmctwe** at this **site.** 

**I** 

- 2. Reasonable targets: There are a number of stellar objects which are **suit**able canditates for detecting intrinsic linear and/or circular polarization, **namely** O, B, Be, **Ap/Bp stars,** Wolf-Rayet **stars,** but also late-type stars with extended atmospheres. Because of **our** focus on **stars** in the upper left part of the **HR** diagram, we decided to choose a numbet of O and **WR stars.**
- 3. Intermediate or long time coverage: Even with a telescope of intermediate size, **e.g, 2m** class, the **exposure** tirne for bright stars is of the order of hours to reach 0.01% accuracies as likely **necessary** to detect intrinsic polarization in hot stars. To **circumvent** this problem, **one has** to repeat the observation of the target for **many times. <sup>a</sup>**
- **4.** Bright stars: **Using** a srna11 telescope (see **1** .) with the necessity to detect **very small** spectral variations (see 3.) requires bright objects.

**Combining** these restrictions, we decided to **obseme** bright prototype O **and WR stars** with the **William-Wehlau** spectropolarirneter at the **0.6m** University of Toronto Southern Observatory on **Cerro Las** Campanas in **Chile.** We **finally**  chose the apparently brightest (according to its visual magnitude  $m_V$ ) WR star  $\gamma^2$  Velorum and again the apparently brightest (according to its visual magnitude  $m_V$ ) early-type O star,  $\zeta$  Puppis. For  $\zeta$  Puppis as the secondary target in our program we did not obtain sufficient data for a reasonable analysis.



**Figure 0.8. The opticai channel of the William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter.** 

## **Chapter 1**

# **Outmoving Clumps in the Wind of the Hot O-Supergiant C Puppis**

**THOMAS EVERSBERG', SÉBASTIEN LÉPINE' AND ANTHONY** F.J. **MOFFAT'** 

**Département de Physique, Universite de Montréal, C.P.6128, Succ. Centre Vilie, Montréal H3C 357, Canada, and Observatoire du Mont Megantic** 

> **THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 494, 799 (1998)**  *Receaved* **1997** *Februay* **6;** *occepted* **1997 September** *30*

#### **1. Abstract**

We present time-series of ultra-high S/N, high resolution spectra of the He<sub>II</sub>  $\lambda$  4686 Å emission line in the O4I(n)f supergiant  $\zeta$  Puppis, the brightest early**type O-star in the sky. These reveai stochastic, variable substructures in the**  line, which tend to move away from the line-center with time. Similar scaled**up features are weli estabiished in the strong winds of Wol'Rayet stars (the presumed descendants of O stars), where they are explaineci by outward moving inhomogeneities (e.g., blobs, clumps, shocks) in the winds. if ail hot-star winds** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Visiting Astronomer, Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope, operated by the National Research **Cou\$ of Canada, the Centxe National de ia Reche.de SciemtSque de Etance, and the University of Hawaii** 

are clumped **like** that of **CPup, as is plausible,** then **mass-loss** rates **based** on recombination-line intensities wiil have to be revised downwards. Using a standard ' $\beta$ ' velocity law we deduce a value of  $\beta = 1.0$ -1.2 to account for the kinematics of these structures in the **wind** of C **Pup. Ln** addition to the **smd-scale** stochastic variations we **also** hd a **slow** systematic variation of the **mean** central absorption reversal.

### **1.2 Introduction**

The most massive stable stars known have O-type spectra. Among the O stars, the hotter **ones** tend to have the highest masses. Coupled with **this** high **mass is** a high luminosity (and high surface temperature), **which** drives a strong **wind** and leads to various **kinds** of instability and véuiability (e.g. blue-to-red moving substructures in photospheric absorption lines associated with surface non-radial pulsations: *NFW* (e.g. **Baade** 1988); red-to-blue propagating **dark ab**sorption components DAC (e.g. Prinja & **Howarth** 1986) in the absorption **edges**  of strong P **Cygni lines,** probably associated with corotating interacting regions: CIR - **e.g.** Cranmer & **Owocki** 1996). Conversely, study of the variability **can**  provide **useful** constraints on the nature of massive **stars** and their strong winds.

Although O **stars are very** rare compared to lower-mans stars, their **extremely bright** intrinsic **luminosity makes** them appear signifiant in number among the apparently brightest stars in the **sky. The brightest early-type O star** in the **sky**  is the second visual magnitude  $O(4I(n)f)$  star  $\zeta$  Puppis. Its variability has thus **been scmtinized** conaiderably in the **past. As** it **tunis** out, **C** Pup **manifests** a high **degree** of **variability** compared to other bright **single** O **stars,** probably because of its large  $v \sin(i)$  for a supergiant of 220 km/s (Prinja 1988, Kaper et al. 1996). **This high** rotation **velocity may be** related to its **runaway** statu **(BIaauw** 1993). In Table 1.1 **we summarize some** major properties of **C Puppis (see also Reid** & **Howarth 1996).** 

Property	Value	Ref.
<b>Spectral Type</b>	O4I(n)f	1
$V$ (mag)	2.26	2
$T_{eff}$ (kK)	42.0	3,4,5
$R_{\star}/R_{\odot}$	$18.4^{+5.2}_{-3.3}$	4
$M_{\star}/M_{\odot}$	52.5	5
$\log M(M_{\odot}/yr)$	$-5.57 \pm 0.15$	6
$v_{\infty}$ (km/s)	2250	5
$v \sin(i)$ (km/s)	220	5
$d$ (pc)	$429 + 12$	6,7

**TABLE 1.1. O bseerved properties of** C **Pup** 

**(1) Walborn (1972); (2) Johnson (1965); (3) Bohannan et ai. (1986); (4) Kudntzki, Simon** & **Hamann (1983); (5) PUIS et** ai. **(1996); (6) Schaerer, Schmutz** & **Grenon (1997); (7) van der Hucht et** al. **(1997)** 

**In particular,** < **Pup shows three distinct timescales in its variability:** 

- 1.  $P_1 = 5.1 \pm 0.1$  d, seen in  $H\alpha$  (e.g. Moffat & Michaud 1981; Ebbets 1982), **W P Cygni hes (eg. Prinja 1992; Howarth, Prinja** & **Massa 1995), and X-rays (Berghofer et al. 19968). This is likely the rotation period, with sin i close to unity (Reid** & **Howarth 1996)**
- **2.**  $P_2 = 15$  19 h, seen in  $H\alpha$  and UV wind lines (Prinja 1992; Howart et al. **1995; Reid** & **Howarth 1996). This is the recurrence time scak of DACs;**  it is not strictly periodic. Even the  $16.7 \pm 0.8$  h periodicity found (simultaneously with  $H\alpha$ ) in moderately high energy X-rays by Berghöfer et al. **(1996a), probably fails in this category.**
- $3. P_3 = 8.54 \pm 0.05$  **h** found in blue-to-red moving bumps on photospheric **absorption Iines (Baade 1986, 1988; &id k Howuth 1996).** This **period is**

probably the result of NRP in the stellar surface, with  $l = -m = 2$ . Higher modes (-m = **4,** 8) have been **seen only** on one occasion (Baade 1991). Whether  $P_3$  is fundamentally related to  $P_2$ , e.g., a harmonic with  $P_3 = \bar{P}_2/2$ , remains to **be** settled. Also. with the data available presently, it is **still** not established whether  $\vec{P}_2$  is a simple fraction (e.g.,  $\frac{1}{6}$ ) or not, of  $P_1$ , the rotation period, as **expected** for **fked** perturbations on the rotating stellar surface. A possible connection between rapid rotation and **DAC** activity arises in the spectropolarimetric evidence for an aspherical wind in < Pup (Harries & Howarth **1996).** 

Another type of variability in hot stars - stochastic - has only been clearly seen directly so **far** in stars with **very** strong winds. **The** intense winds of Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars (the descendants of O stars) exhibit small-scale variations in their (more **easiiy** observed) **optical** ernission ünes **(Robert 1992;** Moffat & Robert **1992).** These **are** believed to **mise** in den- perturbations (clumps) throughout **the** WR wind, as a result of **supersonic** compressible turbulence **(Henriksen 1994), driven by** radiative **instabilities (Owocki** 1994; but **aee Chiueh 1997** for **an** alternative expianation). Indirect evidence for **clumpy** structure in **O-star winds has**  been proposed e.g. **via** X-ray observations (Chlebowski, Hanider & Sciortino 1989; Hillier et al. **1993), although no** stochastic **X-ray** variation **has** been **cleariy** seen yet **(Berghofer,** Schmitt & Cassinelli **1996b). Hilüer** et **al. (1993) modeled the 0.1-**  2.5 keV spectrum of  $\zeta$  Pup under the assumption that turbulence and associated **shocks** in the wind **are** the **ongin** of the observed X-ray **flw.** Their results are supported by more recent calculations of Feldmeier et al. (1997).

A **key** question here concerning the heretofore la& of direct detection of **such** perturbatiom in **O-star winds, is** whether **such** perturbations **simply** do not arise in **@star** winds, or whether the **observeci wind lines in O stars are so neak**  that their detection has been difficult.  $\zeta$  Pup is an obvious first target for this **purpose, since** it **ha9 a relatively strong wind for an O star, and it is** very **bright,** 

**C** 

aliowing one to obtain **very** high **quality spectra** in a **short** time. In this paper, we attempt to answer this question.

#### **1.3 Observations and Data Reduction**

**CPup was** obsenred at the fl8.2 Coudé focus of the **3.6m** Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope for  $\sim$  5 hours during each of the nights of 1995 December 10/11 and 12/13. Using the red Coudé train and image slicer, the 1800 l/mm holographic gating and the Reticon 1872 **arrg** as a detector (see the CFHT User's **Manuai**  and references therein), we obtained a S/N  $\approx$  1000/0.03 Å pixel in 10 minutes on a total range of 60 Å centered on He II  $\lambda$  4686 Å. Along with  $H\alpha$ , this is the strongest wind line in the optical spectrum of  $\zeta$  Pup. However, He II has the advantage of **forming** close to the star and being much **Iess aEected** by variable telluric featues. The Reticon **uses** four **amplifiers,** one for every fourth pixel. Their dinerent sensitivity **cancels** out through Bat-fielding. **The** data reduction **was carried** out **using** IRAE' with a Reticon reduction package developed by D. Bohlender and G. **Hill,** which includes the baseline reduction, flatfielding, heliocentric correction and wavelength calibration with a **Thorium-Argon cornparison** spectrum. **The**  FWHM of the Th-Ar lines covers  $\sim$  2 pixels and the wavelength shift over each night **was** negligibly smali. **The** 60 A window is too **small** to cover the whole He n emission **line** and its blue **wing** is aected by the NI11 emission **Iine cornplex.** To obtain a reasonable and reproduceable quasi-continuum **we have** fitted **a straight**  line through two ranges of  $1 \text{ Å } (\approx 30 \text{ pixels})$  in the extreme blue/red wings for **each** spectrum at the **same** position. **This was divideci** into **each** spectrum to compute quasi rectified emission profiles.

#### **1.4 Results and Discussion**

#### **1.4.1 Small-Scde Variations**

**As** a first step, we **have CO-added** ali **spectra** to yield a **mean** for **each** night. This **was** then subtracted from **the** individual spectra. The resulting plots are **shown** in Fig. 1 .l. The respective geyscale plots and the nightly means are shown in **Fig.** 1.2 and **Fig. 1.3.** 

**-4** first look **at** the **greyscde** plots in Fig. 1.2 and 1.3 shows that **individual**  residual emission features move away from line-center to the blue/red wing of the **line. Al1** features tend to smear-out with time, while the intensity first **rises, then**  drops. In order to explore the global vaxiability **we first** calculated the standard deviation of pixel i:

$$
\sigma_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (I_{ij} - \bar{I}_i)^2} \quad , \tag{1.1}
$$

where  $I_{ij}$  is the rectified intensity of pixel *i* of the *j*th spectrum,  $\bar{I}_i$  the mean rectifled spectrum **at pivel** i. **The** results for both **nights** are **shown** in **Fig. 1.4**  and Fig. 1.5. Allowing for statistical fluctuations, the variation profile across the line,  $\sigma(\lambda)$ , follows the same basic shape of the line profile  $I(\lambda)$  itself, with  $\sigma(\lambda)/I(\lambda) \sim 5\%$  and a small systematic increase in  $\sigma(\lambda)$  on the blue side of the **ihe, where a** srnaIl level of P **Cygni** absorption Lürely **prevaiis. This** implies that wind variations occur *throughout* the wind where HeII  $\lambda$ 4686 is emitted. **These** short-term **variations** in ernission-line profile appear to occur without **any**  noticeable influence from the near-central **absorption** reversai.

To consider the **Iine variability** in **more detaii** we **traced** the **radial** velocities of **individual subpeaks** with **time** (see **Fig.1.l). This was ohen a delicate operation:**  The HeII line has a peak intensity of only  $1.2 \times \text{continuum}$  (compared to several **timeq the continua in WR hes) and the tesiduab are still relativeiy noisy. The form of single featwes can change very quickly from one spectrum to the next,** 



**Figure 1.1. Residuals of He** ïï **A 4686 for C Pup on 1995 Dec. 10/11 and Dec. 12/13. The vertical axis gives the intensity and the time, respectively. The scaie for the residual intensities is indicated. Dashed lines trace detected kat ions during the night. Vertical soiid lines indicate the** test **wavekngth.** 

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

ï



Figure 1.2. Observed He II  $\lambda$  4686 spectra of  $\zeta$  Pup for the night of 1995 Dec.10/11. **TOP: Greyscale plot of nightly residuals fkom the mean rectified spectmm of each night plotted in time (stretched appropriately to fill in time gaps) vs. wavelength.**  BOTTOM: **Mean spectrum. The vertical line corresponds to the rest-wavelength (4685.73** A), **not ailowing for any peculiar motion of the star.** 



**Figure 1.3. Same as in** Fig. **2, but for 1995 Dec.12/13.** 



Figure 1.4. Mean rectified spectrum (solid) and standard deviation  $\sigma$  (dashed) for Dec.  $10/11$ . The  $\sigma$  profile is expanded by a factor 20 and increased by 1.0 in **intensity, to match the mean rectified Iine profile as closely as possible.** 



**Figure 1.5. Same as Fig. 4, but for Dec 12/13.** 

**e.g., from gaussian-like forms** to double-peaked triangles. We therefore **assume that** the **non-simple** form of evea **the** most obvious sub-features **may** be the result of blends of many wiresolved features. **After** trying **unsuccessfully** to deblend the subpeaks with multi-gaussian fits and simple peak measurements, we decided to keep thing as simple as possible by fitting single gaussians **only** to the most prominent features. On the other **hand,** this assumption for the sake of simpücity and consistency **also means** that **we** wili be clearly limiteci in detecting a relatiwly **smali** number of blobs.

Does a  $\beta$  velocity law fit the observed trajectories? We attempt to answer this by Looking at the **detailed** motion of the clearest, most prominent, traced subpeak, visible on the near red side of the line center of  $\text{He II} \lambda$  4686 during the whole **obseMng** sequence of 1995 **Dec 10/11 (see** Fig.l.2). **Fig.** 1.6 **shows** a plot of oberved velocity versus **time** for **this** feature, **which aceually** consists of two distinct branches. Superimposed on these data are theoretical  $\beta$ -laws, obtained **using**  $v(r) \equiv \frac{dr}{dt} = v_{\infty}(1 - \frac{R_r}{r})^{\beta}$  converted to  $v(t)^1$  and then to projected velocity  $V(t) \equiv v(t) \cos \theta$ , for different values of  $\beta$  and  $\theta$  (the assumed constant angle between blob trajectory and the line-of-sight). The first branch (open circles) can be matched by a  $\beta$ -law with  $1 < \beta \le 2$  (and  $100^{\circ} < \theta \le 140^{\circ}$ , respectively), while the second branch (filled circles) requires  $1 \leq \beta \leq 2$  (112°  $\leq \theta \leq 180$ °). If the clumps follow a unique  $\beta$ -law these two branches taken together suggest  $1 < \beta < 2$ . By comparison, Reid & Howarth (1996) obtained  $\beta \approx 1$  using the same technique For variations with longer **time** coverage on the blue **side** of the H $\alpha$  wind-line of  $\zeta$  Pup.

Ali traced **structures show nearly linear** propagation with **the. This may seem curious, considering** the **standard** velocity-Iaw with *noniinear* behavior. **However,** the **blobs have been** traced over **only** a **relatively smd range in distance, so that linear motion is a good approximation. Then it is possible to characterize** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>from  $v_{\infty}t = \text{const.} + \int \frac{dr}{(1 - \Delta t) \rho}$


**Figure 1.6. Projected velocity versus time of the most clearly identified and traced**  subpeak in the wind of  $\zeta$  Pup, on 1995 Dec 10/11 at  $V(t) \sim 130 - 450$  km s<sup>-1</sup> **(see Fig. 2). This subpeak actually consists of two distinct clumps indicated by dinerent symbols. The transition point from one clump to the other is somewhat subjective. Enor-bars are indicated or are smaller than the data points. Sample**  lines represent the standard velocity law matched to each clump for pairs of  $\beta$ , **8.** The origin on the time axis was arbitrarily chosen to occur when  $v = 0.01v_{\infty}$ for the  $\beta = 1$  curves.

the blob trajectories by a mean acceleration and velocity in the observer's frame, and to compare all observed blobs with the velocity law for fixed  $\beta$  but different **0** (see also Moffat & Robert 1992). The results **are** shown in **Fig.** 1.7 and 1.8, where we explore which values of  $\beta$  and  $R_{\star}$  allow one to fill in best the areas of permitted substmctures, **assuming** they propagate **like** a single **/3-law wind.** It would appear that the standard  $\beta = 0.8$  law for O-star winds (Pauldrach, Puls & Kudntzki **1986)** does not do this **best** at **least** for clumps, with a dearth of **features for**  $|\dot{V}| \gtrsim 0.05$  km/s<sup>2</sup>, where, if any features existed, they should be seen. On the other hand, a value of  $\beta \approx 1.1$  does a better overall job. We claim that a value of  $\beta$  in the range  $\approx 1.0 - 1.2$  best satisfies the data in Fig. 1.7 and **Fig. 1.8.** Such a range **is** also compatible **%th** the **detailed** trajectories in **Fig.**  1.6. Figures 1.7 **and** 1.8 show **also** that most observed substmctures are found at  $R \leq 2R$ , (solid trajectories), with a preference at  $R \leq 1.5R$ , for the most accelerated **features.** 

**Note** that **on the** blue **side** accelerations are detected **which reach** higher values than on the red side. This could be due to small number statistics (we detected **ody** 17 **features). On the** other **hand,** it could be that features in the red at **large** projection angles and **close** to the **star** are **hidden by** the star itself, **so** that we **are** seeing the manifestation of **such** a *shadow effect.* 

Do the substructures in Fig. 1.7 and 1.8 appear randomly in time? With only 5 hours coverage each night, we are not justified looking for 8.5 hour or  $\sim$  17 hour periods. On time scales below  $\sim$  5 hours, however, Fig. 1.7 and 1.8 certainly do not **show any** obvious short periodicity, although more data **will** be required to **check** this to be absolutely me.

