A MASTER-SLAVE SYNCHRONIZATION METHOD FOR **A SAW BASED ON-BOARD PROCESSING SATELLITE SYSTEM**

by

Ning Zhang

A thesis submitted to the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering in conformity with the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

> **Queen's University Kingston, Ontario, Canada September 1998**

Copyright @ **Ning Zhang, 1998**

National Library latitude 1.1

Acquisitions and Acquisitions et

Canada Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

services bibliographiques

395 Wellington Street 395. rue Wellington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4
Canada

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

exclusive licence allowing **the exclusive** permettant **à** la reproduce, loan, distribute or sell reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou copies of this thesis in microform, vendre des copies de cette thèse paper or electronic formats. la **forme** de microfiche/fiIm, de

The author retains ownership of the L'auteur conserve la propriété **du** may be printed or otherwise de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés reproduced without the author's ou autrement reproduits sans son permission. autorisation.

The author has granted a non- L'auteur a accordé une licence non National Library of Canada to Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de copies of **this** thesis in rnicroform, **vendre des copies de** cette **thèse** sous reproduction **sur** papier **ou sur** format électronique.

copyright in this thesis. Neither **the** droit d'auteur qui protège **cette** thèse. thesis nor substantial extracts from it Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels

0-612-35987-5

Abstract

Synchronized **FDMA** inputs from earth terminals to a SAW based on-board, multicarrier, demodulator (MCD) reduces overall satellite system complexity. The synchronization problem of multiple uplink **terminals** tu a geosynchronous (GEO) regenerative satellite processor, with a time-division multiplexed (TDM) downlink, is studied in this thesis. The method considered uses channel probing to provide an uplink propagation delay estimate which can **be** used by **a** ground terminal to adjust **its** transrnitter dock phase to ensure synchronization to the satellite dock when the **signal** is received by the on-board receiver. This method is named transmitter timing recovery and the central concept is to provide global synchronization without the use of a satellite based beacon signal. The method used is based on master-slave synchronization theory, where the satellite is regarded as the **master** and the terminal as the slave.

Two possible timing offset indicators, the estimated bit error probability and the estimated uplink signal amplitude, which can be used to calculate the timing offset, are identified **and examined** in the thesis. **The** estimation methodology follows the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) principle.

The uplink bit error probability can be estimated to an accuracy of $1/16$ th of a symbol interval with prebability larger than 0.9 with at least a 4000-symbol long probing sequence for **SNR** = 4 **dB.** The uplink signal amplitude is a better indicator in **terms** of estimation efficiency because in order to get the same estimation probability it requires a 100-symbol probing sequence with operation **SNR** > **5** dB. The detected uplink signal amplitude is

estimated at the output of an on-board **MCD. A** properly designed probing signal provides a linear relationship of amplitude vs. timing offset on the average. MCD outputs with AWGN at the input are studied in detail. The **magnitude** distribution follows a **Rician** pdf. **A** magnitude estimate is sent to the original temiinal in order to have **a** time-delay estimate that is free of the phase offsets in the MCD.

The performance **rneasures** used for the time-delay estimate are **(1)** the pdf of this estimate, (2) the variance of this estimate, **and** (3) the number of probing symbols required to get less than 0.5 dB penalty of E_b/N_0 in the on-board processor bit error rate due to timing errors with the given timing resolution of **T/** 16. For the worst-case of a one-half symbol time of error, the magnitude estimate algorithm produced satisfactory synchronization 98% of the time. This result is for a 10 dB link E_b/N_0 and use of a 4-bit quantizer to transmit the timing error estimate on the downlink **TDM** channel.

A computer simulation of a complete processing satellite and a communicating terminal shows the interactions between the recovered downlink clock and uplink timing estimate. This simulation includes al1 aspects of timing recovery, carrier recovery, filtering **and** data detection in both the teminal **and** the satellite on-board processor. The delay estimate algorithm is proved workable in this practical system configuration, whereas, in earlier work in this thesis, it could **be** anaiysed in restricted situations. **The** requirement for the downlink to allow the proper operation of the uplink delay estimate is obtained from simulation. The simulated BER results **show** that with this transmitter timing recovery mechanism used, no significant **SNR** penalty **can** be observed with a probing sequence of **length** 100-symbols.

Acknowledgements

1 would like to take this opportunity to **thank** my supervisor Dr. Peter **I.** McLane, for al1 his help, without which **1** could not have reached this stage of **rny** thesis work. His cornments, suggestions, and guidance are invaluable. He is, if not more **than,** tnily a supervisor in **al1** sense of the word being a good listener, guide, and source of insight and encouragement. I am grateful that I could conduct some of my thesis work off-campus at the Communications Research Centre **(CRC)** from where **1** obtained direct exposure to the latest developments of satellite communications technology and in particular, SAW processor development.

1 would also like to acknowledge financial support from Corn Dev Ltd. of Cambridge, Ontario, the Federai Department of Communications and Queen's University. **1** would like to express my appreciation to Mr. **Chun** Loo for granting me a platform for computer sirnulation at CRC and providing me **helpful** guidance in my research work. Dr. **Norman** Secord, formerly of CRC, and now with Nortel is also thanked for discussions on synchronization to satellite based SAW implemented **MCDs.**

I would like to thank the computer managers of this department and **al1** my friends, for all the help and support which carried me through this work.

Specially, **1** would like to thank Prof. Blostein for suggesting and outlining the material in the Appendix B.

On top of dl, my gratitude to my wife Lintao for her loving support **and** constant encouragement without that the work **can** not possibly **be** done.

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Symbols and Abbreviations

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Around the world, wireless personal communication services are expanding [1]. It is predicted that in ten years, 60 percent of ail communications will be wireless **[2].** The ultimate goal of personal communication systems is to allow people to access truly global mobile communications services through a hand-held digital terminal **131. Such** terminais will be able to transmit not only voice **but** also a variety of new digital services, such as multimedia [4]. Demands for digital transmission will be increasing in future telecommunication networks and such networks must also be very versatile in order to handle the requirement of the diverse services that could be introduced. There is no doubt that opticai **fiber** cornmunication systems are the future mode of transmission for public switched telecommunication networks **(PSTN),** especially for tmnk systems. The ability to exchange various kinds of information **with** any one regardless of time zone and geographical location is where PCS (personal communication service) is applicable [3]. The transportable/landmobile satellite system provides a very good **means** for network access for low population density **areas** and those countries where terrestrial cellular infrastructures are not well established **[5].** Satellite communication networks have an advantage in providing digital

links at a required capacity between any possible geographical location without constraints on terrestrial network structures or distance. Satellite communications is still the only effective and economic means for maritime or remote communication systems. In addition to the obvious advantages in providing global personal communications, some unique capabilities for satellite systems are in providing temporary links for unplanned expansions of existing networks. The communication satellite also **has an** inherent advantage over other transmission media in the ability to readily provide point-to-multipoint communications. For example, in the broadcasting area, especially direct-to-home broadcast with 18-inch dishes, multimedia digital radio provides a good exarnple why satellites are still an important part of future communications networks [6].

Now, these two types of systems, the optical fiber system and the satellite/mobile system, can be complementary. The expansion of the optical fiber system does not mean the end of satellite communication systems. Instead, the use of satellite in radio **com**munications appears to be increasing rapidly in the areas of direct-to-home broadcasting, mobilelPCS **and** thin-route applications **[7].** Research on how to provide mobile satellite services has been very active in recent years $[8-1]$. It is advantageous to integrate satellite **and** terrestrial mobile systems if a **real** global coverage is desired **[12].** In Table 1.1 **[13],** some operational and proposed mobile satellite systems with voice and data support are listed. The orbit types. operations schedule, services, and access methods are given in the table.

Although wide-band, single-carrier TDMA (Time Division Multiple Access) uses satellite capacity efficiently and is cost effective for earth stations with large traffic volumes, it is not so for earth stations with small trafic volumes. This is because TDMA requires a high peak-to-average energy ratio from the earth station transmitters, which reduces their efficiency. As noted in the last paragraph, since large volume, high speed data will be handled more **by** optical fiber networks **than** by satellite networks, it is reasonable to predict that satellite networks will be used more for the purpose of linking mobile users or

System	Orbit	Services	Multiple Access	Operations Schedule
MSAT	GEO	Voice, Data, FAX, Paging	FDMA/TDMA	In service
INMARSAT-M	GEO	Voice, Data, FAX	TDMA	In service
GLOBALSTAR	LEO	Voice, Data, FAX, Paging	CDMA	1998
IRIDIUM	LEO	Voice, Data, FAX, Paging	FDMA/TDMA	1998
ODYSSEY	MEO	Voice, Data, FAX, Paging	CDMA	1998

Table 1.1: Characteristics of Mobile Satellite Systems

remote users **than** for **PSTN** services. Because of the limitation of radio wave bandwidth, the raw data rate of wireless equipment, in general, will not **be** extremely high. Therefore, the narrow-band, multicarrier **FDMA** (Frequency Division Multiple Access) technique can satisfy the demand for digital transmission in earth stations with small traffic volumes because it reduces HPA **(High** Power Amplifier) power and antenna size so **as** to enable direct access to a satellite frorn user terminals. This is why **VSATs** (very small aperture terminais) use FDMA rather than TDMA in most application [14]. Though the cost of the satellite repeater is amortized over a large number of users, higher efficiency is attained by driving the transponder in the non-linear region and this operation can further reduce users' cost. Therefore, a TDM downlink is preferred. A new satellite system which **can** take advantages of both multiple access techniques is desired, and the fact that the combination of the two multiple access techniques improves total system performance, not only the power efficiency, is illustrated in [15,161.