Can the observed line-variations be temporally and spatially coherent, created **by** features of photospheric **ongin (NRP, CIR)? Emiasion** lines **dect** the **physicai behavior** simultaneously in the *whole* **wind, except** for a cyünder **behind** the **star. Any** feature generated in the wind **and rotating acound** the **star** 



Figure 1.7. Plot of (projected) radial acceleration  $\dot{V}$  versus radial velocity V for **individual blobs in HeII**  $\lambda$  **4686. Each curve represents a locus with respect to the** line-of-sight from  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$  (lower curve) to  $\theta = 180^{\circ}$  (upper curve). Model loci are based on  $V = v(r) \cos \theta$  and  $v(r) = v_{\infty}(1 - R_{\star}/r)^{\beta}$  for  $\beta = 0.8$  (the standard **value for OB-star winds)** . **Solid hes are mended by dotted lines which go beyond**   $R_{max}$ . The adopted terminal velocity is  $v_{\infty} = 2250 \text{km/s}$  and the adopted stellar **radius is**  $R_x = 18R_0$ **. Horizontal bars indicate the velocity range of an identified** and traced substructure. The rms error  $(1 \sigma)$  for the acceleration is indicated.



Figure 1.8. Same as Fig. 7, but for  $\beta = 1.1$ .

should produce wavelike variations in velocity space. **Such** features could move from blue to red, and sometimes vice versa, right across the line center. Because we observed features only moving  $a$ way from the line-center in  $\zeta$  Pup, we assert that the **subatmctures** likely represent the stochastic manifestation of turbulent clumps propagating outward with the wind, **much** the **same** as already seen in a significant number of **WR star winds.** 

#### **1.4.2 Large-Scale Variations**

**In Fig.** 1.9 **we** superpose the mean profiles from **each** of the **two** nights. It \* **is quite remarkable** that the **emission** part of the *mean* profile shows very little change over the 2-day interval. **This** is iikely a consequence of the stochastic nature of the clumps. On the other **hand,** the near-centrai absorption dip **exhibits** a **clear**  global decrease over the two days, with  $\Delta I/I_c \sim 0.03$ . This systematic variation is significantly larger than **the mean** variation caused by clumps. **Such** long-term behavior of He II  $\lambda$  4686 is very similar to what is seen in H $\alpha$  (constant emission, siowly **varyiog** near-central absorption) in C Pup by Moffat & Michaud **(1981).**  It remains to be seen whether the  $\lambda$  4686 central absorption follows the rotation period of  $P \sim 5.1$  d as seen in the H $\alpha$  central absorption.

#### **1.5 Conclusions**

The wind of **C Puppis** shows spectral **substructures similar** to **those** seen **in the winds** of Wolf-Rayet **stars, which** are the **Uely** descendants of O and Of **stars.**  These substructures are likely the consequence of excess emission from clumps **caused by supersonic,** compressible turbulence in the **wind. These** observations **lead naturaiiy to the important question whether in fact** aiI **winds in** hot **stars show. such turbulence at** one level or **another.** We **note the following for He II**   $\lambda$  4686 in  $\zeta$  Puppis:



**Figure 1.9. Nightly mean of Dec.iO/lï (solid line) compared to Dec.12/13 (dashed**  *I*  line).

- 1. **As** the substmctures accelerate **towards** the bluefred **wing** of the line, they tend to **smear** out. Their velocity width **is** larger when iooking along the line-of-sight. Both of these are observed in WR spectral lines.
- 2. The variation profile across the line,  $\sigma(\lambda)$ , follows the emission line profile itself, with  $\sigma(\lambda)/I(\lambda) \sim 5\%$  and some increase in  $\sigma(\lambda)$  on the blue side, as **seen** in **WR** lines **(Robert** 1992). **This is** compatible with the **whole** wind **being affected by stochastic variations.**
- 3. Tracing individual substructures and **cornparison** with the **standard** P-velocity law yields  $\beta \sim 1.0 - 1.2$ , somewhat larger than the standard value for OB winds ( $\beta = 0.8$ ).
- **4. Using** a **standard** *'P'* velocity law for **CPup** with an adopted stellar radius  $R_{\star}$  = 18 R<sub>o</sub> (Kudritzki, Simon & Hamann, 1983) and a terminal velocity of  $v_{\infty} = 2250$  km/s (Puls et al. 1996), all blobs in HeII  $\lambda$  4686 appear near the star's surface and disappear at  $\sim 2R_{\star}$ .
- 5. The near central absorption component apparently varies slowly on a nightly basis, much like that seen previously for  $\zeta$  Pup in H $\alpha$  (Moffat & Michaud 1981), with  $P \approx 5d$ . This variation is likely coupled to the stellar rotation.

Recently Puls et ai. (1996) presented a new method **to** determine mass-loas **rates of O stars from**  $\text{H}\alpha$  **line profiles using NLTE techniques, along with the**  $\beta$ **-law** *Ansatz* for the wind velocity. For  $\zeta$  Puppis their calculations yield  $\beta = 1.15$ , which **is** in **very** good **agreement** with our observations. **They** state that **?..the wind**  *emission contribution to Ha for O-stars comes from lower wind layers, typically between 1.0 and 1.5 stellar radii. Very recent hydrodynamical simulations for selfezmMted* **wnid instabüities** *show* **that** *these loyers are unaffécted by shoeks* **and** *that instabilities only occur further out in the wind...*. However, in  $\zeta$  Puppis we have **observed clumping in the HeII**  $\lambda$  4686 line at radii *below R*  $\sim$  1.5*R*<sub>+</sub>. Hence, we **presume** that **the Ha line is** aiso **affected by clumping. This would thus imply** that

**mass-loss rates of**  $\zeta$  **Pup (and possibly for all O-stars) calculated from the**  $\text{H}\alpha$  **line profile axe overestimated, due to the density-squared dependence of recombination emission, as in WR winds (Moffat & Robert 1994).** 

# **1.6 Acknowledgments**

**The authots would like to thank Grant Hi** Il **for making available the Reticon Reduction Package and Jean-François Bertrand for help in Fortran progamming. T.E. is grateful for full financial** aid **from the Evangelisches Studienwerk/Gemany which is supported by the German Government. S.L. acknowledges support from NSERC (Canada) for a doctoral scholarship. A.F.J.M. thanks NSERC (Canada)**  and FCAR (Quebec) for financial assistance.

# **References**

- **Baade, D. 1986, in Proc. NATO Workshop, Seisrnology of the Sun k the Distant Stars, ed. D.O. Gough, (Reidel:Dordrecht), p.465**
- Baade, D. 1988, in O Stars and Wolf-Rayet Stars, eds. P.S. Conti & A.B. Under**hill, (NASA SP-497), p. 137**
- **Baade, D. 1991, in** ES0 **Workshop on Rapid Variability of OB Stan: Nature** & **Diagnostic Value, ed. D. Baade,** ES0 **Proc. No. 36, p. 21**
- **Berghofer, T.W., Baade, D., Schmitt, J.H.M.M., Kudritzki, R--P., Puls,** J., **Hilier,**  D.J., **Pauldrach, A.W.A. 1996a, A&A, 306,899**
- **Berghofer, T.W., Schmitt, Cassinelli, J.P. 1996b, A&AS, 118, 481**
- **Blaauw, A. 1993, ASPC, 35, 207**
- **Bohannan, B., Abbott, D.C., Voels, S.A., Hummer, D.G., 1986, ApJ, 308, 728**
- **Chiueh, T. 1997, ApJ, 482, LI79**
- **Chlebowski, T., Harnder, F.R., Sciortino, S. 1989, ApJ, 341, 427**
- **Cranmer, S.R., Owocki, S.P. 1996, ApJ, 462, 469**
- **Ebbets,** S.D. **1982, ApJS, 48, 399**
- **Feldmeier, A., Kudritzki,** R.-P., **Palsa, R., Pauldrach, A.W.A., Puls,** J. **1997, A&A, in press**
- **Han@, T., Howarth,** I.D. **1996, AkA, 310,533**
- **Henrürsen, R.N. 1994, ApSpSc, 221,25**
- **mer, D.J., Kudntzki, R.-P.,** Pauidrach, A.W.A., **Baade,** Dey Caosinelii, J.P., **Puls,** J., **Schmitt, J.H.M.M.** 1993, **A&A,** 276, 128
- Howarth, **I.D.,** Prinja, **R.K., Massa,** D. **1995, ApJ, 452, L65**
- Johnson, **H.L.** 1965, **ApJ,** 141, 923
- Kaper, **L., Henrichs,** H.F., **Nichols, J.S., Snoek, L.C.,** Volten, H., **Zwarthoed,**  G.A.A. 1996, **A&AS,** 116, 257
- **Kudritzki,** R.-P., Simon, K.P., **Hamann,** W.-R **1983, A&A, 118, 245**
- Moffat, A.F.J., **Michaud,** G. 1981, **ApJ,** 251, 133
- hiloffat, **A.F.J.,** Robert, C. 1992, in: Nonisotropic **and** Variable Outflows **from Stars,** A.S.P. Conference **Series,** Vo1.22, **eds.** L. **Drissen,** C. Leitherer & **A.**  Nota, **p.203**
- Moffat, A.F.J., Robert, C. 1994, **ApJ 421,** 310
- **Owocki,** S.P. **1994, ApSpSc, 221, 3**
- **Pauldrach,** A.W.A., Puls, **J.,** Kudritzki, R.-P. 1986, **A&A, 164, 86**
- Prinja, **R.K., Howarth,** LD. 1986, ApJS, 61,357
- Prinja, **R.K.** 1988, **MNRAS,** 231, 21
- **Prinja, R.K. 1992, in: Nonisotropic and Variable Outflows from Stars, A.S.P.** Conference Series, Vol.22, eds. L. Drissen, C. Leitherer & A. Nota, p.167
- Puis, J., Kudntzki, R.-P., **Herrero,** A., Pauidrach, **A.W.A., Haser, S.M., Lennon, D.J.,** Gabler, **R, Voeis,** S.A., **Vidiez, LM.,** Wachter, S., Feldmeier, A. 1996, A&A, 305, 171
- **Reid, A.H.N., Howarth,** LD. 1996, **A&A,** 311,616

**Robert,** C. 1992, **Ph.D. Thesis, Département de Physique, Université de** Montréal

**Schaerer, D., Schrnutz, W., Grenon, hi[+ 1997, ApJL (1 Aug)** 

van der Hucht, K.A., et al. 1997, New Astronomy, in press

.

**Walborn, N.R 1972, AJ, 77,312** 

.,

**Chapter 2** 

# **The William-Wehlau Spectropolarimeter: Observing Hot Stars in al1 Four Stokes**

THOMAS EVERSBERG<sup>1,2</sup>, ANTHONY F.J. MOFFAT<sup>1,2</sup>, MICHAEL

DEBRUYNE<sup>3,2</sup>, JOHN B. RICE<sup>4</sup>, NIKOLAI PISKUNOV<sup>3,5</sup>, PIERRE BASTIEN<sup>1</sup>,

WILLIAM **H. WEHLAU<sup>3,6</sup> AND OLIVIER CHESNEAU<sup>7</sup>** 

**PUBLICATIONS OF THE ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY OF THE PACIFIC, 110, 1356 (1998)** 

*Received* **1998** *Febrtaary 13; accepted* **1998** *July* **22** 

# **2.1 Abstract**

**We introduce a new polarimeter unit which, mounted at the Cassegrain focus of any telescope and fiber-connected to a tixed CCD spectrograph, is able** 

**'Département de Physique, Universit6 de Montréal, CP. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréai, QC, H3C 357, Canada, and Observatoire du mont M4gantic** 

<sup>2</sup>Visiting Astronomer, University of Toronto Southern Observatory, Las Campanas, Chile <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Western Ontario, London, ON,

**N6A 3K7, Canada** 

**%andon Uaivetsity, 270** - **18th Street, Brandon, MB, RIA 6A9, Canada** 

**sCippda UnivetSity, Bac 5151,575 1 20 Uppaala, Sweden** 

 $<sup>6</sup>$ **deceased**</sup>

**70\*beervatoire de la Côte d'AmrI 2130 route de l'ObsetvatOire, Causgois,** û646û **St. Vallier** de Thiey, France

to measure all Stokes parameters  $I, Q, U$  and  $V$  across spectral lines of bright stellar targets **and** other point sources in a quasi-simultaneous manner. Apply**ing** standard reduction techniques for linearly and circularly polarized light we are able to **obtain** photon-noise lirnited iine poIarization. We briefly outline the technical design of the polarimeter unit **and** the linear algebraic Mueller **calculus**  for **obtaining** polaxization parameters of **any** point source. In addition, practical limitations of the optical elements are outlined.

We present first results obtained with our spectropolarimeter for four bright, hot-star targets: We confirm previous results for  $H\alpha$  in the bright Be star  $\gamma$  Cas and find linear depolarization features across the emission line complex C  $III / C$  IV  $(\lambda 5696/\lambda 5808$  Å) of the WR+O binary  $\gamma^2$  Vel. We also find circular line polarization in the strongly magnetic Ap star  $53 \text{ Cam}$  across its H $\alpha$  absorption line. No obvious line polarization features are seen across  $H\alpha$  in the variable O star  $\theta^1$  Ori C above the  $\sigma \sim 0.2\%$  instrumental level.

# **2.2 Introduction**

**Obtaining** polarization spectra of stars is a relatively young topic. Only a few instruments **exist** for mid- and **large-size** telescopes - needed to detect the generally low polarization levels. Most popuiar **is** the use of a rotatable **half**wave retarder plate dong with a crystal polarizer to **measure** lineariy polarized light. **Examples** of where this **is** done **are** the **Anglo-Austraiian** (Bailey 1991) and Herschel (Tinbergen & Rutten 1992) telescopes. At Keck the so-called "dualwaveplate" method **is** used (see, e.g., Cohen et al. 1996, Goodnch et ai. 1995) consieting of a quarter-mve and a **half-wave** plate. **Using** a **quarter-wave** plate **(QWP), e.g., at ESO/CASPEC,** dows **one** to **measure circularly** polarized light (Mathys **k Stenflo** 1986). **However, there are** only a **few instruments** able to conveniently **meanue** both linearly and **citcularly** poiarized **iight** together. **A very recent example is** the **ncn** spectropolarimeta at the **Bernard** Lyot Telescope at

## Pic Du Midi (Donati et **aI.** 1997).

Some stars are well known to show intrinsic linear (e.g., St-Louis et al. 1987) as **weil** as circular **(e.g., Borra** & **Landstreet** 1980) continuum polarization. **The main** sources of intrinsic continuum polarization are electron (Thomson) and **(les**  important) Rayleigh scattering in stelIar atmospheres and environments, whereas non-relativistic gyrating electrons in **magnetic** fields yield broadband linear **and**  circular polarization (e.g., Schmidt 1988). Interstellar grains normally lead to srnoothiy wavelength-dependent **iinear** polarization (Serkowski et al. **1975),** with Iow-amplitude circular polarization crossing **over** at ünear **peak** (Martin **k Camp**  bel1 1076). Intrinsic **line** polarization **can** occur due to the **Zeeman** effect **(circu**lar and to a lesser extent linear, depending on the magnetic field strength **and**  orientation) and a~ymmetries between **line** and continuum sources (linear). For example, emission lines formed further out in a stellar wind are generally less polarized, leading to linear depolarization across the line, if asymmetries such as wind flattening are present (Schulte-Ladbeck et al. 1992).

Spectropolarimetry **is** relatively rarely **used** in steiiar astronomy. In this pa**per** we focus on **spectropolarimetry** of hot **stars** only, for **wàich** the **main** difficulties are:

- The degree of hot-star (from  $T_{eff}$  = 7500 K for an A9 star to 50 000 K for an 03 **star)** polarization is often **much less than** 1% in the continuum, 0.1% in lines (excluding Ap stars), which requires long exposure times and/or large telescopes.
- If in a stellar atmosphere, the source of electron (or Rayleigh) scattering shows **radial symmetry, most** of the polarization **canceis** out. **As a conse**quence, **deviations fiom** sphericai **synimetry** or a **stmctured** wind are the fundamental conditions for detection of intrinsic, non-magnetic linear polarization.

A global **steiiar** magnetic dipole **is** the field configuration with the **highest**  probability of detection in **circular** polarization. Detection of higher order or localized dipole field geometries is more difficult, requiring high spectral resolution **aided** by **stellar** rotation or **wind** expansion.

On the other hand the step from measuring broadband to measuring nar**rowband (i.e.** spectral) polarization allows one to better disentangle the global structure of hot star winds and/or to provide more detailed information about magnetic field geometries. Furthermore it **is** of geat interest to **measure** both **ünear** and circular polatization at the **same** (or nearly **so)** time, **since, e.g., in** hot **stars,** the magnetic configuration creating Eircular **Iine** polarization is expected to be associated with wind asymmetries yieldhg **linear** polarization. For a more detailed review about the use of spectropolarimetry in hot **stars** we **refer** to **Ev**ersberg  $(1996)$ .

#### **2.3 The Polarimeter Unit and the Mueller Calculus**

**The** newly built **Wüliam-Wehlau-Spectropolarimeter is** a combination of retarder consisting of **two** rotatable **QWP's and** a Wollaston **prism** as beam-splitter and double-beam polarizer, leading light from stellar point sources via a double fiber-feed into a fuced **CCD** dit spectrograph. The instrument **was** developed **and**  built at the University of Western Ontario in collaboration with Brandon University (Manitoba) and Université de Montréal (Québec). **Figure 2.1 shows** the basic **design** of the polarimeter unit.

**The WW** Spectropolarimeter performs polarimetric **analysis** of starlight. **It**  is designed to be mounted at the  $\approx$  f/8 Cassegrain focus of medium or large **size telescopes. Incoming** starlight pasees through an input aperture (pinhale, normally with a diameter  $D = 200 \mu m$  and is collimated by an  $f/8$  system of **Iensea. The collimated Iight then passes through the analmg section of the in-** 



Figure 2.1. Simple sketch of the William-Wehlau-Spectropolarimeter.

strument consisting of **two** rotatable achrornatic AR coated **QWP's** (controlled by **a** personal computer), and a **fixed** Wollaston prism as polarizer. The **inde**pendent rotation of the **QWP's** relative to the Wollaston **prisrn detemines** the polarization components that are **being** measured. The **Wollaston** prism **separates**  the components into two **linearly polarized beams whose** polarization orientations are parallel (ordinary ray,  $I_{\parallel}$ ) and perpendicular (extraordinary ray,  $I_{\perp}$ ) to the **fast axis** of the **prism.** The **two beams exit** the Wollaston **prism** separated by an angle of **0.67,** with **each** beam **deviating** the **same** amount **from** the optical 8uis. A zoom lens system then focuses the aperture for each beam to  $D = 100 \mu m$  at  $f/4$  onto twin  $D = 150 \mu m$  optical fibers (silica, step indexed, high OH, polymide **buffer)** which are separated by 1 mm. Therefore, light that is always  $\approx 100\%$  polarized **parailel** to the **fast axis** is **focused** onto one **fiber, while light** that is **aiways**  100% polarized **perpendicuiar** to the fast **axis is** focused onto the other **fiber.**  Note that a deviation **between** the orientation **axes** of the Wollaston prism **and the zen, positions** of the **QWP's is necessary so** that **this can** occur **(see** below).

**The fibers** then **feed** the light for **each beam** to the **siit** of a **spectrograph,**  where the  $\approx$  f/4 emerging light from the fibers is re-imaged to f/8 at the slit.

The spectra of the light fiom each **fiber** are imaged so that they **ate** parailel and adjacent, with sufficient space between them on the CCD detector of the spectrograph. The **two** components can be combined over an observing sequence to obtain ail **four** Stokes' parameters, that **fully** define the polarization of the light. **When** the light is dispersed by the spectrograph, the polarization of the starlight may be calculated as the wavelength-dependent quantities  $I(\lambda)$ ,  $Q(\lambda)$ ,  $U(\lambda)$  and  $V(\lambda)$  via the Mueller calculus (see below).

For calibration purposes and locating the zero position of the QWP's **l,**  a rernovable Glan-Taylor prism, which produces nearly 100% linearly polarized light at aJi opticai wavelengths, can be inserted in front of the retarders. The fast **axes** of the **QWP's** must first be aligned with the symrnetry **axis** of the Wollaston **prism** to obtain simple orientation relations for the polarimetric output. This can be entirely done automatically using a computer algorithm or step-by-step by rotating each QWP to locate intensity extrema of the 100% polarized beam exiting the Glan-Taylor **prism. The** polarization axis of the Glan-Tayler prism is normaliy aligned with the **axis** of the Wollaston prism. This **is** necessary to get a simple output for 100% polarized light **(maximum** in one **beam, minimum** in the other). **The** fiber positions are **aligneci** with the Wollaston axis **by shining** light **back** through the **fibers, whose** orientation as **weU** as that of the Wollaston axis **is** adjusted so that **one** sees two colinear pairs of beams **hving at** the aperture plane (viewed by reflection from behind). The two **inner** beams of each pair must superimpose onto the aperture for correct alignment.

Foilowing the **Muelier** calculus **and** the **des** for matrix algebra, **we can**  calculate the four Stokes' parameters for this arrangement with retardance  $\tau$ (ideally 90 $^{\circ}$ ) for both QWP's. With A the input Stokes vector  $(I, Q, U, V)$  and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to the manufacturer's specifications, the currently installed achromatic QWP's have ideal retardances  $(\tau = 90^\circ)$  at two wavelengths only,  $\lambda = 4300$  and 6000 Å. Between, **and out to sed lûû** À **beyond** these **values, the retardance** vacies in **a simple but non-linear**  fashion between 85° and 95°.

 $A'$  the output Stokes vector  $(I', Q', U', V')$  after passing the retarder plates with **matrices Ri and** &, **and the polarizer with matrix P, we have** (writing **A and A' in vertical form)** :

$$
\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}_2 \times \mathbf{R}_1 \times \mathbf{A}.\tag{2.1}
$$

**Note that we can oniy actually mesure intensities** *I'* **of the output beams. The general forms of**  $\mathbf{R}_{1,2}$  **and P** (assumed to be well aligned in the beam) are given **by Serkowski (2):** 

$$
\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos^2 2\psi + \sin^2 2\psi \cos \tau & (1 - \cos \tau) \cos 2\psi \sin 2\psi & -\sin 2\psi \sin \tau \\ 0 & (1 - \cos \tau) \cos 2\psi \sin 2\psi & \sin^2 2\psi + \cos^2 2\psi \cos \tau & \cos 2\psi \sin \tau \\ 0 & \sin 2\psi \sin \tau & -\cos 2\psi \sin \tau & \cos \tau \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cos 2\varphi & \sin 2\varphi & 0 \\ \cos 2\varphi & \cos^2 2\varphi & \cos 2\varphi \sin 2\varphi & 0 \\ \sin 2\varphi & \cos 2\varphi \sin 2\varphi & \sin^2 2\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
(2.3)

**where**  $\psi$  is the rotation angle of the redardation plate axis with respect to the **polarizer axis measured counterclockwise as seen looking toward the sky, and** *cp*  is the **transmission angle of the polarizer (** $\varphi = 0^{\circ}$  **for**  $\parallel$ **,**  $\varphi = 90^{\circ}$  **for**  $\perp$ **).** 

Following these expressions for different angular positions of the QWP's and adopting  $\tau \equiv 90^{\circ}$  for both plates, we get a number of resulting equations for the final, observed Stokes parameters, of which the intensity **I'** (after allowance **for various gain factors) contains** ail **the information we need to derive** *I,* **Q, U and** *V* **of the original beam A. If we indicate the intensity in either of the**  detected output beams  $I'_{\psi_1,\psi_2,\parallel}, I'_{\psi_1,\psi_2,\perp}$ , with respect to the angular values of the two retarders, and orientation of the beams from the polarizer ( $\parallel$  or  $\perp$ ), in this **order; F as a time-dependent varying fuaction, which is the same for each beam,**  here  $F_{\psi_1, \psi_2}$  (e.g., atmospheric seeing, transparency); and  $G$  the time-independent **gain factor for each beam (e.g., fiber transmission, pixel sensitivity), we obtain, for example** \*:

$$
I'_{0,0,\parallel} = 1/2(I+Q)F_{0,0}G_{\parallel} \tag{2.4}
$$

$$
I'_{0,0,\perp} = 1/2(I-Q)F_{0,0}G_{\perp} \tag{2.5}
$$

$$
I'_{45,45,||} = 1/2(I - Q)F_{45,45}G_{||}
$$
 (2.6)

$$
I'_{45,45,\perp} = 1/2(I+Q)F_{45,45}G_{\perp} \tag{2.7}
$$

$$
I'_{0,45,\parallel} = 1/2(I+U)F_{0,45}G_{\parallel}
$$
 (2.8)

$$
I'_{0,45,\perp} = 1/2(I-U)F_{0,45}G_{\perp}
$$
 (2.9)

$$
I'_{0,-45,\parallel} = 1/2(I-U)F_{0,-45}G_{\parallel} \tag{2.10}
$$

$$
I'_{0,-45,\perp} = 1/2(I+U)F_{0,-45}G_{\perp}
$$
\n(2.11)

$$
I'_{-45,0,\parallel} = 1/2(I+V)F_{-45,0}G_{\parallel}
$$
 (2.12)

$$
I'_{-45,0,\perp} = 1/2(I-V)F_{-45,0}G_{\perp}
$$
 (2.13)

$$
I'_{45,0,\parallel} = 1/2(I-V)F_{45,0}G_{\parallel}
$$
 (2.14)

$$
I'_{45,0,\perp} = 1/2(I+V)F_{45,0}G_{\perp} \qquad (2.15)
$$

**With these equations we easily obtain the intensity-normalized Stokes parameters q, u and u:**  Ĵ.

$$
q \equiv \frac{Q}{I} = \frac{R_Q - 1}{R_Q + 1} \tag{2.16}
$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Intermediate QWP positions are also usable, in principle, though requiring more complicated calculations.

$$
u \equiv \frac{U}{I} = \frac{R_U - 1}{R_U + 1} \tag{2.17}
$$

$$
v \equiv \frac{V}{I} = \frac{R_V - 1}{R_V + 1} \quad , \tag{2.18}
$$

with

$$
R_Q = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{0,0,\parallel} \cdot I'_{45,45,\perp}}{I'_{0,0,\perp} \cdot I'_{45,45,\parallel}}} \tag{2.19}
$$

$$
R_U = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{0,45,\parallel} \cdot I'_{0,-45,\perp}}{I'_{0,45,\perp} \cdot I'_{0,-45,\parallel}}} \tag{2.20}
$$

$$
R_V = \sqrt{\frac{I'_{-45,0,\parallel} \cdot I'_{45,0,\perp}}{I'_{-45,0,\perp} \cdot I'_{45,0,\parallel}}} \quad . \tag{2.21}
$$

Note that these double ratios<sup>3</sup> are impervious to both time dependent variations and time independent gain factors (no flat-fielding **necessaxy),** as long **as** the **two beams** are **meanired simultaneously** on the **same** part of the detector **each**  time. **This** is normaily the **case. They** shouid therefore be purely photon-noise **ümited.** The intensity **T,** within a constant factor **(which will vary** smoothly with wavelength, as for any spectrograph), **is easy** to get fkom a **simple** addition of both **beams** on a given image, **after** appropriate determination of the gain factors by Bat-fielding. **Since** q, u and **u** are independent of these **gain** factors, it **is clear chat** one **can** obtain **much** higher **precision** for them compared to *I.* Also note that any of the angles  $\psi$  of the  $\lambda/4$  plates can be replaced by  $\psi \pm 180^\circ$  with identical results, **providing** the **surfaces** of the plates **are** not **inclined** to the optical **axis**  (Serkowski 1974). This **is** shown in Pdimensional mode in Table **l** for the **two**  QWP position angles  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  and the corresponding output  $I'_{ij}/I'_{\perp}$ .

If  $\tau$  deviates from 90°, the matrix **R** introduces cross-talk in the output Stokes' parameters. In our case, measured values for the output  $I'_{\parallel}/I'_{\perp}$  using the

**<sup>=</sup>This is** tefetred **to as self'bration by Miner et al. (1).** 

**TABLE 2.1.** Output matrix for the ratio  $I_{\parallel}'/I_{\perp}'$  and different QWP positions **according to eqns. (da)** - **(a), generalized to include al1 useful angles that measure**  one Stokes' polarization parameter at a time, but neglecting  $G_{\parallel}/G_{\perp}$ .

	$-90^{\circ}$ $-45^{\circ}$ 0° $45^{\circ}$ 90° $135^{\circ}$ 180° $225^{\circ}$			
	-135 $\frac{1-U}{1+U}$ $\frac{1+Q}{1-Q}$ $\frac{1+U}{1-U}$ $\frac{1-Q}{1+Q}$ $\frac{1-U}{1+U}$ $\frac{1+Q}{1-Q}$ $\frac{1+U}{1-U}$ $\frac{1-Q}{1+Q}$			
	$-45^{\circ}$ $\left  \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1+U}{1-U} & \frac{1-Q}{1+Q} & \frac{1+U}{1+U} & \frac{1+Q}{1-Q} & \frac{1+U}{1+Q} & \frac{1-Q}{1+Q} & \frac{1+Q}{1+Q} \end{array} \right $			
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccc} 0 & \frac{I+Q}{I-Q} & \frac{I+V}{I-Q} & \frac{I+Q}{I+V} & \frac{I+Q}{I-Q} & \frac{I+V}{I-Q} & \frac{I+Q}{I+V} & \frac{I+Q}{I+Q} & \frac{I+Q}{I+V} & \frac{I+Q}{I+Q} & \frac{I+Q}{I+V} & \frac{I+Q$			
	135° $\left  \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1+U}{I-U} & \frac{I-Q}{I+Q} & \frac{I+U}{I-Q} & \frac{I+U}{I-Q} & \frac{I+U}{I+Q} & \frac{I-Q}{I+U} & \frac{I+U}{I-Q} \end{array} \right $			

<sup>4</sup>The QWP angles refer to ideal values  $\psi$ , not  $\psi_{obs}$  – see text. Values of  $\psi$  do not nin **fiom 0' to 360' for each plate, due to limitations in rotation of the QWP's in the** WW **spectropolarimeter (cf. Table 2** )

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

\*

Glan-Taylor **prism** deviate slightly from expected values. This **is shown in** Table 2, where each **rnatrix** element consists of the theoteticdy expected value **above** the measured output value  $I_{\parallel}'/I_{\perp}'$  in brackets.

While modern achromatic polarizing elements (e.g., Glan-Taylor prisms, Wollaston **prisms)** can be manufactured to **very high** tolerances, the **same** cannot be claimed for retarders, even if "superachromatic" (Vats 1997). In **an attempt to calculate** the **ceal** retardance for each QWP **at** a fked wavelength, **we start** with:

$$
\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{A}.\tag{2.22}
$$

**Using** the Mueller matrices P and R, we have for the ordinary **beam** 

$$
I'_{\parallel} = \frac{F_{\psi}G_{\parallel}}{2} [I + Q(\cos^2 2\psi + \sin^2 2\psi \cos \tau) + U(1 - \cos \tau) \cos 2\psi \sin 2\psi - V \sin 2\psi \sin \tau]
$$
\n(2.23)

and for the **extraordinary** beam

$$
I'_{\perp} = \frac{F_{\psi}G_{\perp}}{2} [I - Q(\cos^2 2\psi + \sin^2 2\psi \cos \tau) - U(1 - \cos \tau) \cos 2\psi \sin 2\psi + V \sin 2\psi \sin \tau].
$$
\n(2.24)

With **100% polarized light in Q (Le.** with the **aligned** Glan-Taylor prism) we **have**   $U = V = 0$  and  $Q = I$  and we get:

$$
I'_{\parallel} = \frac{F_{\psi} G_{\parallel} I}{2} [1 + \cos^2 2\psi + \sin^2 2\psi \cos \tau]
$$
 (2.25)

**and** 

$$
I'_{\perp} = \frac{F_{\psi} G_{\perp} I}{2} [1 - \cos^2 2\psi - \sin^2 2\psi \cos \tau]. \tag{2.26}
$$

For  $\tau = 90^\circ$ , we have the ideal case:

$$
I'_{\parallel} = \frac{F_{\psi} G_{\parallel} I}{2} [1 + \cos^2 2\psi]
$$
 (2.27)

**and** 

$$
I'_{\perp} = \frac{F_{\psi} G_{\perp} I}{2} \sin^2 2\psi. \tag{2.28}
$$

TABLE 2.2. Example output matrix with the Glan-Taylor prism inserted  $(Q =$  $I, U = V = 0$ ) for the ratio  $I_{\parallel}' / I_{\perp}'$  and different QWP positions in a narrow band centered near H $\alpha$ . Each matrix element consists of the theoretical output (with  $G_{\parallel}/G_{\perp}=1$ ) and the measured value in brackets. Angles have been corrected to coincide with appropriate extrema  $(\psi, \text{ not } \psi_{obs}, \text{ see Fig.2.2}).$ 



However,  $I'_{\parallel}$  and  $I'_{\perp}$  deviate slightly from the expected ideal output functions at certain angular positions. In detail within the constants  $G_{\parallel}$  and  $G_{\perp}$ ,  $I'_{\parallel} + I'_{\perp}$ is conserved, whereas maxima of  $I'_\perp$  and minima of  $I'_\parallel$  appear to follow a simple sine-wave with rotation angle. This behavior **must obviously** be **caused** by **small**  deviations of  $\tau$  from 90 $^{\circ}$  for both QWP's. By using

$$
\cos \tau = c_1 + c_2 \sin \psi + c_3 \cos \psi. \tag{2.29}
$$

we fitted eqns. (9a) and (9b) via  $\chi^2$  minimization, leading to  $(F_{\psi}G_{\parallel}I/2, F_{\psi}G_{\perp}I/2)$  =  $(5303, 4559), c_1 = 0.050, c_2 = -0.049$  and  $c_3 = -0.001$  for QWP 1 and  $(F_{\psi}G_{\|}I/2, F_{\psi}G_{\perp}I/2)$  $=$  (5330,4700),  $c_1 = 0.160$ ,  $c_2 = 0.017$  and  $c_3 = 0.002$  for QWP2. The fit also yielded a slight shift of the extrema from their theoretically expected positions, leading to corrections  $\psi = 0.994 \cdot \psi_{obs} - 10.12^{\circ}$  for QWP1 and  $\psi =$ 0.999  $\cdot \psi_{obs}$  – 13.87° for *QWP2*. The deviation from unity of the slopes is likely a consequence of imperfections in the stepping motors.

To illustrate this for the WW polarimeter, we **show in** Fig. 2.2 for each **QWP**  separately, combined with the aligned Glan-Taylor and Wollaston prisms, how the outputs  $I'_{\parallel}$  and  $I'_{\perp}$  vary compared with predictions.

We dicovered the reason for this behavior by sending a laser-beam through each QWP independently. In transmission, the outcoming **beams** were geomet**rically highly** stable while rotating the plates, whereas in reflection both plates showed one or two beams that rotated in ellipticd patterns around a geometricaily stable central **beam.** 

The variation of the effective retardation of the **QWPs** with rotation **angle arises** because of the **varying** angle of inclination that the coliimated beam **has**  with the **QWP** (or one of its **individual** layers) as the **QWP** is rotated. If the **QWP** were mounted in its cell so that the surfaces were not normal to the axis of the **cd and** the **celi** axis **were properly aiigned** with the optical **axis** of the **collimateci** beam, **then** that one misalignment by **itself wodd produce** no change



**Figure 2.2. Output of the two beams with the Glan-Taylor prism,** QWP **1 (left) and** QWP **2 (right) and the Wollaston prism, in a narrow band centered near Hcr. Top panel: Open and filled circies, respectively, represent the measured average intensity in the ordinary beam**  $(I'_\parallel)$  **and extraordinary beam**  $(I'_\perp)$ **. The curves represent the âts ushg eqns. (9a), (9b) and (11) after dowing for a shift in angle to match best our data points. Bottom panel: Top: Residuals from a**  $\chi^2$  **minimum** fit for  $\tau$  = constant, as indicated for both QWP's. Bottom: Residuals from a  $\chi^2$ minimum fit using eq.  $(11)$ . Values obtained for  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are indicated.

in inclination as the ce11 is rotated. If the cell **were** rotated about **an axis** that is misaligned with the optical **axis** but the QWP were properly mounted normal to the *axis* of the cell then again there would be no variation in the inclination angle with rotation as a result of that misalignment alone. However, if both misalignments are present, i.e. the orientation of the QWP in its cell is not normal to the **axis** of rotation and the **avis** of rotation **is** not aligned with the optical **axis,**  then we have an oblique rotator. As the ce11 is rotated, the **angle** of inclination will nod with respect to the optical **axis** and the effective retardation will **Vary.**  If the misalignments are only a few minutes of arc, then the variation will be small, of course. In our case we found that the *front* surface of each multi-element **QWP was** normal to the rotation **axis** of the celi but that the air **gap between**  some of the additional components **was** a **wedge** so that **some** parts of the **QWP**  participated in the oblique rotator behaviour. **This varying** inclination introduces small angle-dependent deviations from the mean retardance (see eqns. 8.3.2 and 8.3.3 in Serkowski 1974). A sine-wave behavior for  $\tau$  (or cos  $\tau$  for  $\tau$  moderately close to **90")** is thus quite reasonable, as found above.

The solution to this problem is simple. Either be more careful that there is no **misalignment** of **any** of the components of the **QWPs** in their cells or be careful that the rotation **axis is** quite precisely **aligned** with the optical **axis.** Either will **suffice but** obviously if both are accomplished the effect **we** have seen will be removed. It is important to note that we chose to use QWPs with **an** air gap **so** that even **with** variation in the angle of the **surfaces** of the cornponents to the incident angle of the transmitted beam in a collimated beam situation, there **wouid** be absolutely no movement of the image formed by the **camera** optics. **A**  QWP that **is inclined** to the collimated beam would generate a displacement of the **coilimateci** beam but no deviation in direction. That **is** a consequence of the **tact** that a **QWP must have, by its very nature,** surfaces **that are pacdel to one anow to a very high degree of precision.** 

It is not obvious that superachromatic retarders (although giving better tolerances on  $\tau$  close to  $90^\circ$ , compared to the achromats here) would behave **much** better (Donati et al. **1997), since** they are even more **cornplex,** requiring more dielectric layers (Vats 1997).