To make it possible to combine these two techniques, on-board signal processing is required. The on-board processor cm perform a number of processing **tasks** such as the decoding of uplink signals for uplink noise cancellation, demodulation to baseband of uplink signals to allow storage and routing, switching of signals between multiple spot beam zones, and digital bearn fonning **[S,** 171. In a conventionai satellite system, which works in a bent-pipe mode as shown in Fig. 1.1, received signals are amplified on the satellite and re-transmitted on the satellite downlink. The satellite is just an amplifier in the sky. The fixed terminals and network control center are connected to the **PSTN** (not shown in the figure) if necessary. In such systems the uplink and downlink modulation formats are the same and the noise on the uplink is also transmitted on the downlink. To maintain the downlink **Sm,** a high quality amplifier is required on-board the satellite.

Future satellites will have the capability of on-board processing [15]. In a processing satellite, the received uplink signals are demodulated on-board the satellite and then remodulated for downlink transmission. **The** structure of **such** a satellite system is shown

Figure 1.1 : Bent-pipe Satellite System

in Fig. **1.2.** Though the system configuration looks similar to the system in Fig. 1.1, the satellite is regenerative this time, and therefore, some restrictions **may** apply to the terminal access **to** the satellite **even when** a **FDMA** uplink is used. The situation depicted in **Fig.** 1.2 represents srnall earth terminais accessing the satellite **with** a FDMA uplink signal format while assuming a regenerative satellite is used in the system. The downlink signal format is **TDM.** Synchronized uplink signais are desired to reduce payload complexity. This FDMA uplink and **TDM** downlink configuration is exactly one of **the** regenerative satellite **sys**tems discussed earlier. In such a configuration. the satellite demodulates the uplink signals to baseband and then remodulates these baseband signals into **TDM** format for downlink transmission. A lot of work **has been** devoted to this topic **[la-201.** Processing satellites can improve the overall system performance because the uplink and the downlink are isolated by **the** satellite and thus, the uplink and downlink can be optimized separately. In addition. the regenentive system is more flexible **than** the bent-pipe system. For instance, on **board** routing of signais **can be** realized in a regenerative satellite architecture.

An FDMA uplink is desirable because of its simplicity. Each terminal illustrated in

Figure 1.2: Structure of the Processing Satellite System

Fig. 1.2 operates with its unique carrier frequency. Frequencies would be assigned by a control center on a demand basis. The demand assignment will increase the efficiency of using the scarce frequency spectrum when the system is based on frequency division multiplexing. Since each terminal has a unique frequency, the uplink terminal symbol rate can be quite low, thus leading to a low-complexity terminai.

A typical configuration of this **kind** of processing satellite system is given in **[2 11.** The system has 24 **PCM** channels in both **Links** with transmission rate of 64 Kbps for each PCM channel. It uses FDMA on **the** uplink and TDM on the downlink operating at an aggregate data rate of 1.544 **Mbps.** The ground terminais **cm** be fixed or transportable.

To further increase the system capacity, time-domain multiplexing can be done for each channel in the FDMA frequency assignment. One just assigns a fixed number of time-slots per FDMA carrier signal. When users at **the same** frequency are time division multiplexed in such a manner, the uplink is said to have a **FDMAîTDMA** access. The link data rate is kept at, for example 64 Kbps per **FDMA** channel, however, the per-user, TDMA rate is lower, as will **be** shown below. New source coding techniques are **known** to reduce the

bit rate required to represent source information with minimum distortion. For instance if an 8 Kbps speech coder is used, for example using adaptive predictive coders **[22],** 8 such voice channels per FDMA carrier could **be** time-rnultiplexed. Similar techniques have been used in the digital cellular system for North Arnerica where three users [23], and with more sophisticated **CODECs,** up to six, **are** time multiplexed on each cellular system canier frequency [24]. One should note that if say 8 users at 8 Kbps are time multiplexed onto a single 64 Kbps FDMA channel, each user must transmit data in their time slot at 64 Kbps. The net rate per user is $64/8 = 8$ Kbps as a particular user is assigned one time slot out of eight time slots which constitutes one FDMA carrier frarne.

The satellite downlink for the hybrid **FDMA/TDMA** uplink will still **be** operated in **TDM** mode. This link is power limited. The single carrier **TDM** downlink ailows a satellite high power amplifier **(TWTA** - the travelling wave **tube** amplifier) to operate at peak power while avoiding intermodulation distortion. The drawback of using **TDM** in the satellite downlink with low per user data rate is that the earth terminal receivers must be able to operate at a transmission rate of, for a **24** FDMA uplink channels, 1.544 Mbps which is 24 times higher **than** the uplink transmission rate of 64 Kbps.

This thesis develops and analyzes a global synchronization procedure for the hybrid **FDMAlTDMA** uplink, TDM downlink processing satellite system **[25].** The synchronization will reduce the payload complexity because a simple Fourier transform **can** be directly performed by SAW devices [26] and this device forms the core of the multicarrier demodulator on-board the satellite. The performance of such a procedure will be investigated by studying the performance of both links in terms of bit error rate. Master-slave synchronization techniques, with the satellite **as** master and the temiinal **as** slave, will **be** used to provide a global synchronization system. The time synchronization of a terminal to a processing satellite that uses the SAW devices as a rnulticamier demodulator is the fundamental problem considered in this thesis.

1.2 Processing Satellite System

Though the theory presented in this thesis **may be** applied to not only satellite systems, a processing satellite communication system is used as a test bed. As mentioned in **the** last section, the uplink rate is 64 Kbps for each channel. If **TDMA** is used for the uplink, each terminal would be required to send each symbol with a much shorter duration in order to multiplex all users using a single carrier with a multiplexed data rate of 1.544 Mbps while if FDMA is used, only 64 Kbps per FDMA carrier is required which greatly reduces the complexity of the ground terminal and consequently reduces the cost of the system.

The satellite in this system is in geosynchronous orbit. Though media attention **has** focused on those well-known proposed big **LE0** and **ME0** systems such as Iridium, Globalstar, and Odyssey, the only cornrnercially available satellite systems providing mobile services are **GE0** systems **1271.** For exarnple, **TMI** Communications, a Canadian corn**pany, is providing both voice and data services through MSAT launched in 1995. There** are also several newly announced GEO systems [27] to compete with terrestrial cellular and **PCN.** Therefore, the GE0 satellite is a very suitable choice for the test system. The techniques presented in the thesis for system synchronization could be applied to an **LE0** or **ME0** system. However, some of the system parameters would have to change to cover this situation.

As mentioned in **[28],** it is unlikely that a single wireless network will provide dl services that will evolve. The processing satellite system discussed here is designed to provide thin route services at a relatively low date rate. The system is circuit switch oriented with voice communication as the main service. It **is** desirable that the ground terminais depend as little as possible on other systems while they are still simple and reliable. The access to the satellite from a ground temiinal **is** FDMA. The **downlink** from satellite to terminal is **TDM.**

The system **can** be divided into ground terminal part and satellite part. To focus the

work on the satellite-terminal synchronization issue, well-proven techniques are integrated into the related subsystems as rnuch as possible. **As an** example, the timing recovery system in the ground terminal uses the algorithm developed by Gardner **[29]** as a timing error detector.

The center of this processing satellite system is the on-board processor, which demodulate multiple FDMA uplink signals in a block fashion. There are two basic approaches **io** realize an on-board, multicarrier demodulator. One is the transmultiplexer approach **1301** and the other is the chirp transform approach **[20].** The traditional channelized, on-board, demodulation of **FDMA** signals is not considered as an appropriate approach because it will require a large and expensive satellite pay-load for a large number of uplink channels **[ZO].**

The transmultiplexer approach to on-board demultiplexing is adapted from the idea of the **FDM-TDM** transmultiplexer used in terrestriai transmission facilities **[3** 11 and is depicted in Fig. 1.3. Digital to analog conversion is needed to convert the digital output from Fig. **1.3** to a waveform. The transmultiplexer for on-board processing uses a polyphase network combined with a FFT to perform frequency to time sequence conversion. The chirp transform approach gets a time sequence from a multi-frequency signal by performing the frequency chirp transform on the signal **[32].** A SAW based Chirp Fourier Transform **(CFT)** processor **[33]** on-board the satellite is assumed in this thesis. The SAW processor realizes the chirp transfonn and consequently gives the time sequence we desire for **TDM** downlink transmission. The advantages of a SAW device are its srnail size, ruggedness to solar radiation, stable charactenstics over a wide temperature range and **high** reliability *[26].* The SAW processor can map a group of frequency signals occupying difterent bandwidths *(i.e.* a FDM signal) to a time senes as illustrated in Fig. **1.4.** In Fig. **1.4,** the input signal is frequency multiplexed, therefore signals are vertically (as shown in the figure) stacked in the time domain. After processing by chirp Fourier transform, signals with different frequencies are dispened and compressed in time. **A** time domain sampling converts the dispersed frequency multiplexed signals to time division multiplexed signals which is perfect for operation of the **TDM** downlink. The total bandwidth and time occupied by these signals are the sarne in the uplink and the downlink. **QPSK** modulation is assumed for the system. The theory of the operations depicted in Fig. 1.4 are quite involved and are given in [34]. Some material on the use of SAW processor theory that is pertinent to this thesis is given in section 3.3.