We also obtained a **number** of exposures of **bright stars** with the Glan-Taylor **prism** to measure the overall efficiency and possible cross-talk between different **Stokes'** parameters at different wavelengths. As **seen** in **Fig.** 2.3, cross-talk **be**tween different polarization parameters shows **wavy** structures as a function of wavelength, with an amplitude which never **exceeds** 4%. Some of the non-zero offset in  $\bar{u}$  might be due to a slight misalignment in the rotation of the Glan-Taylor **prism.** We can however exclude this as the reason for the imperfect behavior **of**  retardance  $\tau$  with angle and wavelength. We find similar behavior also in our **UTSO** data in the **blue.** 

**The** bottom line here **is** that we **can probably eliminate** these devistions **by**  applying first-order corrections and/or using better QWP's. For our described observations **with** imperfect **QWP's** we minimize the impact **on** deviations in the **haî** Stokes **dues** by choosing ranges **of** rotation for the **QWP's** where the two required ratio peaks are more nearly the same and overall deviations from  $r = 90^\circ$ are smallest.

#### **2.4 Observations**

In order to test the **WW** polarimeter on the **sky, we have** observed a **num**ber of different hot **star** prototypes to **cover various** spectropolarimetric outputs. These include the brightest Be star visible in the sky  $\gamma$  Cas (BO IVe), the young variable Orion Trapezium star  $\theta^1$  Ori C (O7V) and the strongly magnetized Ap **star** 53 Cam **(A2p). These were** observed **duriag two** runs **at the 1.6m telescope of the Observatoire du mont Mégantic** (OMM) in September **and December** 1997



**Figure 2.3. Stokes**  $q$ **,**  $u$  **and**  $v$  **for Sirius (** $\alpha$  **CMa) observed with the Glan-Taylor prism at UTSO. Average values in the wavelength range 5200** - **6200** À **are indicated. Note that** u **shouid be zero for a perfect instrument.** 

**using** the William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter **at** the **Cassegrain** focus. With the **600** l/mm grating of the fiber-fed spectrograph we obtained a net (FWHM) resolution of 1.9 Å in the wavelength range 5800 - 7100Å, to cover mainly  $H\alpha$ , HeI **5876 and He 1** X **6678.** 

We have also observed the 78-day WR+O binary  $\gamma^2$  Vel (WC8+09 **I**) during a five **week** run in Feb/March **2997** at the University of Toronto Southern Observatory **(UTSO)** at **Las Campanas,** Chile. We obtained spectra during **21** nights within  $\Delta \phi \sim \pm 0.2$  of periastron passage. Using the Garrison spectrograph the 3-pixel spectral resolution was about 6 A in the effective wavelength region 5200  $-$  6000Å covering mainly the HeII  $\lambda$  5411, CIII  $\lambda$  5696, CIV  $\lambda$  5802/12 and HeI  $\lambda$  5876 emission lines.

The very first step for each night was the calibration of the QWP positions. For this we sent an artificial light source through the system including the Glan-Taylor prism. With 100% input polarized light, we located where the intensities  $I'_{\parallel}$  and  $I'_{\perp}$  reached extreme values. Actually, our computer program is able to find these extrema by itself. However, **we** found a **srnail, but** significant inconsistency in the computer output positions, probably introduced by the chromatic- **and**  angle-dependent nature of the quarter-wave plates.

For each program star we obtained a **number** of **exposure** sequences, each **containing** two, four or **six** spectral **images** at dinerent QWP positions to **measure**   $v(\lambda)$ ;  $q(\lambda)$  and  $u(\lambda)$ ; or  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$ ,  $v(\lambda)$ ; respectively, as indicated above (cf. eqns. **(4a)** - **(6c)). One** sequence **was** obtained with the Glan-Taylor **prism** when neces**sary,** to correct for possible cross- **talk** between different **Stokes** parameters and to **evaiuate** the overall efficiency of detecting polarization. Complete sequences for **non-polarized standard stars (e.g.**  $\beta$  **Cas at OMM and**  $\alpha$  **CMa at UTSO) were also oked. Polarized** standards are **generally** too **faint** to be observed for **ou purpose, compounded** with **a problem** of **reliably meaguring** continuum **polarization (see** below) .

**A** number of flat-field images, wavelength comparison spectra **and bis** im**ages** were obtained at the begiming and **end** of each night. **The** procedures for flat-fielding and wavelength calibration were different at each telescope:

- **At UTSO** we used an intemal Tungsten lamp in the **optical** feeding box between the polarimeter and the telescope for fiat-fielding. **A** Fe-Ne **larnp in** the **same** feeding box **was** used for wavelength calibration.
- At OMM **we** used the auto-guider of the telescope. For this reason we could not use the feeding optics and obtained dome-flats in the **usual manner.** For wavelength calibration **we** used a **Cu-Ar** lamp in the spectrograph.

As one **can see,** light frorn the comparison lamp at **UTSO** passes through the whole instrument, whereas at OMM the cornparison **light originated** in the spectrograph. Despite these different configurations, we found no significant differences between these techniques.

## **2.5 Data Reduction**

As one **can** see **hm** the final equations, a fidl **observing** sequence for **ail 4 Stokes** parameters consists of 6 exposures at different QWP positions. **Each**  exposure results in a two-dimensional CCD image with two spectra, one for the ordinary beam and one for the extraordinary beam.

Mer bias subtraction, the possibility of **blending** between the **two** beams (see Harries 1995 in the case of an echelle spectrograph, where free space between adjacent **orders is limiteci) was** considered. Because of ample separation **between**  the **two fibers,** both where they receive and **deliver** the **iight beams,** blending **is**  negligible in **our case. In addition we checked for any shift** of the spectral pair **fiom** one image to another on the CCD **chip. This is necessary to avoid division**  by different pixels, and hence different sensitivities on the chip, within the same **observing** sequence. Foc **this** reason we cross-correlated **the** first image **in** a **given** . fixed wavelength range with all other images in a direction perpendicular to the dispersion. The **shiR was** always found to be negligibly **small.** 

The next step **was** to check for deviations from ided **geometrical** orientation of the spectra on the **CCD** chip, in order to avoid problems in tracing the apertures when collapsing the spectra (see Donati et al. 1997). The angle between the dispersion direction and the **CCD** axis **was smd: 1.0'** at UTSO and **0.18"** at OMM. With **such** small angles, **we** do not have to worry about significant spectral **smearing during** the extraction. **<sup>0</sup>**

The reduction procedure **was** carried out with IRAF and-its standard tools. One problem **was** the strong asymmetric shape and its **slight** variation with wave**length** of **one** of the spectrum pain perpendicuiar to the dispersion in the **UTSO**  data. The **IRAF/APSUM task** traces the Iine peak and averages **flux** perpendicular to the dispersion direction, **so** that one might **expect** giitches in tracing the aperture as the peak shifts along the spectrum. After trying different techniques for the two 10-pixel wide spectra separated centre-to-centre by about 30 pixels, we decided to choose broad, 30-pixel windows and **use** the **first** nightly spectrum as a template for aii other spectra in the same night in the **same** wavelength range, in order to guarantee division always by the same pixels according to **eqns.** (6a)  $-$  (6c). Note that we have ratioed the two spectra to obtain  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$ ,  $v(\lambda)$ *ofter* coiiapsing to **ID,** rather than in the 2D domain. **This is quite** acceptable (and simplifies the extraction technique), as pointed out **beiow** in the context of **obtaining**  $I(\lambda)$ . The above procedure was also used for our OMM data, with a **window width** for collapshg of 30 pixels. We note that **tracing** the apertures **was not** a problem here **because** of symrnetric **shapes** of **our two spectra perpendicular**  to the dispersion.

**Cosmic ray (CR) rejection was done iteratively. At first CRs were identified** 

by eye on the **original** image and rejected by replacing their intensities by the **mean** of neighboring unaffected pixels. After coiiapsing the spectra, **any** residual spikes in the **1D** spectra were deleted by **using again** the mean of neighboring unaffected pixels after re-identifying them in the 2D input images as cosmic rays. Ratioing the spectra as necessary to obtain  $q$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  has the advantage that cosmic rays, found **usudy** only in one aperture at a time, **appear** as even stronger, easy-to-identify spikes relative to the normally uncomplicated  $q, u, v$  spectra, after double-ratioing.

We **also** subtracted the image background, consisting **mostly** of dark current, which was extremely small compared to the stellar source. For this, we used a median grid of **5** pixels in windows of 10 pixel width centered at 25 pixels on either side of each beam and a background fit in the dispersion direction of 3rd order. **The background** level increases linearly with exposure **the.** This also applies to the **OMM** data, but **with** a background **window** of 30 pixel width.

As a final test we extracted the spectra by using simple averages of image windows independent of IRAF/APSUM. We calculated a 20 pixel wide average on the spectra **and** subtracted 10 **pixel** wide background averages on both sides of each beam. There is no significant difference between this and the IRAF result. For this **reason we** took the easy route by extracting **aii** the spectra in one step within IRAF.

Because  $I(\lambda)$  cannot be obtained from double-ratios as can q, u and v but **must** be obtained **bom** simple additions of **two** spectra in one image, we are obliged to reduce pixel-to-pixel variations  $I'(\lambda)$  by flat-fielding. This reduction step **was done** after collapsing the Bats **to ID** spectra **using the** same'nightly template **as** for **stariight. This is** possible **because** the two **beams always** have the same *relative* pixel-to-pixel illumination, even always the same  $\approx 100\%$  linear polarbation input into each of the ordinary and **exttaordinary** beam **fibers.** The **only thing** that **varies** at a given **wavelength is** the **relative intensity** of each **beam,** 

regardless of the spectral and polarimetric details of the stellar source.

The wavelength calibration was carried out for each beam separately using **the same nightly stellar extraction template. This was done** *before* **double-ratioing** the spectra to avoid **any** shift in wavelength space **(e.g.,** due to the dispersion direction being inclined to the CCD **auis)** between the two spectra. For **best**  results we determined individual residuals of each arc with respect to its rest wavelength and eluninated strong deviators. **-4 fifth** order Legendre polynornid fit related pixel positions to wavelength. The wavelength drift between cornparison spectra taken at the begiming **and the end of** the night **was** found to be negligibly **small. This** is not surprising, in view of **the** stable configuration with the fiber-fed spectrograph fixed in the dome.

After computing all Stokes' parameters for  $\gamma^2$  Vel we found that the con**tinuum** polarization varies **from** one polarization sequence to the **next.** Further **examination** revealed that this **occurs** for **aU** observed stars and thus **must** be instrumental in origin. The **continuum** polarization **varies** in such a **way** that **strong** stochastic deviations from **the** mean &se, combined **with** varying **gadi-**  ents  $\delta q/\delta\lambda$ ,  $\delta u/\delta\lambda$  and  $\delta v/\delta\lambda$ . Two examples for consecutive sequences of Stokes q, u and **v** obtained at UTSO and **OMM are** given in Fig. **2.4.** 

A typical stochastic rms scatter of  $\sim$  1% broadband continuum polarization **is** found in q,u and v at both telescopes. **The most** likely explanations for this are due to (1) smali variations in overall illumination of the **two fibers,** whose spatial **dace** sensitivities are never perfectly uniform, **and** (2) possible metallic aperture edge effects, both caused ultimately by seeing/guiding fluctuations. The first effect dominates in our data, since it appears equally in q, **u** and v, whereas the second effeet **is** only **expected** in q and **u. These two effects** introduce a time dependence in the **gain** factors, **G (see eqns. (4) above),** that are **different** for the two beams. **These effects** &O appear to be wome at blue **wavelengths.** We **believe**  this **is** due to wavelength-dependent **sensitivity** at the **fiber-face,** that **degades** 



Figure 2.4. Left: Six consecutive observing sequences of Stokes  $q$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  for  $\gamma^2$  Vel **during** one night at **UTSO (hand** guided). Right: Seven consecutive sequences of y **Cas** during one **night at** OMM (auto-guided).

gradudly towards **shorter** wavelengths, as **is** weii known for **fiber** transmission. Note that **at OMM we** used the auto-guider, whereas at **UTSO** guiding **was** done by eye, leading to somewhat better **results** at **OMM.** We find support for the **assumeci** instrumental origin of **this** scatter by obtaining ail Stokes' **parameters**  for dome **Bats,** which illuminate the **fibers** more uniformly and **invariably** with time. As one can see in Fig. 2.5, the broadband output is stable within  $\sim 0.04$  %, i.e, the **Poisson** noise **level.** 

Donati et al. (1997) **have** had the **same** experience with their twin fiber-fed spectropolarimeter. **This** appears to be a general limitation of **such** double-fiber configurations. **In** our polarimeter the pinhole-aperture is **imaged** onto the fibers. A possible (but difficult) **way** to avoid variations in **broadband** polarization could **be** via imaging of a pupil **instead** of the **star** onto the **fibers,** using Fabry lenses, and via use of a non-metallie aperture.

As a starting point to circumvent the problem of instrumental variations in continuum polarization, we applied a weighted linear fit to all UTSO  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$ ,  $v(\lambda)$ 



linear polarization in the dome flat light. A are indicated. The non-zero means in  $p$  and  $u$  are likely due to a small level of **O**   $0.999 - 0.000$  **d**  $0.999 - 0.00$ **higure 2.5. Three consecutive observing sequences of Stokes q, u and v for dome** 

spectra, yielding a slope **and** zero point, neglecting interstellar polarization. (For the **OMM** data we used a parabolic fit.) Along with other observations, the data in **Fig.** 2.4 **give** the impression that the curves tend to converge to the red. In fact, we found a fairly clear correlation between the slopes and their correspond**ing** zero points. However, when **applied** to the data, the noise of this correlation would introduce continuum variations of up to **2%, which** is aot acceptable. **Thus,**  we cannot estimate the exact continuum polarization. For this reason we simply subtracted a fit to the **onginai** individual Stokes q, **u** and v spectra in each **se**quence **and** thus neglected broadband polarization, when combining sequences to get mean  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$  and  $v(\lambda)$  spectra. This procedure allows us to obtain reliable, empirical estimates of the **scatteî** in pokization as a function of wavelength. On the other hand, *srnall-scale relative variations* of *polarizotion Wth wavelength (i.e. line polarization), the main goal of this instrument, are impervious to this broadband* **e** *ffect.* 

After combining to average  $q$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  spectra for various stars of the whole UTSO run, we found Mexican-hat features at atomic line positions in *all* observed absorption lines in *all* Stokes spectra. The amplitude was typically  $\sim 0.1\%$  polarization for narrow absorption lines of depth  $\sim$  0.9 continuum. This is small but quite significant and difficult to see even in *nightly* mean spectra. Apparently, the beam that contains enhanced intensity  $(I + Q, I + U, I + V)$  - even for  $q = u = v = 0$  - broadens the stellar spectrum, regardless of whether in the ordinary beam or in the **extraordinary** beam. This **induces** artificial features in Stokes  $q$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  at wavelengths where the intensity spectra have strong gradients  $\delta I/\delta\lambda$ . The origin of this behavior is completely unknown. It is not intrinsic to the **stars, since** it occurs in ail lines the **same way in q,** u, **u.** If it were **due** to the spectrogaph, it should have canceiIed out in the double-ratio **in** equations **(6a)**  - **(6c), which is** not the **case.** We 6rst **presumed** that **one** or both of the **QWP's**  introduce **this** phenornenon. However, **these** feahires do not appear **in** our **OMM**  data **and** it **is** more **like1y that our setup at UTSO introduced this behavior in a**
**way** that lacks an obvious explmation.

In **an** attempt to eliminate this **effect** in the **UTSO** data, we convolved the mean intensity spectrum  $I_{\lambda}$  with a gaussian  $G_{\lambda}$  containing  $\sigma$  as a free parameter. From this we obtained a modified spectrum  $S_\lambda$ :

$$
S_{\lambda} = \frac{I_{\lambda}}{I_{\lambda} \otimes G_{\lambda}}.
$$
 (2.30)

**This** function **gives** the form of a Mexican hat for narrow lines and resembles the observed spurious line polarization very well. We obtained a best fit with  $\sigma$  $= 0.37$  pixel ( $\sim 0.68$  Å) for all stars. Examples of this procedure are given in **Fig.** 2.6 **and 2.7.** 

After subtraction of  $S_\lambda$  from individual nightly mean Stokes spectra at UTSO, we obtained the final wavelength dependent Stokes parameters  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$ and  $v(\lambda)$ . To increase the precision without significant loss in resolution we binned **ail Stokes** spectra to an effective resolution of 2 A for the **OMM data** and 6 for the **UTSO** data.

### **2.6 Resuits**

### $2.6.1$  $\gamma^2$  Velorum

As noted in section 3, spectropolarimetric data of  $\gamma^2$  Vel were obtained during an extended run at UTSO in Feb/March 1997. In this nearby binary system with an orbital period of 78.5 days (Schmutz et al. 1997, who also give a complete description of the **orbit),** the **two wind** components create a shock cone **around**  the weaker-wind O **star (SbLouis et ai.** 1993). **The** variable **excess emission** in **the**  lines of CIII  $\lambda$  5696 Å and CIV  $\lambda$  5808 Å are presumed to be created by radiative cooiing **downstrearn dong** the cone. **The opening** angle **of** the cone **depends** on the



Figure 2.6. Simulation of polarization features with artificially broadened spectra,  $S_{\lambda}$  (see text). Bottom: Mean spectrum of  $\eta$  Cen. Top: Stokes *u* (solid) and  $S_{\lambda}$ **(dashed).** 



**Figure 2.7. Simulation of polarization features with artificiaiiy broadened spectra,**   $S_{\lambda}$  (see text). Bottom: Mean spectrum of  $\gamma^2$  Vel. Top: Stokes  $q$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  (solid) and  $S_{\lambda}$  (dashed).

relative **wind** momentum **flwxes** of the two **stars. This** shock-cone introduces a deviation from spherical symmetry in the wind so that, in principle, it could lead to phase-dependent intrinsic continuum polarization. **Even** more important to create phase-dependent continuum polarization, however, is the scatter of **O-star** light from free electrons in the asymmetrically located WR wind (see St-Louis et al. 1993 for  $\gamma^2$  Vel and other WR+O binaries). On the other hand, WR emission-line **Bux** in a **binary will** be essentiaily unpolaxized, so that phase-dependent variations of depolarization will occur at the positions of the emission lines (see Moffat & Piirola 1994). We note that constant linear line depolarization cm **also** occur for a flattened WR wind (Schulte-Ladbeck et al. 1992).

Our observations were carried out over orbital phases centered on periastron passage, i.e.  $\phi = 0.0 \pm 0.2$ . Figure 2.8 shows all four obtained Stokes parameters  $I, q, u$  and  $v$ , averaged over the whole run.

**L** 

Or bit al phase effects have pro bably diluted the net **line** polarization, **which**  is **expected** to be **largest** at orbital phases when the **stars** are seen at quadrature. Unfortunately, individuai nightly mean spectra are not of sufficient **SIN** to extract this information. We report significantly different **values** in both Stokes q **and u**  across strong emission lines compared to the continuum. Since  $\gamma^2$  Vel is known to show phase-dependent broadband polarization, **especiaily** near periastron (St-**Louis** et **al.** 1993), it **is** reasonable to assume that we are seeing line depolarization effects here. We draw attention to additional linear depolarization in the electron scattering (redward) wing of C III  $\lambda$  5696.

**We find** no **significant** circular polarization across **any lines** dong the whoie mean spectrum, above the instrumental level of  $\sim 0.03\%$ .



Figure 2.8. Mean rectified intensity spectrum of  $\gamma^2$  Vel and mean normalized **Stokes parameters q, u and** v for **the whole** nui **combined, after removd of the**  spectral broadening effect. The polarimetric data have been binned by a 3-pixel **box-car; 2a errorbars are indicated.** 

### **2.6.2 7 Cassiopeiae**

We have observed  $\gamma$  Cas during three nights in September 1997 at the mont Mégantic Observatory.  $\gamma$  Cas is one of the best stellar candidates to test for (relatively strong) linear line polarization and **our** initiai goal **was to make** a comparison **with** previous observations. **This** star **is weU known** to **show Linear** depolarization over its  $H\alpha$  line (Poeckert & Marlborough 1977). This is explained by an extended **equatorial disk (Hanuschik 1996) rvhich** scatters **and linearly** polarizes photosphenc light, combined with the emission of non-polarized light lrom **re**combination lines (Schulte-Ladbeck et al. 1992).  $\gamma$  Cas is also a strong candidate for localized hot **flares** and magnetic fields [Smith 1998).

Because we are not able to reliably **estimate** continuum polarization, we **can**  only **give** relative polarization values **across** spectral **lines. We** obtain values for  $\Delta q$  and  $\Delta u$  across H $\alpha$ , equivalent to the values observed some 20 years ago by Poeckert & blarlborough (1977). In **Fig.** 2.9 we show the 3-night average of **aii** 4 Stokes parameten, with a **typical rms** scatter of 0.04% **for each** 5-pixel bin.

# **2.6.3 53 Cam** @

The Ap **star** 53 **Cam is weil known** to show **an extraordinarily** strong effective magnetic field of **strength which is** rotationdy modulated **between+4 kG** and - 5 **kG,** with a corresponding **dipole** field of -28 **kG (Borra** & Landstreet 1977). **Thus,** this **star** - **despite** its relative faintness - **was** our first choice to **test** our instrument for sensitivity to rotation **modulated Stokes u** across its p hotospheric absorption lines. For this reason we observed 53 Cam in the H $\alpha$  region in Dec. 1997 at OMM. Only **one** night **was** clear enough **to observe** this **star over** a sufnciently long enough interval  $(6 \text{ hours})$  to obtain high accuracy in Stokes  $v$ . This night **was Dec 21/22 at Phase**  $\phi = 0.41$  **(Landstreet 1988) after crossover. The average intensity and Stokes u spectra are shown in Fig. 2.10.** 



Figure 2.9. Global mean rectified intensity spectrum including  $H\alpha$ , and mean Stokes  $q$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  for  $\gamma$  Cas.  $2\sigma$  errorbars are indicated for bins of 5 pixels.



**Figure 2.10. Mean rectified inteosity spectrum of 53 Cam and mean normalized**  Stokes  $v$  for Dec 21. The polarimetric data have been binned to 5 pixels;  $2\sigma$ **error bars are indicated.** 

Though  $1\sigma$  errorbars are about 0.1% in Stokes *v*, we report significant rise and fall of  $\sim 0.15\%$  on the blue and red sides, respectively, of the centre of H $\alpha$ . **as** expected at this phase fhn previous work (Borra **k** Landstreet **1980).** 

# 2.6.4  $\theta$ <sup>1</sup> Ori C

The brightest star in the Orion trapezium is the O7V star  $\theta^1$  Ori C. This star, one of the closest O **stars** in the sky, **is** the principle ionization source of M 42 and spectroscopicaliy variable in the optical (Conti 1972, Walborn **1981) as weil** as in the W (Walborn **9r Panek 1984). Stahl et** al. (1993) and Waiborn & Nichols **(1994)** report an asymmetry in **2s wind** and a stable 15.4-day modulation over at least 15 years. They conclude that this behavior is reminiscent of a magnetic oblique rotator with a surface field of about 1800 **Gauss.** Reported X-ray modulation in the 15-day cycle (Gagné et al. 1997; Babel & Montmerle **1997)** is compatible with a **surface** field of **several** 100 **G. This** proposed magnetic field and the wind asymmetry makes  $\theta^1$  Ori C a strong candidate for showing phase-dependent circular as well as linear polarization effects across its **lines.** 

We observed this **star** during **2 nights** (Dec **21/22** and **22/23)** at **OMM.** The average intensity and Stokes q and u **(2nd** night only) **and** v (1st night only) spectra are shown in Fig. 2.11. Note that the nebular  $H\alpha$  emission in  $I(\lambda)$  has not been removed.

No obvious line polarization features are seen above the  $\sigma \sim 0.2\%$  instrumental level.

### **2.7 Summary**

=We have introduced a new **type** of polarimeter unit **which,** mounted at the **Cassegrain focus** of **any** telescope and combined with a standard **CCD spectm-** 



**Figure 2.11.** Mean rectified intensity spectrum including  $\text{H}\alpha$ , and mean Stokes  $q$ , *u* **and** *v* **for**  $\theta^1$  **Ori C.**  $2\sigma$  **errorbars are indicated for bins of 5 pixels.** 

graph, is able to measure all four Stokes parameters as a function of wavelength in a quasi-simultaneous manner. The combination of two independently rotatable **quater-wave** plates **with** a Wollaston polarizer as beamspIitter creates a double **beam** which contains aii polarimetric information about the stellar **target.** An extraction technique **is** devised that leads to spectra in q, **u and** v, whose preci**sion** is limited only by stochastic photon noise (Poisson errors), **whereas** intensity spectra are limited by flat field noise. We also describe different deviations from ideal for the optical elements. We discuss the behavior of "achromatic" **QWP's**  with respect to chromatism **and** rotation position, **as** well as limitations in the use of optical fibers.

**During** three extended **ans** at two different telescopes we obtained polarï**metnc** spectra for **al1 four Stokes** parameters of severai hot star prototypes with the **new** William-Wehlau Spectropolarimeter. Despite some instrumental **prob**lems, we **see** both line linear depolarization due to Thomson scattering and cir**cuiar** polarization due to **Zeeman** splitting.

- 1. For the WR+O binary  $\gamma^2$  Vel we report polarization features across its strong emission **lines.** Schulte-Ladbeck et al. (1992) showed that this **is**  due either to a flattened WR **wind** and/or **phase-dependent asymmetries**  between the **O-star** and the scatterers **maidy** in the WR **wind.**
- 2. We confirm previously measured polarization variations across the  $H\alpha$  emis**sion line of the brightest Be star visible in the sky**  $\gamma$  **Cas, but with higher** precision.
- 3. We detect a circular polarization feature in  $H\alpha$  in the strong magnetic Ap **star 53 Cam\***
- 4. For the bright Trapezium star  $\theta^1$  Ori C we fail to find any polarization fea- $\tan \theta$  **Ha** at the 0.2% level. Future attempts will have to improve on this precision and **secure** better phase **coverage.**

These results establish the William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter as a viable **new instrument for measuring all wavelength dependent Stokes parameters of stars. Some improvements wiil be attempted, e.g., better QWP's, dielectric aper**ture, and more stable illumination of the fibers. Future preference will be given **to large telescopes, because of the small amount of poiarization in stellar objects.** 

#### $2.8$ Acknowledgements

**The authors would like to thank Bob Garrison for the generous allottment of observing time at UTSO, Steve Steeles** for **his continous support during observations at UTSO, as well as Bernard Malenfant, Ghislain Thcotte and Johannes Vorberg at OMM and Henry Leparskas at Elgintield Observatory. We thank John**  Landstreet and René Racine for helpful discussions during the development of our **instrument and Jean-Rançois Bert rand for help in Fortran progamming. T.E. is gateful for** hl1 **financiai** aid **from the Evangelisches Studienwerk/Germany which is supported by the German Govemment. A.F.J.M., P.B. and** J.B.R **thank NSERC (Canada) for hancial assistance. A.F.J.M. and P.B. dso acknowledge monetary aid from FCAR (Québec).** 

*T\*E\*# A.F.J.M., M.D., J.B.R,* **N.P.** *and P.B. wh* **to note** *that the on'@ nal principal investigator for this instrument, William H. Wehlau, unfortunately pwseà* **oway** *in February 1995 while* **attendhg o aeientific** *meeting in Cape Tom,*  **S.A.** We wish to express our deepest respect for his high level of competence and *leadership during the planning and early construction stages of the spectropo-*

*I* 



**Figure 2.12. WILLIAM H. WEHLAU (1926** - **1995)** 

*larimeter that now carries his name.* 

## **References**

- **Babel, J., Montmerle, T. 1997,** ApJ, **485, L29**
- **Bailey 1989, in The AAT User's Manual No. 24**
- **Borra, E.F., Landstreet, J.D. 19??, ApJ, 212, 141**
- **Borra,** E.F., **Landstreet, J.D. 1980, ApJS, 42, 421**
- **Cohen, M.H. 1996, The** LRIS **Polarirneter" in: Keck User's Manuel**
- **Conti, P.S. 1972, .4pJ, 174, L79**
- **Donati J.-F., Semel** M., **Carter B.D., Rees D.E., Cameron A.C. 1997, MNRAS, 291,658**

**a** 

- Eversberg, T. 1996, "Spectropolarimetry: A New Window for Stellar Physics", **Pre-Doctorate Thesis, Université de Montréal, in: The Hot Stat Newsletter, No.33**
- **Gagné,** M., **Cailiault, J.-P., Stauffer,** J.R., **Linsky, J.L. 1997, ApJ, 478, L87**
- **Goodrich,** R-W., **Cohen, M.H., Putney, A. 1995, PASP, 107, 179**
- **Hanuschik, R.W. 1996, A&A, 308, 170**
- **Harries, T.J. 1995, "Spectropolarimetry as a Probe of StelIar Wids",** Ph.D. thesis, University College London
- **Landstreet,** J.D. **1988, ApJ, 326, 967**
- **Ma-in,** P.G., **Campbeli, B. 1976, ApJ 208, 727**

**Mathys, G., Stenflo, J.O. 1986, A&A, 168, 184** 

- **Miller, J.S., Robinson, L.B., Goodrich, R.W. 1988, in: Instrumentation for** *Ground-bosed* **Astronomy, ed.** L.B. **Robinson (New York: Springer)** , **<sup>157</sup>**
- **Mofht, A.F.J., Piirola, V. 1994, ApJ, 413, 724**
- **Poeckert, R., Marlborough, J.M. 1977, ApJ, 218,220**
- Schmidt, G.D. 1988, in: G.V. Coyne, A.M. Magalães, A.F.J. Moffat, R.E. Schulte-**Ladbeck, S. Tapia, D.T. Wickramasinghe (eds),** *Polarized* **Radiation** of *Circumstel lot Origin,* **Vatican O bservatory, p. 85**
- **Schmutz, W., Schweickhardt, J., Stahl, O., Wolf, B., Dumm, T., Gäng, T., Jankovics,** I., **Kaufer, A., Lehmann, H., Mandel, H., Peitz, J., Rivinius, Th. 1997, A&A, 328, 219**
- **Schulte-Ladbeck, R.E., Meade, M., Hiilier, D.J. 1992, in: L. Dtissen, C. Leitheter, A. Nota (eds),** *Nonfiotropic* **and Variable Outjbw from Stars, ASP Couference Series, Vol. 22, p. 118**
- **Serkowski, K. 1962, in: Aduances in Astmnomy** *and Astrophysics,* **1, 247**
- **Serkowski, K. 1974, in:** *Methods* **of Ezp. Phys., Vo1.12, Chap.8**
- **Serkowski, K., Mathewson, D. L., Ford, V. L. 1975, ApJ, 196, 261**
- **Smith, M. 1998, ApJ, in press**
- Stahl,O., Wolf,B., Gäng, Th., Gummersbach, C.A., Kaufer,A., Kovacs,J., Man**del,H., Szeifert,Th. 1993, A&A, 274, L29**
- **SC-Louis, Drissen, L., Moffat, A.F.J., Bastien, P. 1987, ApJ, 322,870**
- **St-Louis, MofFat, A.F.J., Drissen, L.,Bastien, P., Robert, C. 1988, ApJ, 330, 286**
- **St-Louis, N., WiiIis, A.J., Stevens, LR. 1993, ApJ, 415,298**

**Tinbergen,** J., **Rutten, R 1992, The WWH User's Manuai** 

Vats, V. 1997, Karl Lambrecht Corp., Chicago, private communication

**Waiborn, N.R. 1981, ApJ, 243, L37** 

**Wdborn, N.R., Panek, R.J. 1984, ApJ, 286,718** 

**FValborn, N.R., Nichols, J.S. 1994, ApJ, 425, L29** 

# **Chapter 3**

# **Spectropolarimetry of the WR+O Binary**  $\gamma^2$  **Velorum During Periastron Passage**

THOMAS EVERSBERG<sup>1</sup>, ANTHONY F.J. MOFFAT<sup>1,2</sup> **AND SERGEY V, MARCHENKO** 

**Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, C.P.6128, Succ. Centre Viile, Montréal H3C 357, Canada, and Observatoire du Mont Mégantic** 

**PUBLICATIONS OF THE ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY OF THE PACIFIC (1999)**  *Received* **1999** *Febnitary* **12;** *accepted* **1999** *April* **1** 

### **3.1 Abstract**

We present low resolution  $({\sim} 6 \text{ Å})$ , high signal-to noise spectropolarimet**ric observations obtained with the new William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter for**  the apparently brightest Wolf-Rayet star in the sky, the 78.5d WR+O binary  $\gamma^2$  **Velorum.** Quasi-simultaneous monitoring of all four Stokes parameters  $I(\lambda)$ ,  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$  and  $v(\lambda)$  was carried out over an interval of 31 nights centered on **periastron. AU emission lines in our observed wavelength intervai (5200** - **6000** A)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Visiting Astronomer, University of Toronto Southern Observatory, Las Campanas **2Killam Research Fellow of the Canada Council for the** Arts

show highly stochastic variations over the whole run. The phase-dependent behavior of the excess emission in the C III  $\lambda$  5696 line can be related to the wind-wind collision phenomenon.

**Varying** features of **Stokes** q and **u** are seen **across** the strong **lines,** probably **as** a **result of vaxiable electmn scattering of mainly** continuum light. **The spherical**  symmetry of the WR **wind is thus** broken by the presence of the O cornpanion **and dumping** in the WR **end.** Similar features in the **extended red wing** of the **Cm**   $\lambda$  5696 emission line remain unexplained. No obvious circular line polarization features are seen across any emission line above the  $3\sigma \sim 0.03\%$  instrumental level.

å,

## **3.2 Introduction**

 $\gamma^2$  Velorum (WR11 = HR3207 = HD 68273: WC8+O9I) is the apparently brightest WR star in the sky. It is a nearby binary system  $(d = 258^{+41}_{-31} \text{ pc}$ . Schaerer et al. 1997; van **der Hucht** et **ai.** 1997) with an orbital period of **78.53**  days (Schmutz et al. 1997, who also give a complete description of the orbit), and **has** the potentiai to represent a prototype of wind - **wind** interaction for massive stars with strong **winds. Between** the two **stars** the **winds** corne ta a complete stop at the stagnation **point** and **material flows** dong a shock-cone that wraps **around** the weaker-wind O **star (e.g.,** Stevens et al. 1992). **The** shock region, due **to**  coüision **at** supersonic **wind** velocities, **creates highly excited material** that leads to **excess eWon** via **fast radiative cooiing of the shocked gas (e-g.,** St.-Louis et al. 1993). **Bartzakos (1998) used modifieci** minimum **spectra** of the C **m** X 5696 **iine**  to estimate the **collision excess** in **a number of short-period (2** - **16 day)** WC+O binaries. For  $\gamma^2$  Vel, such a method has been slow in coming, probably because of **its** inconveniently long **orbit** and presence of **short-term stochastic mdti-scde**  structures in the CM  $\lambda$  5696 line of  $\gamma^2$  Vel (Lépine, Eversberg & Moffat 1999). It was only after completing this project that we became aware of the spectoscopic

**study** of Schweickhardt et **al.** (1999).

In this paper we concentrate on: **(1) search** for periodic line profile wuiationa, following the contradictory reports of Jeffers, Stiff & Weller (1985 and references therein) and Taylor (1990); (2) behaviour of the C  $III \lambda$  5696 line during periastron passage, in the anticipation that for WC **stars** this **particular** line should be the best indicator of a wind-wind collision in the optical (Bartzakos **1998);** (3) **search**  for **any** manifestation of a magnecic field and deviations from spherical **symmetry in the** WR **wind.** 

The relatively long orbital period remains a practical handicap for a better understanding of  $\gamma^2$  Velorum. For this reason we have attempted to answer some of these questions by observing prominent emission **iines** mainly around periastron **passage,** when the orbital configuration changes fastest.

## **3.3 Observations and data reduction**

 $\gamma^2$  Velorum was observed during a five week run in Feb/March 1997 with the William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter mounted on the **0.6m** telescope **at** the **University** of Toronto Southern Observatory **(UTSO)** on **Las Campanas,** Chile. We obtained spectra in all four wavelength-dependent Stokes parameters  $I, \frac{9}{7} \equiv$  $q, \frac{U}{I} \equiv u$  and  $\frac{V}{I} \equiv v$  during 21 nights between Feb 18 and March 20 within  $\Delta \phi \sim \pm 0.2$  of periastron passage ( $\phi = 0.00$ ; O star in front at  $\phi = 0.03$ ; WR star in front at  $\phi = 0.61$ ). Individual spectra in *I* were obtained every  $\sim 3$  minutes, providing a typical  $S/N \sim 400/pix$  in the continuum ( $\sim 600$  in the strong CIII  $\lambda$  5696, CIV  $\lambda$  5806 emission lines). Using the Garrison spectrograph (Garrison & Beattie 1990), the 3-pixel spectral resolution was about  $6 \text{ Å } (\sim 320 \text{ km/s})$  in the **wavelength range 5200 - 6000 Å, covering mainly the HeII**  $\lambda$  **5411, CIV**  $\lambda$  **5471,** C III  $\lambda$  5696, C IV  $\lambda$  5802/12 and He I  $\lambda$  5875 emission lines. Unfortunately, the **data** for **nine nights (Feb <sup>22</sup>**- **March 2) are of** no **use** due to **problems** discovered

**later** with the CCD readout.

As a consequence of non-perfect behavior **(as** predictable for a fiber-fed **sys**tem) of the polarimeter unit (see **Eversberg** et al. **1998b,** who also give a detailed description of the data calibration procedure) we had to nomalize the **mean**  Stokes parameters  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda)$  and  $v(\lambda)$  to zero, so that only relative line polarization could be obtained. This **means** that we **were** not able to estimate the degree and orientation of *bzoadbond* polarization on the **sky. Only** relative line polarization and its variability **were** detectable.

### **3.4 Results and Discussion**

With our insufficient orbital coverage and low spectral resolution we do not attempt to improve the orbital parameters. However, as a **quick** check **we**  measured the central wavelengths of all prominent WR emission lines by fitting a single Gaussian profile.

(L

Our velocity measurements for C **IV**  $\lambda$  5471 match best the predicted emission line orbit (Schmutz et al. **1997). This** is not **surprishg** because this line is **most Gaussiao-like** in form, unblended and **weU isolateci. CN X5802/12** also **fits fairly**  well, dthough this **line is not** of pure **Gauggian** form and **is** blendeci. All other moderately strong **emission lines** tend to **follow** the **generai** shape of the orbital **<sup>C</sup>**motion, but with increased amplitude. This **increase is especidy** evident in He **<sup>1</sup> X 5875** and, to a lesser extent, in C ïïï **h 5696 emission.** This **is primarily caused** by the additional emission component **changing** ita position on top of the underlying **broad** emission **he** as the stars go **through periastron (see** below).