If the total bandwidth of all k FDMA uplink signals is B , with symbol period T , each uplink channel has bandwidth *B/k.* Then the converted TDM signal will have a frame length T and each time slot has bandwidth *B* and time duration T/k . A necessary condition of operation of the simple **CFT** is that the input signals be synchronized at the satellite processor input on a symbol length basis **[35],** though there are articles discussing the unsynchronized MCD **[36].** This synchronous requirement allows the SAW processor onboard the satellite to provide matched filtering for al1 input signals at one time **[37].**

Figure 1.3: Fundamental elements of a Transmultiplexer

Synchronized Clocks 1.3

The system timing and carrier synchronization requirement that will **be** used in the thesis can be specified by referring to **Fig.** 1.5, which shows the clock flow of the system illus trated in Fig. 1.2. The earth terminals, either fixed or transportable, send data symbols that are synchronized to the satellite signai processing dock by requirement. Each symbol **must be** within one signal processing window of the satellite processor in order to allow the processor to properly perform the CFT on all signals. In the test system, the satellite uplink demodulator works at 64 Kbps. The satellite dock is ernbedded in the downlink

Figure 1.4: CFT **processor maps frequency signals to** tirne **sequence**

transmission system. That is, the terminal clock frequency is derived from the 1.544 Mbps downlink signal fiom the master satellite clock by the *Clock Frq Estimate* as shown in Fig. 1.5. It is **then** reduced in frequency to the one suitable for a transmission data rate of 64 Kbps and altered in phase based on a delay estimation from the **Delay** *Estimate* block for the transmission path to the satellite. The *ERROR MOMTOR per* TERMINAL block in Fig. 1.5 will be the key block studied in this thesis. This block provides the ground terminals with the delay estimation information which will **be** used to cornpensate for the uplink propagation delay. Here the delay estimate means to estimate a modulo-T delay throughout the thesis, the propagation delay estimate means modulo- T delay estimate. This process represents a master-slave global synchronization scheme with the satellite as the master and the terminal as the slave. Finally, to demodulate data both on the ground and in the satellite, carrier recovery must take place in both locations if coherent detection is required. The on-board demodulator needs to store carrier phase information for individual channels since ail uplink channels are not carrier phase synchronized. A digital phase locked loop will be suitable for this purpose.

The whole system is a master-slave synchronization system with the satellite operating as master and the ground terminais as slaves. The goal of the thesis is to develop **and** test such a master-slave synchronization system for a processing satellite application. The key issue here is how to synchronize the forward path, that is the uplink, of each terminal in such a system. A large time-delay between satellite and terminal is the major obstacle to be overcome in system design. In summary, this thesis will address the fundamental problem of synchronizing a terminal to **the** satellite clock without a separate clock signal being broadcast by the satellite.

Figure 1.5: Signals of the Processing Satellite System

1.4 Literature Review

Some papers and books related to **the** topics of synchronization methods, network **syn**chronization - including propagation delay estimation, satellite multiple access techniques, on-board satellite signal processing and digital signal processing using SAW devices are reviewed in this section. These topics **are** closely related to the study of a regenerative processing digital satellite communication system. The literature review here provides a complete background knowledge for such a system.

It is anticipated in **1151** that future communication satellites will have a powerful processing capability. One possible type of on-board processing is when the satellite perfoms signal regeneration as mentioned **by** Loo, et al in *[20].* **A** regenerative satellite demod**ulates the** uplink **signals** and re-formats **them** for downlink transmission. The FDMA uplink, **TDM** downlink configuration **studied** in **[20] has** a satellite uplink which uses a single carrier **per** channel (SCPC) FDMA to take the advantage of **the** high efficiency, in the non-Iinear region, of a high power amplifier.

Most new communication systems **take** advantage of digital technology which requires

information be encoded to digital bits prior to transmission. Digital communication theories can be found in **[38].** A digitized network is more versatile than an **analog** network, where data compression **can be** used for efficiently utilizing the wireless channel. Sundberg et *al* in **[23]** described the dual-mode TDMA cellular system for the North Arnerican cellular system. This system can expand the capacity of the current analog system by at least three fold. The hybrid **FDMA/TDMA** system studied in this thesis employs a similar idea to reduce the per user bandwidth to increase the total system capacity while using the sarne total system bandwidth.

In digital communication networks, synchronization is essentid. At the receiver, to coherently demodulate a modulated digital signal, a local reference carrier is required. This reference carrier must have nearly the same frequency and phase as the modulated carrier. The process of producing this reference camier is called carrier synchronization. Digital receivers also require a clock synchronized to the received bit strearn to control the integrate-and-dump detection filtes or to control the timing of the output bit strearn **[39].** This is the clock synchronization problem for the receiver. In **[40], the** problern of carrier phase estimation and symbol timing estimation for carrier-type synchronous digital signal is examined. A number of carrier and bit synchronization schemes can be found in **1401.** Mathematical treatment of general estimation theory including carrier and clock synchronization can be found in [41] and [42]. In **[43]** a low cost technique of frequency reference distribution within a **VSAT** network is illustrated. The idea in that paper is to use hub oscillator as a master frequency as we used for the regenerative satellite system. A testing setup in a laboratory environment is given. **The** paper shows that a very good quality transmit signal at Ku-band *cm* be regenerated from the data clock from a distant hub. Plenty of papers addressing the timing and carrier recovery issues can be found in [44–48]. A paper that compares most non-data-aided symbol timing recovery techniques for digital satellite communications **cm be** found in [Il].

To synchronize remotely located clocks, as the case of a regenerative satellite corn-

munication system, the propagation delay should be known either through **an** external method or using the information from **the** received signals. In **[49]** a model motivated by the maximum-likelihood (ML) estimation is suggested. In the paper the propagation delay estimation is achieved through a pseudo-noise *(PN)* code phase modulated onto the carrier. The model is valid for a Gaussian noise environment. It is primarily concerned with satellite communication channels. The mathematical model discussed in [49] is used as a reference in this thesis. A delay locked loop (DLL) can be used to provide the estimation of time delay between two nodes in a comrnunication network, which is shown in **[SOI.** Although, the goal is not to derive a phase detector or a digital receiver using the maximum-likelihood principle, **MLE** is used for the timing error estimate in this thesis. This timing error estimate is really the estimation of the uplink propagation delay. The papers, **[47,48,5** l, **521,** show how ML principle can be used to approach a specific problem. More papers **can** be found in **153,541.** This thesis follows the guidelines provided by **these** papers.

A combined receiver sample timing and frequency offset estimation algorithm discussed in **[55]** is developed for **VLSI** implementation. The algorithm is suitable for a **QPSK** or ASK receiver. This paper gives an exarnple of the power of digital signal processing. This technique depends on received symbols **and** perfoms best if the received symbols are random.

In addition to the carrier and clock synchronization at each receiver, the network synchronization pmblem of distributing time and frequency among **many** remote locations, should be studied as well. In **[56],** a complete treatment to the network synchronization problem is given. The paper begins by providing a classification of networks. Since the time and frequency waveform transmitted between nodes suffers propagation delay, some fom of ranging system is discussed in order to compensate for these delays. A mathematicai model of a synchronization network is also presented.

In a satellite communication system, multiple access means the shared use of a satellite
transponder. There are only three basic fom of multiple access from the channel-oriented view. They are frequency-division multiple access (FDMA), time-division multiple access (TDMA) and code-division multiple access (CDMA). In FDMA users occupy distinct frequency bands in the same transponder passband. In TDMA the transponder is shared by non-time overlapping signals from many earth stations. In CDMA, signals from **many** stations occupy the same frequency band at the same time, but are distinguished by their detailed phase structure. Comparison among these multiple access methods is given in **[3,57-591.** The **main** advantage of FDMA is its simplicity. **With** digital signals, TDMA and CDMA allow more sharing of hardware of the system. TDMA is better used for high speed systems. CDMA is more robust to interferences. For very high speed digital systems, spectrum spreading may not **be** practical due to the limit of the hardware bandwidth. Some studies on the multiple access for the third generation cellular mobile radio systems are reported in **[60].** The trend indicated in the paper is the use of combinations FDMA, TDMA, and CDMA in various ways.

The system studied in this thesis uses **TDM** to serve higher satellite downlink data rate. FDMA is used for the uplink. Since in TDMA. the satellite transponder is shared by multiple signals in different time slots, the requirement for synchronization is clear. In *[6* **11,** several synchronization methods for TDMA are discussed. The synchronization methods discussed in *[6* **11 can** be classified as frarne synchronization methods since TDMA needs a special synchronization for its **Frames.** The strict requirement of synchronization for a TDMA system provides a solid ground for the processing satellite system mentioned in last section because in this system the uplink rate is far more slower **than** that of a high speed **TDMA** system. Thus the **timing** requirement is relativeiy less demanding.

A generalized frarne synchronizer is discussed by Robertson in **1621. The** frame synchronizer perfonns maximisation of a likelihood function then gives several alternative frame starting positions. This method effectively improves the synchronization performance. This paper is introduced here because in the present study, the downlink signal will provide a master clock. An improved synchronization technique will provide a more stable and accurate clock for uplink timing.

A software based TDMA station will enable users to implement very complex frame structures 1633. A TDMA station **has** been developed for use in a number of advanced communications experiments in **[63].** The system has the features of flexibility, adaptability and expandability. This paper will help us in designing Our downlink **TDM** frarne in the **^s**tudy.