### **3.4.1** Stochastic short term variations

After **co-adding** dl nightly spectra to yield a rnean for **each** night and Doppler correcting them into the WR rest-frame using the Schmutz et al. orbit, we co**added all** nightly **means** to a global **mean** of the whole m. **This** global mean **was**  then subtracted **fiom** the individual spectra in the **WR fiame, thus** allowing us to study short-term  $(\sim$ hourly) variations. The resulting grey-scale plots of these **residuals** and the global **mean** are **shown** in **Figs.3.1** - 3.3, for three consecutive **intervals** in orbital **phase.** 

In order to explore the global variability we calculate the standard deviation of pixel i:

$$
\sigma_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (I_{ij} - \bar{I}_i)^2} \quad , \tag{3.1}
$$

where  $I_{ij}$  is the rectified intensity of pixel *i* of the *j*th spectrum and  $\bar{I}_i$  is the global **mean** spectrum at **pixel** i. The results are shown in Fig. 3.4 (bottom). Inspection of **Figs.** 3.1 - **3.3 dlows** us to conclude:

1. CHI  $\lambda$  5696 – The most prominent nightly residuals are found in this line, which is already known to show stochastically emerging subpeaks with gradual motion away **fiom** the **line** center (Lépine, Eversberg & Moffat 1999: 2-night highresolution observations around phase  $\phi = 0.26 - 0.29$ ). Though our data are of lower resolution, we can confirm this kind of *stochastic* variability over a much longer tirne-scale and **far** broader phase coverage. **The** movement of these **subpeak features** is **well** established in WR stars (e-g., Lépine 1998; **Lepine** & **Moffat 1999) as well** as in the O supergiant C Puppis (Eversberg, Lépine & **MoEat 1998a)** and **interpreted** as due to regions of **higher** density moving **radially** outwards **fkom** the **star and seen in projection** in **the line of sight.** 

2.  $\text{C\textsc{iv}} \ \lambda \ 5802/12$  – In accordance with the idea of wind stratification (Kuhi 1973; Schulte-Ladbeck et al. 1995, and references therein), CIV  $\lambda$  5802/12 is as-



**Figure 3.1. Observed spectra of**  $\gamma^2$  **Vel shifted to the WR frame for the nights of** 1997 Feb 17/18 to March 5/6. Top: Greyscale plots of residuals from the mean rectified spectrum of the whole observing run plotted in time (stretched appropriately to fill in small time gaps) vs. wavelength. The greyscale range is  $z = 0 \pm 0.1$ for the wavelengths  $\lambda\lambda$  5380 – 5530 Å (left) and  $z = 0 \pm 0.2$  for the wavelengths **<sup>5620</sup>**- **5920** A **(right),** *r* **being the residual in continuum units. Different phases and respective UT dates and times are indicated. Bottom: Global (whole mn) mean spectrum in the WR he.** 



**Figure 3.2. Same as** Fig. **3.1 but for the nights 1997 March 6/7 to 14/15.** 



Figure 3.3. Same as Fig. 3.1 but for the nights 1997 March 15/16 to 19/20.

**sumed to be formed closer to the WR star than**  $\text{CIII} \lambda$ **5696. One might expect** to detect stochastic variability in CIV  $\lambda$  5802/12 if the *whole* wind is structured. The variations are indeed detectable in CIV, but slightly smaller than in CIII **(Fig. 3.4). We find no clear correlation between the deviations in C**  $\text{III} \lambda$  **5696 and** C IV  $\lambda$  5802/12, partly because of the blended nature of the C IV line.

3. **W** the other three **major observed emission** lines are weaker and **the variability is sometimes** close to the limit of detectability with our low spectral **resolution.** The two Helium lines  $\text{He II } \lambda$  5411 and  $\text{He I } \lambda$  5875 are also affected by absorption of the O **star** component. **This** absorption **is** easily detected **in**  He II  $\lambda$  5411, crossing the emission from blue to red during our run, in agreement with the predicted orbit. For He<sub>I</sub>  $\lambda$  5875 the O-component absorption is much **less** clear. **A** stochastic component of variability **seems** to dominate in **this** line, being loosely correlated with the variations in the CIII  $\lambda$ 5696 line. Variability in the CIV  $\lambda$  5471 line is also detectable but without sufficient quality to draw quantitative conclusions. Aiiowing for statistical fluctuations, the variation profile across the line,  $\sigma(\lambda)$  (Fig. 3.4, bottom), roughly follows the same basic shape of the line profile  $I(\lambda)$  itself, with  $\frac{\sigma(\lambda)}{I(\lambda)-I_c} \sim 5$  % for CIII  $\lambda$  5696,  $\sim 4\%$  for CIV  $\lambda$ 5802/12,  $\sim$  10 % for HeII  $\lambda$ 5411 and HeI  $\lambda$ 5875, and  $\sim$  3 % for CIV  $\lambda$ 5471.

### **3.4.2 Periodic short-terrn variations**

Do the observed **ernission lines** show *periodic* variations on short **time-scales?** 

There are numerous, though controversial reports about short-term variability in the spectrum of  $\gamma^2$ Vel, summarized in Jeffers, Stiff & Weller (1985): the profiles (or the line **flux measured** in the nmow-band **flters** centered on pmminent **emission lines) show** rapid, sornetimes **pdodic** variations on a **typical**   $\tan \theta$  scale of 150-200 sec. Far longer,  $P=1.26$  h and/or  $P=2.0$  h, coherent vari**ations mre discovd by Taylor (1990) in exteasive narrow-band photometrïc**  **data (He11** 4686 **line** and adjacent continuum) obtained at the South Pole.

**Our** data set allows us to search for periodic line profile variations in the relatively broad range, 6 min  $\leq P \leq 15d$ , on the high-quality spectra taken every  $\sim$ 3 min over a typical interval of 2 – 3 hours in each of 21 nights during the 31d run. **Visual** inspection of the **individual** spectra **shows** no apparent short-term  $(\sim$ minutes) variability. However, the spectra do vary on a much longer ( $\sim$ hours) time scale.

In order to search **br** a periodic component in the line profile variations, we have calculated power spectra (PS) **using** the technique of Scargle (1982). The raw (. PS were then reprocessed with the **CLEAN** algorithm in order to remove (reduce) the **aliases and** spurious features introduced by the uneveniy spaced nature of the data (see Roberts, Lehár, & Dreher 1987). The calculations were performed for each wavelength pixel, using intensity readings in the spectra rebinned in a similar **manner and** Doppler corrected for orbital motion to the WR frame.

We staxted by **anaiyzing** individual nights, each consisting of **40-73** spectra. From here on we refer to the results obtained for the CM  $\lambda$  5696  $\dot{A}$  emission line only  $\text{CIV} \lambda$  5802/12 behaves similarly). We find no significant, periodic variations in the CLEANed PS over the  $(50 - 75) d^{-1} \le \nu \le 250 d^{-1}$  frequency domain, with amplitudes exceeding the  $3\sigma$  level of  $\sim$ 15% of the line intensity. The nightly PS show some rise, roughly as  $\nu^{-1}$ , toward lower frequences,  $\nu \leq (50 - 75) d^{-1}$ , with typically 3-5 broad peaks slightly exceeding the  $3\sigma$  level. We disregard these de**taüs as true indicatars** of periodic **variations** for two reasons: l) the **low-fiequency**  structures are practicaily never repeated in conseeutive **nightly** PS; 2) **far** more important, the **peak** structure is rnimicked by the adjacent continuum. We **know**  that the continuum **is** not involved in **any** periodic short-tem **activity (Taylor**  1990). **Thus, we interpret these** low-fiequency **features as** low-amplitude **remnants** of **impdectly CLEANed** spectral **nindows,** Le. **as** artefacts **arising hm**  the **uneven** data sampling and **finite** lengths of the data **records.** 

\*

With no positive periodic detection in **any** of the nightly data sets, **we cornbined** the observations into blocks of3 **consecutbe nights** and repeated the **an& ysis.** The **results** are invariably negative, with no periods of  $A > 3\sigma$  (~6% of the line intensity) for  $(50 - 75) d^{-1} \le \nu \le 250 d^{-1}$ . There is some rise in the PS toward lower frequences,  $\nu \leq (50 - 75) d^{-1}$ , with broad peaks superposed on the  $\sim \nu^{-1}$  sloped PS. Even larger blocks of  $\sim$ week intervals bring the detectability limit down to  $A \leq 3\%$  of the line intensity, with no significant periodicity. The **last** step **is to analyse** the complete data **set.** For **this** we **averaged** the spectra of a **given** night into **(2-5)** group averages (depending on the level **of** variability and the number of spectra for the given night) and calculated the PS for the **<sup>0</sup>** resulting 54 group means. Again, there is no indication of significant periodicity in the  $0.07 d^{-1} \le \nu \le 10 d^{-1}$  frequency interval.

The only positive result we can infer from this exhaustive search is the  $\sim \nu^{-1}$ rise in the low-frequency part of the PS, probably indicating that we have detected aperiodic variations on timescales of  $\Delta t \geq 0.5$ h.

### **3.4.3 Long-term variations**

To **monitor** the phase-locked, long-term WR **Line variability** through **perias**tron **passage, we** created nightly **mean tesiduais,** plotting them in **Fig.** 3.4.

Significant long-term variations occur across the hes. Ail major **hes** but **Hen** A5411 **show some excess variabiiity** on **their** blue **flanks. This is** not **ex**plainable by **purely** stochastic **variability** due to **unifody distributed clumps** in a sphericaiIy **symmetric wind,** but **can** be related to **binary-induced** effects (see sect.3.4.4). The **strong** variations in the **Hen** line **are mauily** due to the O star absorption **feahire, clearly detected as moving across the line during our sun** and **producifig symmetric peaks in**  $\sigma(\lambda)$  **in Fig. 3.4.** 

In Fig. 3.5 we show the measured nightly-averaged equivalent widths,  $W_{\lambda}$ , for



Figure 3.4. Long-term line variability. Bottom: Mean rectified spectrum (solid) and standard deviation  $\sigma$  (dashed) for the whole observing run in the WR frame. The  $\sigma$  profile is expanded by a factor 20 and shifted by 1 in intensity, to match the mean rectified line profile as closely as possible. Top: Greyscale plot of residuals from the global mean rectified spectrum of each night plotted in time (stretched **appropriately to** fili **in tirne gaps) vs. wavelength. The spectra have been Doppler**  corrected into the WR rest-frame by using the orbit determined by Schmutz et al. (1997). The greyscale range is  $z=0 \pm 0.1$  for the wavelength interval 5200 - $5575 \text{ Å}$  and  $z=0 \pm 0.2$  for  $5575 - 5950 \text{ Å}.$ 

the five prominent ernission **lines,** corrected for the phase-dependent continuum variations of Marchenko et al.  $(1998b)$ .

As one can see, the CIII  $\lambda$  5696 and HeI  $\lambda$  5875 line fluxes slightly increase before and t hen decrease after periastron passage with a **maximum** around closest approach, as was previously observed in  $\overline{C}$  III  $\lambda$  5696 by St-Louis (1996). In contrast to **Cm** and He **1** , the three other **lines, al1 of higher** ionization level, show no significant dependence on orbital **phase.** Additionally, there are large stochastic **equivalent** width variations in **al1** prominent emission **hes fax** exceeding the **mea**surement errors. The phase-dependent changes in the C III  $\lambda$  5696 and He I  $\lambda$  5875 **fluxes roughiy follow** a *l/r* dependence, where *r* **is** the orbital separation, as **ex**pected for the **excess** emission formed in the **wind-wind collision zone (Stevens et**  ai. **1992)** 

### **3.4.4 C rn excess**

Although both He I  $\lambda$  5875 and C III  $\lambda$  5696 reveal similar phase-dependent long-term variations, here we concentrate on **Cm** , since it **is** stronger and **less blended** than He I. The CIII  $\lambda$  5696 line is assumed to consist, in principal, of four different components: (a) emission from a constant, presumably spherically **symmetric WR outflow (see below),** (b) stochastic **sub-features** due to clumping in the WR wind (Lépine et al. 1998), (c) phase-dependent excess emission created by coliiding **wind** in a **shock** cone around the O **star** and (d) **heating** of the WR **wind** by **the** O **star. Effect** (d) is seen **even** in **some binaries** with relatively large **separation, e.g., the 21 day WN5+O binary WR141 ( Marchenko et al. <b>4998a**). We do not consider **WR atmospheric eclipses, as such ate proved** to be important in  $\gamma^2$  Vel (Schweickhardt et al. 1999) only around phases when the WR star is in **front; these phases are not covered by our observations.** 

**Disentangling these four components is a delicate problem, though success-**



**Figure 3.5. Equivaient widths of** the **nightly average emission lines, corrected for**  the phase-dependent continuum variations (Marchenko et al. 1998b). The typical **2a erron estimateci in accordance** with **Chalabaev k MaiIlad (1983) are indicated on the last data point of each plot. Dashed hes represent the relative inverse**  separation (arbitrary scale and zero point) between the two binary components.

**hilly** done for **(a) and** (c) in several WR+O **binaries (e.g., Marchenko** et al. 1997; Moffat et al. 1998; Moffat, Marchenko & **Bartzakos** 1996; **Bartzakos** 1998; **Bartza**kos, Moffat & Niemela 1995). **tVe** have **tried** to **distinguish between** the exces emission and the globally spherically symmetric (however, Iocally **clumpy)** outflow under the following assurnptions:

1. Emission arising fiom sources (c) **and** (d) **is expected** to be separation dependent, being weakest at apastron. This is indeed seen for  $\gamma^2$  Vel in Fig. 3.5 as **well as in** the data **of** Schweickhardt et **al.** (1999). In this **case** the minimum spectrum in the **WR** frame over an **extended observing** nui for a **highly** elliptical binaxy might represent the **spherically** symtnetric WR outflow **fairly** well.

2. In the present data set, the largest projected separation between the two components occurs at  $\phi = \pm 0.2$ . In a zeroth approximation we use the actual **minimum** profile of the whole run as a representation (in reality an upper limit) of the spherical wind. We use this on  $\gamma^2$  Vel to test the shock-cone model developed by Lührs (1991, **1997).** 

Following this recipe, we Doppler corrected all the spectra of  $\gamma^2$  Vel into the WR rest-frame and derived a global *minimum* spectrum. This minimum spectrum **was then** subtracted **fiom** the **nightly mean** spectra to obtain nightly excess emission spectra. The resulting grey-scale plot, the global minimum, mean and maximum spectra of the whole run for the wavelength interval  $5640 - 5940$  Å are **shown** in **Fig. 3.6.** 

**As one** can clearly see in **Fig.3.6, excess** emission **above** the **minimum in**  CIII  $\lambda$  5696 and, less clearly, He I  $\lambda$  5875 is phase dependent. This feature moves **from** the **red** to the **blue** üne **flank** and **back during the** m. This **exces emission causes large deviations in the RV curves of He I**  $\lambda$  **5875 and C III**  $\lambda$  **5696 from the 'normal'** orbital motion, **as mentioned above.** 

**Lh (1992, 1997) has developed a simple mode1 to desrribe the phase-** 



**Figure 3.6. Nightly excess emission spectra above the minimum level. Bottom: Global minimum (solid), mean (dashed) and maximum (dotted) profile for the**  whole observing run in the WR frame. Top: Greyscale plot of residuals from the **global minimum spectmm of each night plotted in tirne (stretched appropriately to fill in tirne gaps) vs. wavelength. The spectra have been Doppler conected to the WR kame by using the orbit detennined by Sehmutz et al. (1997). The greyscale ranges over**  $z= 0 - 0.2$ **, as indicated on the right.** 

dependent variations of the excess emission in WR+O binaries with *circular* orbits. **Assuming** opticaiiy thin **emission** fiom a hot **plasma nnapping** around the O star component and moving with velocity  $v_s$  along a cone with opening half-angle  $\Theta$ , deflected in the orbital plane by an angle  $\delta\phi$  due to the Coriolis effect associated with orbital motion, one finds (cf. also Moffat et al. 1998) that the double-peak excess emission from the cone has an average velocity  $\bar{v}$  and **peak-to-peak width 2u,, of:** 

$$
\bar{v} = v_s \cos \Theta \sin i \cos(\phi - \delta \phi)
$$
 (3.2)

**and** 

$$
2v_{\star} = 2v_{s}\sin\Theta\sqrt{1-\sin^{2}i\cos^{2}(\phi-\delta\phi)},
$$
\n(3.3)

with  $\phi$  the orbital phase  $\in \{0, 2\pi\}$  and *i* the inclination. For the analysis of  $\gamma^2$  Vel with an eccentric orbit we follow Moffat et al. (1998), by replacing  $\phi$  by  $w + \omega - \pi/2$  and  $\delta\phi$  by  $\delta w$ , with w the true anomaly and  $\omega$  the usual periastron **angle:** 

$$
\bar{v} = v_s \cos \Theta \cos(w + \omega - \delta w - \pi/2) \tag{3.4}
$$

**and** 

$$
2v_{\star} = 2v_{s} \sin \Theta \sqrt{1 - \sin^{2} i \cos^{2}(w + \omega - \delta w - \pi/2)}.
$$
 (3.5)

In our situation with the high **intrinsic** noise level of the variations and the uncertainty in the appropriate template profile to extract the excess emission,  $\bar{v}$ **and 2u, are not clearly defined. For this reason we caiculated** a more robust **vaiue**  of **a**  weighted **average** LOS velocity ù with

$$
\bar{v} = \frac{\sum_{i} I_i \cdot v_i}{\sum_{i} I_i} \tag{3.6}
$$

**and a weighted average width 24 to characterize the peak separation, adding a** 

constant **2v,:** 

$$
2v_{\star} \to 2\sigma + 2v_{c} = 2\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} I_{i} \cdot (v_{i} - \bar{v})^{2}}{\sum_{i} I_{i}}} + 2v_{c}, \qquad (3.7)
$$

with  $v_i$  the LOS velocity and  $I_i$  the residual line intensity at pixel i. The newly introduced constant  $2v_c$  reflects the fact that the bow-shock emission is likely to **be** significantly broadened due to large-scde turbulence **(Walder** & Foüni 1998) in the wind-wind collision zone.

To test our procedure **we also** created a true minimum and various smoothed (boxcar of 3, 5, 7 and 9 pixel) minimum spectra from the  $\gamma^2$  Vel data and a **minimum** template fiom the smoothed **Cm** line of WR 135 (data from Lépine & Moffat 1999). The latter template **was** produced by normalizing the **Cm** line of WR 135 in height and width to C III in  $\gamma^2$  Vel via simple comparison of its lower, less variable part. Note that WR 135 has the same subtype and even wind terminal velocity as the WR component in  $\gamma^2$  Velorum. Smoothing of the minimum spectra of  $\gamma^2$  Vel does not significantly change the output results. However, the WR 135 template **was** found to be unsatisfactory, due to the subtle differences in the **uppermost** parts of the profiles. Thus, we retain the true **minimum** (no smoothing) profile of  $\gamma^2$  Vel in our modeling (Fig. 3.7).

**As we** face the **serious** problem of the uaknown true minimum spectrum, with the additional complication **from** the presence of large stochastic line variations, **we** are not able to perform a quantitatively **meaningful** multi-parametric fit to find the values of  $v_c$ ,  $v_s$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\delta w$  and *i*. Instead, whenever possible, we use known or otherwise reasonable values, for these parameters.