The **FDMA** and TDM configuration network is a kind of digital network with FDMA on the uplink and TDM on the downlink. In general, synchronization is required in such a system. Ananasso in [64] indicates that symbol synchronization at the input of an on board processor can greatly simplify the processor structure and reduce its implementation complexity. In this paper, a detailed **FDMA/TDM** on-board processing payload is given. The impact of possible carrier/clock synchronization strategies on both user terminal and on-board MCD complexity are shown. This paper **confirrns** the value of studying synchronization in the regenerative satellite system. Our master-slave mode1 stems from this paper.

Ananasso et al in [65] studied the clock synchronous multicarrier demodulator for multi-frequency TDMA communications satellites in [65]. Though the work is initiated for the large volume high speed system using multi-frequency TDMA. it provides a good look at the on-board processing satellite system. **The** steady-state clock synchronization and carrier recovery are studied in [65]. A closed-loop master-slave strategy is used for clock synchronization and the carrier phase is recovered through frame-to-frame coherence.

In **[16],** two prornising methods of realizing **FDMA/TDM** satellite communication system are given. They are transmultiplexer and SAW based **CFI.** The transmultiplexer method **was** studied in **[20,30]** and [161. The SAW group demodulator **was** studied by Traynor in **[66].** The **mathematicai analysis** of a SAW based group demodulator cm be

found in **[67,68].** In these papas, **Loo** gives the analyticai and numerical results of MCM **(multiply-convolve-multiply)** and **CMC (convolve-rnultiply-convolve)** SAW CFï smc**tures.** He shows that MCM and **CMC** are not exact equivdents of each other as previously claimed in other articles. With the idea of on-board processors in mind, the proper **syn**chronization procedure will be considered according to the specific type of processor. In this thesis, the SAW based processor is considered. In the following papers, one **can** find more on the SAW operation principle, its applications and advantages in space applications.

Jack, et al **[34]** address the theory, design and applications of SAW Fourier transform processors. The application of individual SAW-based processors to spectrum analysis, network analysis, beamforming, and frequency-hopped waveform synthesis is discussed and demonstrated. It is a very good tutorial paper for systems based on SAW devices.

The application of SAW devices in space communications is treated in **[69].** The paper aims at presenting the most recent applications of SAW devices to RF signal processing in satellite communication systems. Ananasso **[69]** first explained the principies of SAW operation. Then he described some possible signal processing applications **such** as delay lines, bandpass filters, multicarrier demodulators and matched filter receivers in this paper. More SAW applications to on-board signai processing can be found in **[70].** In **[70],** an onboard multicarrier demodulator for a 9.6 Kbps **QPSK** carrier and a processor for filtering, routing and beam setting for flexible transparent repeaters are described.

The advantages of a SAW device are its small size, ruggedness to solar radiation and stable characteristics over temperature and reliability. These properties are described in **[26].** The SAW on-board processor **was** studied by Shaw et al in **[33].** This processor is to be used in a FDMA/TDM configured processing satellite system. A necessary condition of operation for this type of processor is that the input signals are synchronized at the satellite input. The symbol timing problem has been studied in **[35,7 11.** DSP techniques mentioned in **[35]** can **be** found in **[72].**

The symbol timing problem **was** studied by Simon in **[73].** The steady-state phase

noise performance of an absolute type of early-late gate bit synchronizer is developed. Gardner studied the bit synchronizer and gave a more efficient algorithm for detection of timing error of **BPSK** or **QPSK** data **Stream** in **[29].** The algorithm presented in **[29]** uses mid-pulse to mid-pulse integral to detect timing error and uses a data integral to **find** data transition directions. The data integrai can also **be** used for data detection. This helps to reduce the complexity since only two samples are needed in the whole algorithm for detecting one symbol. Koblents studies Gardner's timing error detection algorithm applied to various modulation schemes in **[74].** He concluded that this algorithm is best when used for bandlimited signals with excess bandwidth factors ranging from 100% to 60%. This thesis considers the recovery of the downlink **TDM** clock from the downlink **TDM** signal by using Gardner's zero crossing timing error detector. **Koblents'** resuits are used to set the downlink modem signal pulse shape in this thesis. While Gardner presents his algorithm more as **an** analog approach, [75] shows a joint optimization of the synchronizer with respect to both pattern **and** Gaussian noise in the context of a full-digital modem. The authors of **[75]** daim that the optimized prefilter improves the performance in terrns of steady-state clock jitter, even with remarkably simple FIR prefilters with a small number of taps.

In **1761,** Payzin evaluated a bit synchronizer intended for operation with NRZ coded binary signals by using a finite state Markov chain model. Because of the discrete nature of the digital control signals, this model is very **usefui** for the analysis of a digital control signal for the controlled clock or controlled oscillator. The performance of the synchronizer as a data detector is given in this paper. Payzin's method of analysis based on a Markov chain model **has** been used in this thesis to **analyze** the performance of our global synchronization system. Performance analysis through digital computer simulation is also performed and agreement with analysis is demonstrated in this paper [76].

Further mathematical tools related to the **study** of probability **can** be found in [77,78]. Related **papers** with computer simulations **can** be found in **[79].** According to the authors, simulation **is** a usefui tool for the design and anaiysis of communication links. To develop a simulation, there are several steps one should follow. The **first** step is to develop a model of the system under study. Second, identify the signal processing operation using a mathematical model and then define the simulation products, *i-e.* the set of outputs required from the simulation. Finally, one should select a proper tool to implement the simulation. This tool cm either be a software package or a general purpose computer Ianguage **and** depends on **the** task to **be** perfomed. More computer simulation related materiai **can be** found in **[80,8 11.** The simulation results are evduated using confidence interval with criteria from **[82].**

1.5 Simulation Approach

The performance of one systern should **be** exarnined by some **means.** One possible test is simulation **[44].** Computer simulation provides **dynarnic** performance determination which is not readily obtained by analysis. For instance, when the effects of severe band limiting, rnultipath, and non-linearity must be considered in a communications system, computer simulation can be a very valuable tool [79].

Though subsystems such as the **PLL** and the timing recovery subsystem cm be studied through analysis **[83],** it is more suitable to use computer simulation to **find** the interactions and the behaviour of those sub-systems when they are integrated into one complete cornmunication system as suggested in [79]. The purpose of the computer simulation is not only to confirm **the** theoretical results **from** the analysis, but **also** to provide results which are not available from the analysis.

In this thesis, Monte-Carlo simulation is used to obtain al1 acquisition probabilities and BER performance results. To avoid repeating the **same** results in **the** sequel the general accuracy of the Monte-Carlo results is presented in this section. The confidence inter**vals** of these simulation results are given as plus or **minus** a percentage of the mean of

the results with a preset confidence probability. The relationship of the **number** of simulations required, the confidence intervais and the confidence probabilities can be found in **the** Appendix of **[82].** In this thesis, the simulations of the probability of acquisition usea a confidence probability of 95% for an acquisition probability of 98% with a confidence interval of \pm 5% of the mean. These conditions represet a requirement of simulation length longer than 3000 and in the simulation, 5000 runs are performed to get the statistics of the probability of acquisition. For the **BER** simulations, with 95% certainty, al1 simulated BERs are within 20% to 30% of the mean value. For a BER of 1×10^{-5} with 95% certainty, to get BER simulation results confined to 25% of the mean value, a length of 130×10^5 **was** used.

The system simulation uses 16 samples per symbol to discretize the timing errors and as such the corrected symbol timing error resolution is *T/* 16. For instance, successful acquisition is declared when this timing error is attained. More implementation details cm be found in section 6.3 of Chapter 6. Complete individual subsystem test results are given in that section as weI1.

1.6 Goal of Thesis

In order to present the goal of this thesis the reader is referred to Fig. 1.2. The transportable terminal would access the processing satellite through a **FDMA** uplink. The **goal** of **the** thesis is to develop a closed-loop control system for the terminal to acquire access to the satellite. The satellite processes data from a number of users on every uplink modulation symbol **period.** The terminal **must** synchronize its transmission with the symbol integration time interval for the MCD in the processing satellite. This time interval is the duration, T , of one modulation symbol.

A related goal is to have a reliable acquisition system of low complexity. As such, the symbol timing frequency used on-board the satellite is estimated fiom the downlink

signal, which avoids using a satellite beacon signal which wastes precious satellite energy. This downlink is **TDM** and **has** N times the symbol rate of the uplink terminal symbol rate for each of **N** ground terminals which represents the system capacity. **A** thin route system involving $N = 24$ terminals was studied in i [21]. It is further assumed that all users are in the same antenna beam from the satellite and, as such, a single terminal can receive all N **TDM** downlink slots. Hence there is much downlink information with which to estimate the symbol clock frequency on-board the satellite. **As** such, a master-slave procedure is used with the sateIIite as the master and the terminai as the slave, The second issue is to estimate the uplink propagation delay modulo the symbol period. This is done by sending a probing sequence from the transportable terminal during the acquisition period. Minimal length of the probing sequence is desired in order to minimize the acquisition period for a prescribed probability of acquisition of, for exarnple, 98%. This issue is the **main** focus of the thesis. The probing signal is a known data signal to the accessing terminal and if it is not aligned with the satellite processing **time** window, the satellite detection performance will be poor. **A** measure of this performance is sent on the **TDM** downlink, in quantized form, to give an error measurement signal for correcting the next attempt at acquisition. This closed-loop system is the main study in the thesis.

An AWGN channel is considered on the up- and down-links of the system. As such, over the acquisition period, the satellite and the terminal are assumed stationary. The acquisition period is usually only about 200 symbols sent as Say, 64Kbps, and **as** such, it takes only 3.125 msec for an acquisition. This time is added to the basic up- and down-link propagation delay of 250 msec to get the overall delay of 253 msec. **The** satellite and the terminal are assumed stationary over this time period.

Multipath fading or shadowing are not considered because that would be a subject for the mobile terminal case. Furthemore, uplink timing estimation through **the** data payload portion of the uplink frame via a tracking algorithm is not considered. Only the acquisition portion of the the uplink frame is considered in this thesis with the probability of acquisition

as the performance mesure.

1.7 Contributions of the Thesis

The main contributions of the thesis are:

- 1. Development of a timing acquisition procedure for the satellite-terminal that is based completely on master-slave synchronization concepts using measurements on a **high** rate TDM downlink signal. For instance, tables of expected orbit data are **not** used. Al1 **timing** corrections are made in the terminal and the global synchronization does not require a separate beacon signal to get satellite dock information. **The** newly developed timing recovery aigorithm reduces **the** ground terminal complexity.
- 2. The frequency of the master satellite timing clock is estirnated through timing recov**ery** of the fast downlink TDM signal. This establishes the uplink symbol rate per FDMA channel.
- 3. The timing phase of the uplink symbol clock is estimated via time-delay estimation on the satellite-terminal signai **path.** A channel probing technique is presented for this purpose and is the major result in the thesis.
- **4.** For the channel probing scheme, the phase of the uplink FDMA symbol dock is estimated using the Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) criterion and is based on the measured amplitude outputs of the MCD on-board the satellite. The theory of SAW based MCD's is used to derive the timing error correction algorithm to **be** used in the terminal for the system synchronization technique. The impact of quantization on this estimate is examined as the estimate must **be** sent to the terminal via **the TDM** satellite downlink.
- 5. A complete system simulation for the global synchronization of a terminal to a processing satellite has been designed and tested. The simulation involves system simu-Iation for
	- (a) downlink timing recovery,
	- (b) downlink carrier recovery,
	- (c) uplink modulation, delay estimate, and compensation.
	- (d) satellite MCD detection and carrier recovery, and
	- (e) downlink modulation and quantization,

and as such a complete closed loop system is represented to evaluate performance of the algorithm studied in this thesis.

1.8 Presentation Outline

The first chapter provides general ideas on using a regenerative satellite to improve system performance, the advantage of using computer simulation to study a complex communication system **and** a review of the related literature.

The next two chapters provide background information on a regenerative communications satellite. The basic configuration of the system is given in these chapters. The system design philosophy is aiso explained. Chapter 2 describes the essential parts of a ground terminal. Chapter 3 shows the satellite's communications payload with some detailed material of the on-board processor. A synchronization scheme denved **from** this processor is going to be studied in this thesis.

In Chapter 4, the problem of an uplink timing estimate is identified and the transmitter timing recovery (T_XTR) is illustrated. Useful mathematical tools are included in this chapter. A complete theoretical treatment of this **timing** estimate **is** presented. **A** timing offset estimation algorithm based on the estimation of uplink sample BER using maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) is analyzed and simulated.

In Chapter 5, an estimation approach to obtain the uplink propagation delay estimate is presented. The performance of the algorithm is examined under different conditions and **compared** with a **BER** based method presented in Chapter 4. This method is considered the more prornising estimation scheme because of its better performance and is chosen for **hrther** tests in the complete communication system simulation.

Chapter 6 presents a complete system simulation. It includes the simulation mode1 design, test and verification. Verification **is** done by **comparing** the simulation results of the individual functions with their theoretical counterparts. The computer simulation of a specific system with **QPSK** on both uplink and downlink with the uplink delay estimation algorithm embedded is perfomed. **The** simulated system **has** 24 **FDMA** uplink channels with 64 Kbps for each channel and **TDM** downiink of 1.544 Mbps.

Chapter 7 summarizes results from previous chapters, States the conclusions for the **study** and gives suggestions for future work.

Appendix A shows the possibiiity of using the synchronization method presented in the thesis to a hybrid FDMA/TDMA uplink, TDM downlink processing satellite system.

Chapter 2

Ground Terminais

In this chapter, the **ground** terminal of **the** proposed processing satellite system is studied as part of the complete satellite system. A brief review on each of its subsystems is given.

2.1 Role of the Ground Terminal

The satellite system synchronization to **be** studied is **based** on a master-slave structure shown in Fig. 2.1. In the figure, the satellite dock is designated as the master dock of the system. **The** ground terminal **is** the slave in this system. The ground terminal tries to synchronize its clock to the downlink clock by comparing a delayed local copy to the received one. If the system is used purely for the purpose of one way synchronization, as illustrated in **[56],** where the master dock is distributed to a number of slave nodes, the simple master-slave structure will suffice. When reverse transmission is used as well, as in any two-way communication system, the master must receive a signal from the slave. The synchronization problem emerges if the alignment between the input clock from the slave and the clock waveforms of the master are required, as would be the case in any two-way communication system, **with** a reasonable delay between transmitter and receiver. This is the case for the regenerative satellite system to **be** studied. The ground terminais used in

Figure 2.1: Master-slave Synchronization Mechanism

the system are controlled by the satellite, which is designated as a master in the processing satellite system, in terms of carrier and clock recovery. The ground teminals retrieve carrier frequency and clock frequency references from the downlink data **bearing** signals **[43].** Though it is designated as a slave in the whole system, the ground terminal provides necessary adjustment for the clock phase for the satellite uplink in **order** that the uplink information symbols arrive in the processing period of the multi-carrïer demodulator on**board the satellite.** This mechanism provides transmitter timing recovery (T_XTR) . This capability is provided through the communication between satellite and ground terminals before the system starts a normal full duplex communication **process.**

It is worthwhile to indicate that the synchronization of the uplink is not achieved through pulling **the** satellite **dock** phase to the **ground** terminal's **dock** phase. That is, the network

is not mutually synchronized. The satellite clock dictates **al1** system clocks as it is regarded as the master in the master-slave system. The uplink dock must be synchronized, in both frequency and phase, to the master clock when the uplink signal leaves ground terminal's transmitter.

The carrier frequency is synchronized by referring the uplink carrier to the recovered downlink carrier. This synchronization guarantees the proper mapping of the **FDMA** signal to the proper time slot when the on-board processor translates the uplink FDMA signals to the **T'DM** downlink signals. **The** phase synchronization is performed on-board the satellite using the on-board PLL shown later in Fig. 6.16.

2.2 Terminal Configurations

There are various configurations which have the characteristics of the ground terminal as mentioned in the last section. Depending on the resources a terminal uses, the terminal **cm** be configured to use side information for the purpose of uplink synchronization. or the terminal and satellite are placed in a closed loop as suggested by **[64].** It is also possible to use some complicated signal processing techniques **[36]** to get rid of the restrictions on the data rates and the synchronization requirement. Since the unsynchronized payload is not the main interest of this project, this approach will not be discussed any further.

The interest of this study is in finding a simple and efficient master/slave synchronization scheme which requires the minimum resources from side information to provide system **path** information for the purpose of synchronization. The scheme used in this thesis is based on an master-slave architecture with some synchronization information exchanges.

One of the basic functions of the ground terminal is to retrieve information from the satellite downlink. This task is implernented **by** a digital receiver with carrier phase and symbol timing recovery, as depicted in Fig. 2.2. The downlink receiver uses a discrete decision-directed phase locked loop **(DD-DPLL)** for the purpose of carrier recovery. A

discrete phase sampling dock controlled by the timing recovery loop constitutes of a timing error detector described by Gardner in [29] and some related functions. The timing error detector takes two samples from the receiver's matched filter output for each symbol and one of the two sarnples can aiso be used for signal detection. This type of **timing** error detector has been studied experirnentally by Koblents in **[74]** for mobile satellite, voicerate modems. The discrete type **PLL has** been investigated by Hung in **[30].** This part of the terminal is illustrated in Fig. 2.2. The block called phase rotator is equivalent to a carrier frequency down-convert or with non-coherent phase between two signals. **The** carrier recovery is placed after timing recovery because Gardner's timing error detector is immune from the presence of carrier phase and **slowly** varying frequency offset.

Figure 2.2: Downlink Receiver

The carrier recovery circuit requires a signal decision as well since the phase detector is decision directed. The recovered carrier phase is applied to the incoming signal to reduce the phase fluctuation going into the **timing** recovery circuit. This arrangement improves the timing recovery performance. The carrier recovery circuit performs phase tracking per

symbol period. The recovered timing clock controls the sampling instant of the carier recovery circuit.

Since the carrier frequency and the clock are recovered by the downlink receiver for the coherent demodulation of the downlink signai, it is possible to use them as reference signais for the uplink transmitter. This is similar to a master-slave synchronization scheme. The advantage of such a configuration is that no satellite processing is required to obtain a reference signal. The reference signal comes from the downlink. The downlink signal is available to dl the ground terminals in the satellite antenna coverage **area.** If al1 the terminais are synchronized to the downlink, then **one** stable source used on-board the satellite provides a good reference to al1 the terminals.