The short-dashed and long-dashed **lines** in **Fig.** 3.7 show the predictions of the Lührs model for two values of  $\delta w$ . Willis et al. (1995) estimated  $\Theta \sim 25^{\circ}$  from their ROSAT **X-ray** observations. In **fact,** the **opening** angle **might** be at **least** a **fador** of2 larger, **judging** by the appearance of the **O-star high-velocity** absorption  $\sim$  0.09 in phase *before* periastron passage (St-Louis et al. 1993). Also, the revised  $\dot{M}$  of the WR star  $(\dot{M} = 0.7 - 3.0 \times 10^{-5})$ : Schmutz et al. 1997; Schaerer et al.



Figure 3.7. Extracted CIII  $\lambda$  5696 excess emission spectra of  $\gamma^2$  Vel for different orbital positions in the observer's frame. Left, Top: Intensity plot of nightly residuals from the minimum spectrum of the whole observing run plotted in time **vs. LOS** velocity. Left , **Bottom:** Global **maximum** (long-dash) , mean (solid) and minimum (dotted) line profile of CIII  $\lambda$  5696. **Center:** Greyscale plot of nightly residuals **from** the **minimum line** profile plotted in time (stretched appropriately to fill in time gaps) vs. line-of-sight velocity. The greyscale range is  $z=0-0.2$ . **Right:** Comparison of the observed average velocities (top) and line widths (bottom) of the **excess** emission with the **Lührs** model. Open circles: Excess **using** the global **minimum for each night. Filled circles: Average excess emission of 4-night bins**  $(2\sigma)$ errorbars are indicated). Filled triangles: Average excess emission of 4-night bins **including baek** scattercd **Light.** The vertical dotted **ünes** indicate the position when the O star is in front of the **WR** component. **The** short-dashed **curves represent**  the theoretical calculation using Lührs' model with  $\delta\omega = 45^{\circ}$ ; the long-dashed curves for  $\delta \omega = 0^\circ$ .

1997; Nugis et al. 1998) leads to  $\Theta = 45^{\circ} - 68^{\circ}$ , using the formalism from Usov & Eichler **(1993)** and Canto, **Raga k Wilkin** (1996). The latter value of **8 must** be treated as an upper iïmit due to reclassiacation of the O component **fiom** 091 to **08III** (Schaerer et al. 1997), with potential diminishing of  $\dot{M}$  (O star). We assume that the Coriolis deflection  $\delta w$  lies somewhere between  $0^{\circ}$  and  $45^{\circ}$ , judging by the phase-dependence of the X-ray flux. First, we fix the stream velocity,  $v_s = 650$ km/s, the cone-opening half-angle  $\Theta = 25^{\circ}$  (Stevens et al. 1996),  $i = 65^{\circ}$  and two different values of the Coriolis deflection,  $\delta w = 0^{\circ}$  (long-dash) and  $\delta w = 45^{\circ}$ (short-dash). Note that **the** mode1 **is** not very sensitive to the choice of **i** within the proposed range  $i = 65^{\circ} \pm 8^{\circ}$  (Schmutz et al. 1997). This leaves us with the only unaccounted free parameter  $2v_c$ . Formally chosen as  $2v_c \sim 2\sigma_{turbulent}$  (up to 400  $km/s$  in  $\gamma^2$  Vel: Lépine et al. 1999) it does not apply in practice to the observational data. Obviously, this parameter is heavily **biased** by the subtraction of a probably inadequate template. Because of the nature of this **bias,** we expect  $2v_c$  to be somewhere between  $2v_{turbulent} \sim 400$  km/s and  $2v_{shock} \approx 2300 - 2600$ **km/s** (twice the velocity of the WR **wind** entering the **wind-wind** collision zone  $-$  cf. St. Louis et al. 1993). Indeed, we find  $2v_c = 1200$  km/s as a reasonable compromise to match the data.

With the **above** parameters **we** find that the modeled variations in **far exceed** the observed amplitude. This **can be** counteracted **by** increasing the open**ing angle 8. This** additiondy **affects dw, requinng** du, < **45'.** The **possibility** of increasing  $\Theta$  is related to the revised  $M(WR)$ , as mentioned before, and also to the strong **wind braking** effect (sudden deceleration of the **WR wind by the O star** radiation **just** before it enters the **bow-shock** zone) predicted for this **system**  (Gayley et ai. 1997). **We therefore change 8 from 25' to 50°, taking this as** a tentative estimate. The change brings the calculated  $\bar{v}$  much closer to the data.

**However, despite** the qualitative **simiiarity** of the **observed and** modeled ü **cwes,** there **are large systematic deviations. The** kt **reason** for this **might** be **the**
unaccounted presence of backscattered light, especially important around periastron passage. We include this **process** in our model, however rather schematically, approximating the scattered light by a Gaussian profile of fixed central intensity and half-width. We **also** allow for the Doppler **shift** of this component in accordance with the orbital motion as well as a  $\delta w = 45^{\circ}$  phase deflection (as an upper **limit** of **the** redistributed flux). **This** deflection is **based** on the consideration of overheating of the O star **surface by** the **X-ray flux** coming from the bow-shock head (cf. Gies et **al.** 1997).

Inclusion of backscattered light does **not remove** the disparity between the modeled and observed **Y.** We have no feasible explanation for **these** deviations. However, we **can** conclude that the backscattered light plays a relatively minot role in the observed phase-related line-profile variations. We **share** this conclusion with St.-Louis et al. (1993). Considering **the** number of assumptions **reguired** to obtain the **minimum** C **m** profile, dong with the strong profile variations unrelated to the **wind-wind** collision, **our** model provides only a qualitative indication that the **wind-wind** collision is likely at **work** in this **system.** 

Some support for **ou** results cornes kom **an** independent **study.** Recent anal**ysis of optical line emission and its variability in**  $\gamma^2$  **Vel has been carried out by** Schweickhardt et al. (1999). By comparing their data from an extended observhg run with a **model** for occultation effects developed by Auer & Koenigsberger (1994), they conclude that the observed line profile **variabîiity** around periastron passage in C **m** 4650 (partly blended with C **N** 4658) cannot be evplained by occultation. **They** therefore **state** that the excess **Cm** 4650 emission **arises,** at **Least**  partly, from wind-wind collision in  $\gamma^2$  Vel.

**The mm interesthg detail readily seen** in **Fig.** 2 of **Schweickhardt** et al. **(1999) is the** snift **change, by as much as 1000 km/s, of the velocity of the excess emission in CIII 4650 at**  $\phi$  **between -0.15 and -0.10. This is confirmed by our observations in Fig. 3.7, where one sees a**  $\sim$  **500 km/s jump in**  $\bar{v}$  **for C III**  $\lambda$  **5696 at**   $\phi \sim -0.15$ . This phenomenon repeats over many orbital cycles; hence, we discard stochastic wind variability as a cause. We note that around periastron passage, **the**  changing wind momentum balance (cf. Gayley et **ai.** 1997) **may** provoke crashing of the **WR** wind onto the O star surface. This **may** significantly change the mass load **into the wind-wind** collision zone as well as its geometry (phase-variable  $\Theta$  and reduced  $\delta w$ ) and physical conditions, increasing the emissivity from the wind-wind collision component (note the rise in  $W_{\lambda}$  of HeI and CIII in Fig. 3.5). thus creating much stronger extra blueshifted emission, and, hally, shifting the WR, profile to negative velocities. It **is** relevant to note that **the** wind velocity of **the** O star tends to be Iower **during** periastron passage (Stevens et ai. **1996), a which** might **signify** an **abrupt change** in the **dynamics** of the **wind-wind** collision process.

Another detail to be mentioned is the pronouncd **asymmetry** between the **ingress- egress** behavior of the **excess** emission, **suggestive** of **more gradua1** lift-off of the shock **from** the O **star surface after** the initial **swiR crushing.** This **asymme**try is probably created by the orbital motion of the components, in direct analogy to another **highly** eccentric colliding-wind binary, **L** Orionis (Pittard 1998).

Now we are able to **explain** the excess **variability** in the blue wing of the Carbon and He<sub>I</sub> lines in Fig. 3.4. According to the Lührs model with allowance for **crashing** of **the** WR **wind** onto the O star, strong excess emission is expected to shift to the blue when the O-star is  $\sim$  in front, i.e., around periastron passage. This introduces larger values for the scatter  $\sigma$  in the blue wing of our data. This is clearly detected in all the five strong emission lines, except HeII  $\lambda$  5411, where the excess **emission is masked by the** strong O **star** absorption.

### **3.4.5 Polarizat ion**

The shock-cone introduces a deviation from spherical symmetry in the WR **wind** so that, in principle, it could lead to phase-dependent intrinsic continuum polarization. However, an even more important source of phase-dependent con**tinuum** polarization is the **scatter** of **O-star** light off **free** electrons in the WR wind (see St-Louis et al. 1987 for  $\gamma^2$  Vel and other WC+O binaries). On the other **hand, WR** *emission-line* flux **in** a **binary** will be practicdy unpolarized. This might **cause some** phase-dependent variations of polarization **occurring at**  the positions of the emission **lines** (see Moffat & Piirola 1994). In general, a flat- (. tened WR wind can also cause some line depolarization (cf. Harries et al. 1998), **which** however **is** not expected to vary with phase in a binary systern.

To obtain sufficient **accuracy** in p, u and **v** we co-added **dl** nightly Stokes parameten, Doppler corrected into the **WR** rest-frame **to** global mean Stokes q,  $u$  and  $v$  spectra normalized to zero for the whole run, consisting of  $\sim 80$  hours of exposure tirne in total. The **result** is shown in **Fig.** 3.8.

We draw attention in Fig. 3.8 to the depressions ( $\sim 0.05\%$ ) in Stokes q and u across the two strong lines of C  $\text{III}$   $\lambda$  5696 and C IV  $\lambda$  5802/12, significantly above the  $3\sigma$  noise level,  $\sim 0.03\%$ . We calculate this precision limit from the featureless  $v(\lambda)$  spectrum between 5600 and 5950  $\hat{A}^1$ . The HeI  $\lambda$  5875 line also shows complex structure, although closer to the noise limit. Phase-locked  $q$ , u variations in the continuum light, clearly **seen** around periastron passage in broadband polarization (St-Louis et al. **1987),** have probably **diluted** the **line** polarization effect due to **phase-smearing, which** for q **and u is expected** to be the largest at **quadratures.** Additionally, **any regular variations across** the **he** proûies **are masked** by **omnipresence** of the strong **stochastic** component.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We define the noise of pixel **i** as  $\sigma_i/\sqrt{N}$ , with the  $\sigma_i$  as defined in eq. (1) and N as the **number** of rectified polarization spectra. We assume that the  $v(\lambda)$  spectrum is entirely noise **dominated.** The **photon sfatistics and obsemation techaique are identicai in q, u and v.** 



**Figure 3.8. Mean rectified intensity spectnim (bottom)** and **mean Stokes** q, **u and**   $\nu$  normalized to zero (top) for the whole observing run in the WR frame. The polarimetric data have been binned by a 5-pixel box-car. Formal  $2\sigma$  errorbars are **indicated.** 

We find no significant circular polarization along the whole mean  $v_{\lambda}$  spectrum, in excess of the instrumental level of  $3\sigma \sim 0.03\%$ , although there are hints of variability at the blue edges of CIII  $\lambda$  5696, CIV  $\lambda$  5802/12 and HeI  $\lambda$  5875. **The** technique of magnetic field measurement **bas** been described by Landstreet & **Borra** (1977a,1977b), **Borra** & Landstreet (1980) and Landstreet (1977,1982). Landstreet followed Unno (1956) **by using** the Milne-Eddington approximation br the source function with **presence** of polarized light. For this **case** he derived

$$
\langle v \rangle = 4.67 \times 10^{-13} z B_e \lambda^2 (dI/d\lambda) / I, \tag{3.8}
$$

with  $v = (v_{\text{red}} - v_{\text{blue}})/2$ , where  $v_{\text{red}}$  and  $v_{\text{blue}}$  are the fractional polarizations **in the red** and blue line **wings,** respectively; z is the Landé factor **and** *Be* **is** the net effective longitudinal component of the magnetic field in **Gauss.** In **our** case we have no recognizable differences between  $v_{red}$  and  $v_{blue}$ . For this reason we take  $v > 0.03\%$  (our calculated noise level).

**Instead** of estirnating **a** magnetic field strength in an absorption line star with a visible photosphere we use this equation for the emission **lines** from the extended wind in  $\gamma^2$  Vel. By using eqn. (8) we ignore the Doppler shifts experienced by flux coming from different **parts** of the stelhr **wind.** On the other **hand** Mathys (1999) points out **that** eqn. (8) **requires** a correction **factor** of **415 to** be applicable for **emission** line **stars.** By **using** eqn. (8) and **this** correction with **the Landé** factor **z**   $= 1$  for this transition (3<sup>1</sup> $P^0 - 3^1D$ ), the slope of the line flanks and the central line intensity of CIII  $\lambda$  5696, we translate the instrumental noise level into an upper limit on the net effective magnetic field in  $\gamma^2$  Velorum:  $B_e \leq 280$  Gauss.

#### $3.5$ **Summary**

We have presented **phasedependent** spectropolarimetry for the **WR+O** binary  $\gamma^2$  Velorum, obtained with the new William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter. In the wavelength range  $5200 - 6000$  Å this includes the dominant lines of HeII A5411, **CIV X5471, Cm A5696, Cw** A5802112 and **He1 X5875. AU** four **Stokes**  parameters **1,** q, **u** and **u** have been obtained quasi-simultaneously during **an es**  tended run through periastron passage ( $\phi = -0.2$  to 0.2). We note the following:

1. All five observed major emission lines show small-scale peaks over the broad underlying emission profiles, stochastically **changing** from **night** to night and in some nights moving towards the blue/red line wings. These subpeaks are assumed to **be** a manifestation of localized **high** density regions (clumps, blobs) created by radiative instabilities (Owocki 1994), outwardly propagating in the **wind (cf. Lépine 1998). Their presence in lines** of **dinerent** ionization implies that **the** whole **wind is affecteci** by clumping. **<sup>a</sup>**

2. Measurements of the line equivalent widths also **show** significant stochastic variability in the stronger lines of C  $\text{III}$   $\lambda$  5696, C IV  $\lambda$  5802/12 and He I  $\lambda$  5875.

3. The variation profiles across the lines,  $\sigma(\lambda)$ , roughly follow the shape of the emission line profiles themselves, with  $\sigma_{\lambda}/(I_{\lambda} - I_c) \sim 3 - 10\%$ , depending on **the** line. **This** indicates that the whole **wind is dected** by **stochastic** variations.

4. We find no evidence for a **coherent** periodic component in the **line profile**  variations of  $\gamma^2$  Vel, from  $\sim$  6 minutes to 15 days.

5. We **make an** attempt to **disentangle** the components of the phase-dependent, variable **emission under** certain **assump** tions. **This reveals** some interesting windwind collision effects, with a hint of **crashing** of the **WR wind** onto the O **star during** periaatron passage.

6. We report a **weak** polarization change **across** the **strong emission** lines of CIII  $\lambda$  5696 and CIV  $\lambda$  5802/12, which could be related to the phase-dependent **asymmetry created by the O-star lîght scattered off by** free **electrons in the WR wind- (Moffat** & **Piiroia 1994).** 

**7. No definite cirdar polarization is detected in the emission Lines above the** 

instrumental  $3\sigma$  threshold of 0.03 %, placing an upper limit on the net effective **magnetic field in the WR wind,**  $B_e \leq 280 \text{ G}.$ 

### **3.6 Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank Bob Garrison for the generous allotment of **observing** time **at UTSO and Steve Steeles for his continuous support during the observations at UTSO. T.E. is gateful for** full **hancial aid** fiom **the Evangeiisches Studienwerk/Germany which is supported by the Geman Government, as weii**  as an extended bursary from the astronomy group at the Université de Montréal. **A.F.J.M. thanks NSERC (Canada), FCAR (Québec), and the Killam program of the Canada Council for the Arts for financial support.** 

# **References**

- **huer, L.H.** & **Koenigsberger, G. 1994, ApJ, 436, 869**
- **Bartzakos, P. 1998, Doctoral thesis, Université de Montréal**
- Bartzakos, P., Moffat, A.F.J., Niemela, V.S. 1995, IAU symp. 163, 406
- Borra, E.F., Landstreet, J.D. 1980, ApJ, 212, 141
- **Canto, J., Raga, AC., Wilkin, F.P. 1996, ApJ, 469, 729**
- **Chalabaev, A., Maillard, J.P. 1983, A&A, 127, 279**
- **Eichler, D., Usov, V. 1993, ApJ, 402, 271**
- **Eversberg, Tg, Lépine, S., Moffat, A.F.J. 1998a, ApJ, 494, 799**
- **Eversberg, T., Moffat, A.F.J., Debniyne, M., Rice, J., Piskunov, N., Bastien, P., Wehlau, W.H., Chesneau, 0. 1998b, PASP, 110,1356**
- **Garrison, R.F., Beattie, B. 1990, JRASC, 84, 246**
- **Gayley, K. G., Owocki, S.P., Cranmer, S.R 1997, ApJ, 475, 786**
- **Gies, D.R., Bagnuolo, W.G., Jr, Penny, L.R. 1997, ApJ, 479, 408**
- **Hamies,** T.J., **Hiliier, D.J., Howarth, LD. 1998, MNRAS, 296, 1072**
- **Jeffers, S., StifF, T.,** & **Weller,** W.C. **1985, AJ, 90, 1852**
- Kuhi, **L.V. 1973, ApJ, 180,783**
- **Lanàstreet, J.D. 1977, AJ, 85, 611**
- **Landstreet,** J.D. **1982, ApJ, 258,639**

**Landstreet,** J.D., **Borna,** E.F. **197'?a, ApJ,** 212, L43

- Landstreet, J.D., Borra, E.F. 1977b, ApJ, 224, L5
- Lépine, S. 1998, **PhD Thesis,** Université **de Montréal**
- Lépine, S. & Moffat, A.F.J. 1999, ApJ, **in** press
- Lépine, S., Eversberg, T., Moffat, **A.F.J.** 1999, **AJ,** in press
- **Lührs,** S. 1991, Doctoral **thesis, University** of **Münster**
- **Lührs,** S. 1997, PASP, 109,504
- Marchenko, **S.V.,** Moffat, A.F.J., Eenens, **P.R.J.** 1998a, PASP, 110, 1416
- Marchenko, **S.V.,** Moffat, **A.F.J.,** Eenens, P.R.J., Cardona, O., **Echevarria,** J., Hervieux, Y. 1997, **ApJ, 485, 826**
- Marchenko, S.V., Moffat, A.F.J., van der **Hucht, K.A., Seggewiss,** W., **Sthrijver, H., Stenholm,** B., Lundstrom, I., Setia **Gunawan,** D.Y.A., **Sutantyo, W.,**  van **den Heuvel,** E.P.J., De Cuyper, J.-P., **Gbrnez, A.E.** 1998b, **A&A,** 331, 1022
- **Mathys,** G. 1999, Proc. IAU Coll. **No. 169, in press**
- Moffat, A.F.J., Marchenko, **S.V., Bartzakos,** P. 1996, **Rev. Mex. AA,** conf. **series, 5, 38**
- MoEat , **A.F.** J., Marchenko, S.V., **Bartzakos,** P., Niemela, **V.S.,** Cemti, M.A., **Magalhiies, AM., Bdona, L., St-Louis,** N., **Seggewiss,** W., Lamontagne, **R.** 1998, **ApJ, 497,896**
- **Moffat,** A.F.J., Piirola, **V.** 1994, ApJ, 413,724
- **Nugis, T., Crowther, P.A., Wis,** A.J. 1998, **A&A,** 333, **956**
- **Pittard, J.M. 1998, MNRAS, 300,479**
- Owocki, S.P. 1994, ApSpSc, 221, 3
- Roberts, D. H., **Le&, J.,** & Dreher, J. W. 1987, AJ, 93, 968
- Scargle, J. D. 1982, ApJ, 263, 835
- Schaerer, D., **Schmutz,** W., Grenon, **M.,** 1997, **ApJ, 484, LI53**
- Schmutz, **W., Schweickhaxdt,** J., **Stahl,** O., Wolf, B., Dumm, T., Gang, T., Jankovics, I., Kaufer, A., Lehmann, H., Mandel, H., Peitz, J., Rivinius, Th. 1997, A&A, 328, 219
- Schulte-Ladbeck, R.E., Eenens, P.R.J., **Davis,** K. 1995, ApJ, 454, 917
- Schweickhardt, **Jey** Kaufer, A., **Schmutz, W., Stahl, O.,** Wolf, B. 1999, Proc. **IAU COU.** No. 169, in press
- Stevens, LR., Blondin, J.M., Pollock, A.M.T. 1992, **ApJ, 386, 265**
- Stevens, I.R., Corcoran, M.F., **Willies, A.J.,** Skinner, S.L., Pollock, **A.M.T.,** Na**gase,** F., Koyama, K. 1996, **MNRAS, 283, 589**
- St-Louis, N. 1996, Rev. **Meu.,** Astron. **Astroph. (Ser.** Cod.), 5, 76
- St-Louis, N., Drissen, **L.,** Moffat, A.F.J., Bastien, P., Tapia, S. 1987, **ApJ,** 322, **870**
- St-Louis, N., WilLs, A.J., **Stevens,** LR. 1993, ApJ, 415, 298
- Taylor, M. 1990, AJ, 100, 1264
- **Unno,** W. 1956, **Pub.** hr. Soc. **Japan,** 8,108
- nui **der Hucht, K.A., Schrijver, H., Stenhoim, B., LundstrOm,** L, **Moffat, A.F.J., Marchenko, S.V., Seggewiss, W.; Setia Gunam, D.Y.A., Sutantyo, W.,**  - **van den Heuvel, E.P. J.,** De **Cuyper, J.-P.,** Gomez, A.E. 1997, **New** Astronomy, 2,245

**Walder,** R\*, Folini, **D. 1998, A&& 330, L21** 

**Willis, A.J., Schild, H., Stevens,** I.R. **1995, A&A, 298,549** 

 $\bullet$ 

۰

# **CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER PROSPECTS**

### **Conclusions**

Wolf-Rayet **and** O **stars and** their extended atmospheres **show various types**  of **vaxiability. This can** be triggered by **radially outward** moving clumps **of plasma,**  rotationally induced discrete absorption components (DAC) and non-radial pulsations (NRP), extended oscillating equatorial disks and wind-wind interaction in hot **star** binaries introducing **shock-cones.** Stochastic **variability was** detected only in WR stars, so far, and the question was raised if their progenitors, the O **stars, show such variability. We answered this question by observing a prototype O star, the early-type O** supergiant C Puppis:

- $\bullet$  We discovered small-scale structures in the He II  $\lambda$  4686 line of  $\zeta$  Pup, indicating stochastic density fluctuations (clumps) in the outward moving **wind.**
- **a After a** detailed investigation **we** showed that the clumps in He ïï are formed **very close** to the **stellar surface** and are moving **radialIy away fkom** the **star.**  Their **acceleration is lower** than previously **assumed.**
- $\bullet$  We conclude from variability of the HeII  $\lambda$  4686 line that the whole wind in **this iine is dected** by clumps, **simiiar** to **WR winds.**
- $\bullet$  As a consequence of our observations, previously calculated mass-loss rates **on the base of a smooth wind are probably overestimated. This Likely applies**  not **ody** to **CPup** in particulat, **but** to ail **O stars.**

The observation of **C** Pup (a **star** of 2nd mag) **was carrieci** out with the **3.6m**  Canada- Rance-Hawaii Telescope- This was indeed a unique **campaign,** obtaining high signal-to-noise **at** very high spectral resolution. Encouraged by this success, **we** completed the construction of the William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter for the observation of hot stars in dl four Stokes parameters. **This has** the tremendous opportunity of obtaining the full description of **any stellar** iight beam; its intensity **and al1** polarization vectors over an extended wavelength range. Besides various technical problems, **spectropolarimetry** is restncted to sources of large intrinsic polarization or for more typical low levels to big telescopes (longer exposure times **would** significantly compromise the data **quality** on short time scales, important **<sup>a</sup>** for rotating hot **stars). Hot stars** produce relatively **smd** polarization levels as a rule, so that larger optics are necessary.

Considering the exhausting **tests** with this new instrument we found some interesting problems, which are pro bably a general restriction to fiber-fed **po**larimeter units using multi-layered retarders.

- **0** We found that imperfect framing of the four layers in our **two** quarter-wave plates introduce **varying** retardation angles, and hence polarization effects, depending on the orientation angle of the plates. Although this effect **can be** computed **and** rejected by a simple reduction procedure it is indroduced by **an** avoidable manufactuing error. If this problem *cm* be solved **by** using non-airspaced layers is still an open question.
- **A** more serious problem **is** the non-constant sensitivity **function** of the **fibers**  with respect to the geometrical positions on the apertures. **This** is clearly proved **by** a perfect instrumentai **behavior using overall** illumination of the apertures. **In our** case this **is done via flat-fielding. Because** of the **unpredictable niovement** of the stellar images during **expoanire th behavior** introduces **stochastic variabiüty** of **broadband** polarization. **Using Fabry lemes to image** the whole telescope aperture **on** the **fibers one couid** avoid this

pro **blem.** For the **William-Wehlau** spectropolarimeter this idea **was** rejected due to constructional consideratious. If **this** behavior **is** due to non-perfect surfaces of the fiber apertures one couid carehilly **glue** them ont0 a transparent plastic plate with a surface of **high** acuracy.

The compact and simple design of the William-Wehlau spectropolarimeter **enables** the **observer to** transport it to mrious **telescopes** in both hemispheres. This **was** carried out dunng a **number** of observing **campaigns** at the Obsemtoire du Mont Mégantic **(OMM)** in **Québec and at the** University of **Toronto** Southern Observatory (UTSO) in Chile. The results for the WR+O binary  $\gamma^2$  Velorum, observed through **periastron passage** at **UTSO,** are introduced in the present work.

- $\gamma^2$  Vel shows highly stochastic variability in all five major observed emission lines, indicating that the whole **wind** in different excitation **stages is**  clumped. This is most prominent in the strong  $CIII \lambda$  5696 emission, where **spectacular features suddenly occur in some nights, only to disappear and** be replaced by others in the next night. We confirm previous observations of **clumping** in **Cm** but **over** a **much** longer the scale.
- We find a strong dependence of the CMI  $\lambda$  5696 and HeI  $\lambda$  5875 equivalent widths on the stellar separation  $(W_\lambda \sim 1/r)$  and significant stochastic variability of  $W_{\lambda}$  itself.
- $\bullet$  We find no evidence for any periodic line variability on time scales from  $\sim$ 6 minutes to  $\sim$  15 days.
- **<sup>0</sup>Using** the **minimum** profiie of the whole run and applying **some** simple **assumptions, we are able to separate out the variable excess emission in the \*Cm emispion he, nhich is** shown to be **created in a shock-cone around the maker-wind** O **star** component. **The presence** of **a shock-cone is supportad**

**by the mode1 for wind-wind collisions recently developed by Lührs. However, the results are less clear** than **in other attempts to apply this mode1 for**  other systems. By comparing our data with another independent recent investigation of  $\gamma^2$  Vel, we noticed a swift velocity change in excess CIII **just before periastron passage. It is possible that we are seeing evidence for the crashing of the** WR. **wind onto the O star surface, provoked by a changing wind momentum balance as the two stars approach each other towards periastron passage.** 

**Average polarization features of the whole** run **are found to be significant**  across C III  $\lambda$  5696 and C IV  $\lambda$  5802/12. From circular polarization measurement across the WR lines, we estimate an upper threshold for any magnetic field to be  $B_e \sim 280$  Gauss in the system's wind.

#### **Further prospects**

**The** clumpy structure **of** hot star **winds has some** interesting implications. **One** of the most important is the question about the reai material input into the intenteilar entironment. **As seen** in this **report,** the **winds** are **filied** by density inhornogenities. However, the **contrast** among these inhomogenities is unknown, **as** well as the **number** and size distribution of structures **in** the wind. It **seems** that **far** more structures are present than previously assumed. It may even be possible that **ftactal structures** dominate **the wind.** If this is **true, we** need the capacity to observe the **real** 2-dimensional picture **gf** a hot **star with** clumpy **wind** to answer this question. With the advent of advanced high resolution interferometry it **should be** possible **soon** to resolve directly at least the closest hot-star winds.

Whether magnetic fields play a role in **trigering** the mass-outflow, **is** one of the most **intriguing and unanswered** questions in the context of hot star winds. No definite value of any magnetic field in **any** hot star (except **Ap and** Bp stars) **has**  been measured **so far.** However, there **is** every indication that magnetic **fields must**  be present in at **least** some hot **stars. For** this reason, the use of spectropolarimety **is** of high **interest** for a **direct** detection of the magnetic field. We should **make**  an **effort** to increase the reiiability and accuracy of spectropolarimetric **devices** to **answer** t **his important** question.

### **References**

**(for the** text **outside the published papers in chapters 1-3)** 

**Barket,** P.K., **Landstreet, J.D., Marlborough, J.M., Thompson,** L, **Maza,** J. **1981, ApJ, 250, 300** 

\*

- **Beals, C.S. 1929,** MNR4S, **90, 202**
- **Bona, E.** & **Landstreet, J.M. 1980, ApJS, 42, 421**
- **Cassinelli, C.P.** & **Haisch, B.M. 1974, ApJ, 188, 101**
- $Chandrasekhar, S. 1934, MNRAS, 94, 522$
- **Chandrasekhar, S. 1946, ApJ, 103, 351**
- **Chiosi, C.** & **Meader, A. 1986, Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap., 68, 415**
- **Coati, P.S. 1976, Mem. Soc. Roy. Sciences Liége, 6e Sér., Tome IX, p.193**
- **Crowther, P.A., Smith, L.J., Hillier, D.J., Schmutz, W. 1995, A&A, 293, 427**
- **Donati, J.-F., Semel, M., Praderie, F. 1989, AkA, 225, 467**
- **Drissen, L., St.-Louis, N., Moffat, A.F.J., Bastien, P. 1987, ApJ, 322, 888**
- **Drissen, L., Robert, C., Moffat, A.F.** J. **1992, ApJ, 386, 288**
- **Eversberg, T., Lépine, S., Moffat, A.F.J. 1998, ApJ, 494, 799**
- **Hanuschik, R.W. 1996, A&A, 308, 170**
- **Hanies,** T.J. **1995, PLD. thesis,** UCL, **London**
- **Harries,** T.J. **k Howarth, LD. 1996, A&A, 310,553**
- **Henriltsen, H. 1994, ApSpSc, 221, 25**

**Kudntzki, R.P., Simon,** K.P., **Hamann, WIR. 1983, A&A, 118, 245** 

**Landstreet,** J.D. **1979, AJ, 85, 611** 

- **Langer, N., Hamann, W.-R., Lennon,** M., **Najarro, F., Pauldrach, A.W..4., Puls, J. 1994, ALA, 290,819**
- **Lépine, S., Eversberg, T., Moffat, A.F.J. 1998, ApJ, 514,909**
- **Lam, S. 1997, PASP, 109, 504**
- **McLean, LS., Coyne, G.V., Frecker. J.E., Serkowski, K. 1979a, ApJ, 228, 802 I**
- **McLean,** I.S., **Coyne, GY., Recker,** J.E., **Serkowski, K. 1979b, ApJ, 231, LI41**
- **Moffat, A.F.J., Drissen, L., Lamontagne, R., Robert, C. 1988, ApJ, 334, 1038**
- **MoEat, A.F.J.** & **Robert, C. 1992, in: A.S.P. Conference Series, Vol. 22, p. 203**
- **MoEat, A.F.J.** & **Piirola, V. 1993, ApJ, 413, 724**
- **Nordsieck, K.H. 1992, in: ASP Conference Series, Vol. 22, p. 114**
- **Owocki, S. 1994, ApSpSc, 221, 3**
- **Poeckert, R.** & **Marlborough, J.M. 1977, ApJ, 218, 220**
- **Puis,** J., **Kudritzki, R.P., Herrero, A., Paddrach, A.W.A., Haser, S.M., Lennon, D.J., Gabier, R., Voels, S.A.,** Vilcha, J.M., **Wachter, S., Feldmeier, A. 1996, A&A, 305, 171**
- **Robert, C.** & **Moffat, A.F.J. 1989a, ApJ, 343,902**
- **Robert, C., Moffat, A.F.J., Baotien,P., Drinsen L., St-Louis, N. 1989b, ApJ, 347,1034**
- **Robert,** %., **Moffat, A.F.J., Bastien, St-Louis, N.,P., Drissen L. 1990, ApJ, 359,211**
- Robert, C. 1992, Ph.D. **thesis,** Département de **Physique,** Université de Montréal
- Robert, C., Moffat, A.F.J., **Drissen** L., Lamontagne, R., Seggewiss, W., Niemela, **V.S., Cemti, MA.,** Barrett, P., **Bailey,** J., Garcia, J., Tapia, S. 1992, **ApJ,** 397, 277
- Roberts, **D.H.,** Lehir, J., Dreher, J.W. 1987, AJ, 93, 968
- Scargle, J.D. 1982, **ApJ,** 263, 835
- Schmutz, W., Schweickhardt, J., Stahl, O., Wolf, B., Dumm, T., Gäng, T., Jankovics, L, Kaufer, **A.,** Lehmann, H., Mandel, H., **Peitz,** J., **Rivinius,** Th. 1997, **McA,** 328, 219
- Schulte-Ladbeck, **R.E.,** Nordsieck, K.H., Nook, **KA.,** Magalhges, AM., Taylor, M., Bjorkman, **K.S., Anderson, C.M.** 1990, **ApJ,** 365, LI9
- Schulte-Ladbeck, **R.E.,** Nordsieck, K.H., Taylor, M., Nook, MA., Bjorkman, **K.S., MA., MagalhZes, A.M., Anderson,** C.M. 1991, **ApJ,** 382, 301
- Schulte-Ladbeck, **R.E.,** Meade, M., **Hillier,** D.J. 1992, in: L. Drissen, C. Leitherer, A. Nota (eds), Nonisotropic and Variable Outflow kom **Stars,** ASP Conference **Series,** Vol. 22, p. 118
- Schulte-Ladbeck, **R.E.,** Nordsieck, K.H., Taylor, M., Bjorkman, **K.S.,** &I.A., Mag**aihàes, Wolff, M.J. 1992a, ApJ, 387, 347**
- **Schulte-Ladbeck,** R.E., Nordsieck, KH., Code, **AD.,** Anderson, **C.M.,** Babler, **B.L.,**  Bjorkman, K.S., Clayton, G.C., Magalhães, A.M., Meade, M.R., Shepherd, D., Taylor, M., Whitney, B.A. **I992b, -4p4** 391, L37

**Schuite-Ladbeck, RE., Eenens, P.R,** da^, **K. 1995, ApJ, 454,917** 

Schwarzschild, M. 1950, ApJ, 112, 222

- **Schweickhardt,** J., **Kaufer, A., Schrnutz, W., Stahl, O., Wolf, B. L938, IAU Colloqium No. 169, Heidelberg** ..
- **Semel, M. 1989, AkA, 225, 456**
- **Semel,** M., **Donati,** J.-F., **Rees,** D.E. **1993, A&A, 278, 231**
- Serkowski, K. 1962, in: Advances in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 1, 247
- **Serkowski, K. 1970, ApJ, 160, 1083**
- **Stevens, LR., Blondin, J.M., Pollock, A.M.T. 1992, ApJ, 386, 265**
- **St.-Louis, N., Drissen, L., Moffat, A.F.J., Bastien, P., Tapia, S. 1987, ApJ, 322, 870**

**a** 

- **St.-Louis, N., Moffat, A.F.J., Drissen, L., Bastien, P., Robert, C. 1988, ApJ, 330, 286**
- St.-Louis, N., Moffat, A.F.J., Lapointe, L., Efimov, Yu.S., Shakhovskoy, N.M., Fox, **G.K., Pikola, V. 1993, ApJ, 410, 342**
- **St.-Louis, N., Willies, A.J., Stevens, I.R. 1993, ApJ, 415, 298**
- **St.-Louis, N., Dalton, M.J., Marchenko, S.V., Moffat, A.F.J., Willis, A.J. 1995, ApJ, 452, 57**
- **S t .-Louis, N. 1996, in:** *Collàding Win&* **in Banary Stars, Rev. Mex., Astron. Astroph., 5, 76**
- **van der Hucht, K. 1996, in: Proc. 33rd Liége COU., p.1**
- **Willis, A.J. 1991, Proc.** IAU **Symp. No. 143, p.265**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

**Well, that's it Tony. Thank you very much for working together, for al1 the support, your help, your endiess patience. humor. the regular coffee and especially for your and Ann's friendship.** 

I **want to thank a** number **of hiends and-colleagues for the years together in Canada. EspecialIy Karen and David Waldron (Oh, these Canadian washing machines...), Nicole S t-Louis and René Dayon (...just a test!!!), Francisca and Johan Knapen (Let's spit over the border, Johan!), Grant Hill (Happy Superbowl** Sun**day, Grant!),** aii **coileagues of the** *Club* **des** *Etoües* e massives **and the Département de Physique, and Mike Debmyne in London for aIl the Nobel Prim (See you again in Stockholm, Mike!).** 

**For** ali **the years of their fiiendship, patience and love and for their visits in Canada 1 want to thank my friends in Germany, Joachim Wortmann, Klaus Vollmann, Volker Diefenbach, Anja Feck and Andreas Luschei, Anke Godersmann, Dietet Schaade, and Petra and Hartmut Klar.** 

I am very gratefull to the Evangelisches Studienwerk in Villigst for their generous **financial and moraüc support. Representatively** 1 **wodd Like to name Manfted Fassler, Karin Lühmann and Eberhard Miiller.** 

Finally I want to thank my parents Karin and Karl-Werner, who were always with me.

\*

# **A short story**

DURING OUR SECOND ENGINEERING RUN WITH THE SPECTROPOLARIME-**TER AT ELGINFIELD OBSERVATORY, THE INSTRUMENTAL OUTPUT WAS COM-PLETELY WRONG. WE COULDN'T FIND THE REASON. AFTER SOME DISCUSSION JOHN RICE MADE A DECISION... "Let's pull out the quarter-wave plates...". AND SO WE DID. HE PLACED THEM ON THE TABLE, LOOKED THROUGU WITH A PO-LARIZER... TURNED THE POLAEUZER... LOOKED AGAIN...** ?! ... **STARED AT THE**  WALL ... "Well...!?!??" ... AGAIN THROUGH THE QUARTER-WAVE PLATES ... ?!! ... **AGAIN AT THE WALL** ... *UHmmm...!"* ... **MÔUTH OPEN, EYEBROWS TWISTED** ... **AND SUDDENLY SAID: "One is** *turned* **by 90 degzees!"** 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

**Thank you John for this impressive lesson!**