The ground terminal uses **the** recovered carrier as the reference for the uplink carrier. This relationship between the downlink and uplink signals assures the carrier frequency accuracy in the uplink signai. The clock phase will **be** controlled in such a **way** that the signal arrives in the satellite demodulator with its symbol boundary aligned with the satellite demodulator clock. The adjustment of uplink clock phase requires **the** information of propagation delay between the satellite and the ground terminal. The method of obtaining the delay information will be discussed in detail in later chapters. Here just assume the information is available to the ground terminal. This part of the ground terminal is illustrated in Fig. 2.3. The uplink modulator uses normal QPSK modulation with a rectangular pulse shape. The transmitting clock phase is controlled by the propagation delay information. Ail the uplink signals are nearly time-aligned at the input of the satellite demodulator when synchronization **has** been achieved.

2.3 Ground Terminais **in the Satellite System**

The combination of the sub-systems of the ground terminal discussed above, plus the uplink transmitter, results in a block diagram of the complete ground terminal given in

Figure 2.3: The Control part of a Ground Terminal

Fig. 2.4. In the diagram, three separate sub-systems are interconnected and the synchronization control signals are fed from receiver to the uplink transrnitter.

This terminal functions as follows. When the terminal is in the standby mode, it monitors the satellite downlink. When **active,** the downlink carrier and dock are recovered and are available to **the** uplink transmitter **of** the ground terminal. When the terminal initiates a call, the recovered carrier **and clock** are used **as** references for the uplink path to the satellite. The transmitter **clock** phase is adjusted according to the estimate of the up**link** propagation delay that is estimated on-board satellite and transmitted to the ground **terrninal** via **TDM** downlink. **The** purpose of this adjusmient is **to** compensate for the propagation delay between the transmitter and the receiver. The delay compensation adjustment of the transmitter clock phase is within one symbol period T . One approach to implement this compensation is to let the satellite on-board processor examine an uplink probe signal and get a delay estimate from this signal. The delay compensation error is then transmitted to the terminal via the satellite **TDM** downlink. The ground terminal picks up this information, then **transrnits** another probing signal with the transmitter clock phase adjusted according to the information from the satellite to confinn the synchronization. If the correction is in the wrong direction as would be indicated by a larger timing error mea-

Figure 2.4: Block diagram of a Ground Terminal

surement. the process should be repeated one more time in the opposite direction to confirm that timing is properly aligned.

In this chapter, the ground terminal configuration and operation were introduced. The satellite portion of the system will be introduced next chapter.

Chapter 3

The Satellite

In this chapter, the satellite portion of the system is introduced to give readers a complete picture of the overall system under investigation.

3.1 Processing Satellite

Use of a processing satellite improves system performance **[64]** and use of on-board processing allows for independent optirnization of uplink and downlink parameters **[36].** The processing satellite under investigation in this project is for the low to medium volume of **trafic** with a relatively low data rate compared to a gigabits per second transport systems. A typical application would involve 24 uplink channels with FDMA access and each transmitted **PCM** signal at 64 kbps, and a Tl (1.544 Mbps) downlink operated in a **TDM** mode. These parameters are typical "thin route" system parameters in satellite communications.

This processing satellite takes FDMA signals on the uplink, converts **them** to a single high speed data Stream, then transmits the data on a **TDM** downlink. Traditional analog filter banks cannot **be** used for the on-board demultiplexing due to mass and volume constraints. Alternative solutions based on Fourier transform techniques have been developed for on-board **demultiplexing/demodulation 1161.** In such a FDMA uplink and **TDM** downlink configuration, the ground terminais can transmit signals at high power without the problem of intermodulation even when operating **its** high power amplifier in saturation **be**cause it is a single carrier system. On the satellite side, since the signal is transrnitted by **TDM** and each channel takes a time slot, the amplifier is in a single carrier mode and **can** operate in **the** non-linear region. Therefore, such a system **has** high efficiency in the **usage** of power amplifiers.

Satellite Configuration

The communication payload from a modulation perspective of the satellite consists of the down-converter, the on-board multicarrier demodulator, the downlink modulator, and upconverter. A block diagram showing the interconnection of these sub-systems is given in Fig. 3.1. **2** Satellite Configuration

the communication payload from a modulation perspective of the satellite consists of th

bown-converter, the on-board multicarrier demodulator, the downlink modulator, and up

ig. 3.1.

From gr

Figure 3.1: Satellite Communication Payload

The down–converter converts carrier frequency of the uplink signal to the intermediate frequency (IF) where the SAW based on-board processor is operating at. **The** selection of **the IF** depends on the material used for the SAW device substrates and varies in a wide range from 50 MHz to **400** *MHz* **[SI.** The SAW based processor that motived this study **has** a center frequency of 70 MHz [37]. The frequency relative to the center of the SAW processor bandwidth is reserved for this process. **That** is the down-converter simply shifts **the** signal spectrum to the **SAW's** operating frequency band.

The signal from the down-converter is fed to the on-board processor for demultiplexing

and demodulation. The SAW based **MCD** performs **the** Fourier transform on the input signal resulting in the spectrum of the signal mapped to the output of the processor **as** a function of time. That is, the spectrum in the frequency domain is represented by a time domain waveform. Because the spectrum of the input signals reveals the relative positions of different carrier frequencies of the uplink signals, the MCD output provides these input FDMA carriers as a time domain multiplexed signal. Thus, this device is ideal for a FDMA to **TDM** transformation which is required in the processing satellite system since the downlink is operated in **TDM** mode. Given a constant phase input at a given frequency, the output signai phase is constant at a specific time and this time is given as

$$
t_0 = \frac{\omega}{\mu} \tag{3.1}
$$

where ω is the frequency relative to the center frequency of the SAW processor and μ is the chirp rate of the on-board SAW filter. The value, **g,** is the sampling time when the output signai **has** a constant phase if the modulation is removed. This sampling instant corresponds to the peak output magnitude of this device when the uplink timing and frequency are properly synchronized. This constant phase, considered as an offset. can be tracked by a phase locked loop following the SAW processor and thus can be removed for coherent demodulation. The topic of phase tracking wiI1 be discussed in more detail in the later chapters. However, some results on this topic can be found in **1371.** A block diagram of the multicarrier demodulator is given in Fig. 3.2. The demodulation is performed by a decision directed digital **PLL** as shown later in Fig. 6.16 and it is taken from **[37]** with some minor modifications. The output of the MCD is either sent to the delay estimation block in the setup and **tracking** mode, or is sent to the **DD-DPLL** in the data mode as illustrated in Fig. 3.2. The estimated information is transmitted through the **TDM** downlink to the corresponding ground terminal as the **demultiplexedldemodulated** signals are sent to **al1** ground terminais through the downlink circuit. If error correction coding is used in the uplink, then the error correction process cm **be** perfonned on **the** bit Stream. The delay estimation process **and**

Figure 3.2: Block Diagram of Multicarrier Demodulator

the demodulation process using a **DPLL** are switched according to a pre-defined schedule. When the delay estimate is obtained **from** the demodulated signal. instead of using the output of the MCD directly, **as** will **be** discussed later in the next chapter using the **BER** as the timing error indicator, the delay estimate **block** should **be** placed after the **DPLL** block.

The SAW processor is the **key** part of the processing satellite system under investiga-

tion. In Fig. 3.2, the **block named** *delay estimate* is **the** core of this thesis. The theory used in this **block** and the simulation implementations are explained in the next section. **Right** now, **readers** only need to know that the propagation delay of the satellite uplink is going to **be** determined by the system **itself.**

The downlink modulation uses **QPSK** with the baseband signal pulse filtered to squareroot raised cosine pulse shape. The receivers of ground terminais have the sarne filters matched to this pulse shape.

3.3 **SAW Processor**

Since the system is based on an on-board processor using SAW devices to **perform** a chirp Fourier transform **(CFI'),** it is necessary to **take** a close look on **how** the SAW processor works.

CFT Principles 3.3.1

The SAW chirp Fourier transform (CFT) is a Fourier transform valid for a certain **band**width **and** over a finite time interval **[70].** This subsection gives the general form of CFT. More properties of **CFï** are discussed in the **next** subsections. The **CFï can** be derived from the usual Fourier integral as follows. The usual Fourier integral is

$$
F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau) \exp(-j\omega \tau) d\tau.
$$
 (3.2)

For the *CFï,* the relationship between frequency and time is a linear chirp as

$$
\omega = \mu t \tag{3.3}
$$

where μ is the chirp rate having the dimension of *rad/s*². The derivation of the CFT starts by substituting for ω with μt in (3.2) and noting that

$$
-2t\tau = (t-\tau)^2 - t^2 - \tau^2.
$$

Then equation (3.2) becornes

$$
F(\mu t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau) \exp(j\frac{\mu}{2}((t-\tau)^2 - t^2 - \tau^2))d\tau.
$$
 (3.4)

By re-arranging **the terms** in the exponential, the integral in **(3.4),** becomes

$$
F(\mu t) = \exp(-j\frac{\mu t^2}{2}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f(\tau) \exp(-j\frac{\mu \tau^2}{2})] \exp(j\frac{\mu (t-\tau)^2}{2}) d\tau.
$$
 (3.5)

In (3.5), the $[f(\tau) \exp(-j\frac{\mu \tau^2}{2})]$ term is recognized as an multiplication of $f(\tau)$ and $exp(-j\frac{\mu\tau^2}{2})$. Then, from the definition of convolution integral [38], the function in the square brackets is convolved with $exp(j\frac{\mu\tau^2}{2})$. Finally, the convolution integral times another function $\exp(-j\frac{\mu^2}{2})$, gives the right-hand-side of (3.5). Therefore, equation (3.5) shows that a chirp Fourier transform *(CFT)* is implemented with two multipliers and one convolver. Since the convolution is performed between two multipliers, **this** scheme is called the **multiply-convolve-multiply** (MCM) configuration. This derivation is sirnilar to the one given in [34] and a block diagram for the MCM is shown in Fig. 3.3.

Figure 3.3: Illustration of MCM **CFï** configuration

In the figure above, $c_1(t)$ and $c_2(t)$ are the multiplying chirp waveforms and $h_0(t)$ is the impulse response of a chirp filter. **Al1** three functions have the waveform representation

$$
h_0(t) = w(t) \cos(\omega_0 t \pm \frac{\mu}{2} t^2 + \theta)
$$

where $w(t)$ is a *weighting* function which is set to one in this study, ω_0 is the center frequency of the SAW device and the \pm sign indicates that it is either an *up-chirp* or a *down*- chirp. An up-chirp means that the frequency increases as time increases. θ is a phase constant **and** it can **be** set to an arbitrary value. In case of coherent detection of a **PSK** signal, θ represents the modulation information when it appears in the input signal. $s_i(t)$ are the signais at the corresponding output points in Fig. **3.3.**

3.3.2 Comments on Chirp Lengths

In **1341,** it is said that a longer chirp length in a MCM configuration is required to properly perform the Fourier transfom. Such a configuration is best for the purpose of taking a Fourier transform. The points can be explained as follows.

The output of the chirp filter $(s_2(t))$ in Fig. 3.3) contains the Fourier transform of the input signal. Because of the relationship of frequency and time through the linear chirp, the frequency spectrum **can** be spanned on the time axis. To display the spectrum without amplitude distortion, a flat response is required over this frequency range. This flat response is obtained only if the filter chirp length is longer **than the** input signal length. A conceptuai diagram shows this comment is given in Fig. **3.4. tf** one thinks of this process in terms of a convolution, since both signals are time gated, the convolution of these two signals leads to the outputs shown in Fig.3.4. In "output (1)", the filter length is $T_d = T$.

From this figure, it is clear that a filter length of $T_d = 2T$ is the best for the purpose of processing a chirp signal with length T. This is because the input signal needs *T* seconds to **be** totally moved into the filter (for the period [O, *T]* shown in "output (2)" of Fig. 3.4). This period does not provide a correct transform spectrum amplitude. In the next **T** seconds, we can sample the spectrum at the output of the filter. Then there is a tail that lasts for T seconds for the signal to be moved out of the filter. **A** longer filter length provides the same spectrum but since extra taps which are not used to provide useful signals are given here, only the noise is increased. Consequently the signal-to-noise ratio is reduced.

Figure 3.4: Filter Chirp Length Requirement

3.3.3 Pulse Compression and Processing Capacity

The signal pulse duration is compressed after passing through a chirp filter. Let the 3 dB pulse width of the output pulse be $\tau_p(3 \text{ dB})$, then the pulse width is given as

$$
\tau_p(3 \text{ dB}) = \frac{0.89}{B} \tag{3.6}
$$

where B is the bandwidth of the input chirp signal in Hertz, $B = \mu T/2\pi$. Then the input pulse is compressed by a ratio of $k = T/\tau_p = BT/0.89$. To reduce the inter-symbol

interference, a more rigorous pulse width is defined. It is a 4 dB pulse width.

$$
\tau_p(4 \text{ dB}) = \frac{2\pi}{\mu T} = \frac{1}{B}
$$
(3.7)

and this leads to the pulse compression ratio of $k = BT$. The term BT is called time bandwidth product. This pulse compression ratio determines the number of frequency channels the multicarrier demodulator **can** process. It is clear that with a pulse with definition of **(3.7), the** processor can process less signais **than** for the case represented by (3.6). To reduce the effects of sidelobes, a windowing function is usually used. The windowing will further increase the pulse width and consequently reduce the number of channels that can be processed.

3.3.4 CMC Configuration

The CMC arrangement can provide a Fourier transfonn as well as shown in **[34,67].** The distinct advantage of the CMC arrangement over the previously discussed MCM configuration is that **C-M-C has** a larger time bandwidth product. In other words, given the sarne time bandwidth product, CMC offers higher processor frequency resolution and bandwidth.

Loo in [67] shows that a CMC configuration with finite chirp length can perform a CFT on the input signal with a desired output. For a wideband system, the **CMC** configuration will be the ultimate choice. More relevant discussions on how **the** processor operates can **be** found in **[37,68].**

3.3.5 Simulation Results

A brief simulation **has** been performed using Matlab to illustrated the MCM CFT. In the simulation, al1 operations are assurned to be ideal.

Parameters used in the simulation are:

$$
\mu = 100 \text{ rad/s}^2
$$

$$
\omega_0 = 80 \text{ rad/s}
$$
frequency range = [30, 130]
signal duration = 1 sec
chirp duration = 2 sec

The impulse response of this **CFT** processor gives desired gate hinction ranging from [-50,501 in radian frequency, centered at **og** as expected. **Then** a chirp signal gated to one second with carrier frequency of ω_0 given as

$$
x(t) = \exp j(\omega_0 t - \frac{\mu t^2}{2})
$$

is fed to the processor. The output is centered at ω_0 . It is a sinc function since the input is a rectangular pulse times the linear chirp signal. The plot is shown in Fig. 3.5. To read **this** figure and subsequent figures, the x-axis is the relative frequency, therefore, the zero frequencies in the plots correspond to ω_0 . A reading of -50 (rad) from the plots corresponds to a real frequency of 30(rad), etc.

A single frequency signal with a frequency other than ω_0 (which is $30 \, rad/s$) is also processed by the **CFT.** The result is shown in Fig. 3.6. It **cm** be seen from the plot that the peak of the plot is located at the corresponding frequency. Then, a multi-frequency signal is processed by the CFT to simulate the processing of FDMA signal. The plot is given in Fig. 3.7. **Al1** frequencies are revealed by **the peaks** in the plot.

Finally, the chirp rate μ is changed from $100 \, rad/s^2$ to $200 \, rad/s^2$ to show the effect of chirp rate on the bandwidth of a processor. It is clear **from Fig.** 3.8 that a **CFT** processor with larger μ has larger pulse width compression on the input signal. Therefore, it

Figure 3.5: Single Frequency Input Signal

Figure 3.7: Multi-frequency Input Signal

Figure 3.8: Multi-frequency Input Signal with Larger μ

can process a wider input signal bandwidth, which means that more frequency multiplexed channels cm **be** processed simultaneously.

The output of the MCM configured on-board MCD is further studied and the relationship of timing offset and the processor output is established in section 4.3.1. The same processor is used in the system simulation in Chapter 6. To demodulate multiple **uplink** signals, time alignment among uplink signals is required in order to be able to sample the output of the processor **directly** *[26].* The **timing** error measurement of uplink signais for a **MCD** using a SAW device is the central theme of this thesis and it will be treated in **much** detail in the following chapters.

The system which motivated the study of the synchronization aigorithm based on **mea**suring uplink signals from the output of the **MCD was** presented in the previous chapter and this chapter. In the next chapter, the synchronization algorithm will be studied in detail through theoretical derivation and cornputer simulations.

Chapter 4

Delay Estimate (1)

This chapter studies the uplink synchronization of a ground terminal and the satellite onboard processor. The synchronization is achieved by compensating for the uplink propagation delay in the ground terminal's transmitter. One method of delay estimation is demonstrated theoreticdly. Simulations are performed to confirm the theoretical **analysis** in this chapter.

4.1 Conceptual Approach

In normal communication systems the clock and carrier are recovered at the receiver. Fig. 4.1 depicts a typical synchronized system where the system synchronization is implemented by digital circuits. The dashed box at the receiver indicates that a data decision is used to help generate the **ermr** measurement signal. If a decision-directed structure is not used, the decision block is moved out of **the** synchronization loop. Synchronization **is** obtained **by the** adjustment of the **local** oscillator's phase **which** is controlled **by** the error signal generated by comparing the input signal and a local reference signal.

The situation is different for the processing satellite system studied in this **thesis.** The traditional receiver side **tracking** synchronization schemes would **fail** in such a system since

multiple uplink signals have different phases and therefore, one on-board clock cannot **track** dl the uplink clocks at one **time.** Hence. if the receiver cannot perfonn symbol synchronization, the transmitter must do it. The approach is illustrated in Fig. 4.2. In the figure, the transmitter clock phase is adjusted in such a way that it arrives at the receiver aligned with the receiver dock **phase.** The dashed line which connects the receiver and the error signal generator means that it is possible to use the information frorn the receiver to control the dock of transmitter.

Since the **clock** phase is directly related to the propagation delay, if the delay, which is obtainable from ranging systems. is known, the dock phase can be adjusted precisely. **It** is also possible to find the delay information by the satellite receiver through processing of the received signal. **The** idea of obtaining the propagation delay on the uplink directiy is considered in **this** chapter through finding a timing error indicator from the input uplink signal to the on-board processor. **Then,** either the delay is computed on-board the satellite and the ground tenninal is informed or **the** information of the uplink **is** transmitted **to** the ground directly, perhaps, for the **further** estimation. If such a timing error indicator is observed at the ground terminai instead of on-board the satellite, the downlink transmission link must **be** considered in determining the uplink delay estimation.

One obvious timing error indicator is **the** bit error rate (BER) at the receiver in the

Figure 4.1 : Synchronization Subsystem

Figure 4.2: Transmitter Timing Recovery

satellite. For **any** timing error larger than zero, the **BER** is larger **than** that for the correct timing case. Before proceeding to derive the delay estimation method based on this BER indicator, some tools to be used in the analysis are introduced in the next section.

4.2 Mathematical Tools

Some frequently used random variables in this thesis are described in this section. For a more complete discussion of random variables, **please** refer to [77] **and [78]. The** theory for the maximum likelihood estimation **(MLE)** method is presented here **as** well.

4.2.1 Discrete Random Variables

Suppose that an experiment, or a trial, whose outcome can be either a *true* or a *false*, is **performed.** Let $X = 1$ represents a *true* outcome and $X = 0$ a *false* outcome. Then the probability mass function of X is given by

$$
p(0) = P\{X = 0\} = 1 - p
$$

\n
$$
p(1) = P(X = 1) = p
$$
\n(4.1)

where $p, 0 \leq p \leq 1$, is the probability that the experimental result is a *true*.

This randorn expriment is called Bernoulli **trail.** A random variable X is said to **be** a Bernoulli random variable if its probability mass function is given by equations in (4.1) for some $p \in (0, 1)$ [78].

Now, if the trial is performed independently N times. each of which results in a *true* with probability p and *false* with probability $1 - p$, the random variable X represents the number of successes in **N** trials and is **said** to be a binomial *rundom* **variable** with **parameters** (N, *p)* **[77,78]. Thus,** *the* Bernoulli random variable **is** a special case of binomial random variablewith parameters $(1, p)$.

The probability mass function of a binomial random variablehaving parameters (N, p) is given by

$$
p(k) = {N \choose k} p^{k} (1-p)^{N-k} \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N. \tag{4.2}
$$

The summation of Eqn. (4.2) is the expansion terms of binomial

$$
(p+(1-p))^{N} = 1
$$
 as $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$.

For $k = 0$, $p(0) = (1 - p)^N$ and a recursive approach to calculate all the discrete probabilities in (4.2) is derived as follows:

$$
\frac{p(k)}{p(k-1)} = \frac{P(X = k)}{P(X = k-1)} =
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\frac{N!}{(N-k)!k!} p^{k} (1-p)^{(N-k)}}{\frac{N!}{(N-k+1)!(k-1)!} p^{k-1} (1-p)^{(N-k+1)}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(n-k+1)p}{k(1-p)}
$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Therefore, given probability p, all $p(k)$ can be obtained. As an example, a binomial random variablewith parameter (**100,0.2)** is plotted in Fig. 4.3.

Figure 4.3: Probability mass distribution of a binomial random variable with parameter **(100,0.2)**

4.2.2 Continuous Random Variables

Let X be a random variable. Variable X is called a continuous random variable if there exists a non-negative function f, defined for all real $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$, having the property that for any set B of real numbers

$$
P\{X \in B\} = \int_{B} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x) dx. \tag{4.3}
$$

The function $f_{\mathbf{X}}$ is called the *probability density function* (pdf) of the random variableX. **In the last** subsection, the probability distribution is described by mass functions **since** those variables are discrete. To simplify the names of different **functions** without causing confusion, hereafter **pdf** is used for al1 types of random variables.

Equation (4.3) **says that the** probability that X will be in *B* may be obtained by integrating the pdf function $f_{\mathbf{X}}(x)$ over the set B. If the set B contains all values X will take, then the probability is 1 as shown by (4.4)
$$
P\{X \in (-\infty, \infty)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x) dx = 1.
$$
 (4.4)

A Gaussian, or normal, random variable is defined by pdf

$$
f_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} \qquad -\infty < x < \infty \tag{4.5}
$$

where the parameters μ and σ^2 are the mean and the variance of a Gaussian random variable X respectively.

If X and Y are two independent, identically distributed **(iid)** Gaussian random variables with zero mean and variance σ^2 , the joint density function of new random variables $R =$ $\sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}$ and $\Theta = \tan^{-1} Y/X$ is given by

$$
f_{\mathbf{R},\Theta}(r,\theta) = \frac{r}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2} \qquad 0 < r < \infty, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi \tag{4.6}
$$

where Θ is uniformly distributed over $(0, 2\pi)$. R is the magnitude of the signal obtained from two independent quadrature components X and Y where both have the pdf in (4.5). As r and θ are independent, the pdf of the magnitude is obtained by integrating (4.6) from O to **2x** with respect to **8.** The magnitude follows the Rayleigh distribution [77].

$$
f_{\mathbf{R}}(r) = \frac{r}{\sigma^2} e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2} \qquad 0 < r < \infty. \tag{4.7}
$$

If at least one of the two Gaussian random variableshas a non-zero mean, the magnitude distribution becomes Rician as given in **(4.8) 1771:**

$$
f_{\mathbf{R}}(r) = \frac{r}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + a^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) I_0(\frac{ra}{\sigma^2}) \qquad 0 < r < \infty \tag{4.8}
$$

where a is the mean of one random variablewhile the other random variablehas zero **mean** or is the amplitude from both components. When $a = 0$, (4.8) becomes (4.7). All three pdfs of continuous random variables descnbed above are plotted in Fig. **4.4** with the sarne parameters a and **o.'**

^{&#}x27;Rayleigh pdf is produced with $a = 0$ **using (4.8)**

Figure 4.4: Graph of pdf of Continuous Random Variables $(a = 0.5, \sigma = 1)$

4.2.3 Estimation Theory

In this thesis, the synchronization problem is identified as the estimation of a non-random but unknown pararneter, narnely the propagation delay. **A** well established estimation procedure to perform the estimation is Maximum Likelihood Estimation **(MLE).**

Follow the work of Van Trees in [41], the estimation model is defined by four parts.

Parameter Space. The output of the source is a parameter. This parameter can be either random or deterministic but unknown.

Probabilistic Mapping from *Parameter Space to Observation Space.* It generates points in the observation space in accordance **with** the probability law specified.

Observation Space. This corresponds to a set of N observations. Each set can be thought of a point in an N-dimensional space. The point is denoted by a vector r.

Estimation Rule. This **is** how the observation is related to the parameter to

be estimated. A function of $\hat{a}(\mathbf{r})$ is used to denote this estimation rule.

MLE involves study of the conditional probability $p_{\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}|a)$ where a is a parameter from the pararneter space A. The basic idea is to find the most likely parameter which will lead to the current observation without any a priori knowledge of the parameter.

If the observation of the pararneter space is from a single experiment, one needs N such experiments to establish the N-dimensional vector. On the other **hand.** if the experiment itseif is a repeated N trial, then the pdf **can** be used to perform the estimate directly. In general, the conditional probability $p_{R|A}(\mathbf{r}|a)$ is viewed as a function of a, where a is the only unknown pararneter to **be** estimated. This probability function is called the *likelihood* function denoted by

$$
L(a) = p_{\mathbf{R}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{r}|a). \tag{4.9}
$$

The estimate depends on the observation vector **r**

$$
\widehat{a} = \widehat{a}(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_N) = \widehat{a}(\mathbf{r}).\tag{4.10}
$$

 \hat{a} is a random variable because all the observations, r, are random variables.

There is no straightforward minimization procedure that will lead to the minimum variance unbiased estimate **[41].** Therefore, any estimate should be examined **by** a set of criteria.

The first criterion is the expectation of the estirnate. Using the definition of expectation in **[77]**

$$
E[\hat{a}(\mathbf{r})] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{a} p_{\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}|a) d\mathbf{r}
$$
 (4.11)

The possible values of this expectation can be grouped into three classes

- $E[\hat{a}(\mathbf{r})] = a$, for all values of a. The estimate is called *unbiased*.
- \bullet $E[\hat{a}(\mathbf{r})] = a + b$, where b is not a function of a. The estimate is said to have a *known bim,* **6.** Simple subtraction removes this bias.

• $E[\hat{a}(\mathbf{r})] = a + b(a)$, as b is a function of a, it is undetermined. The estimate is said to have an *unknown bias.*

Because the estimate is a random variable, even for an unbiased estimate, a single result may **be** far away from **the** tme value. Therefore, **the** variance of the estimate should be examined. The variance of an random variablex is by definition **[77]**

$$
Var[x] = E\{x^2\} - (E\{x\})^2. \tag{4.12}
$$

Therefore, **the** variance of the estimate is

$$
Var[\widehat{a}(\mathbf{r}) - (a + b(a))] = E\{[\widehat{a}(\mathbf{r}) - a]^2\} - b^2(a).
$$
 (4.13)

The second term is zero if **the** estimate is unbiased. For two unbiased estimates, **the** one with smaller variance is said to be more efficient. The estimate will have a variance no less **than** a non-zero positive number. This number is referred as Cramér-Rao *bound* **(CM).** The theorem given below, applies to estimation of non-random parameters

Theorem. If $\hat{a}(\mathbf{r})$ is any unbiased estimate of a, then

$$
\text{Var}[\widehat{a}(\mathbf{r}) - a] \ge (E\{[\frac{\partial \ln p_{\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}|a)}{\partial a}]^{2}\})^{-1}
$$
(4.14)

or, equivalently,

$$
\text{Var}[\widehat{a}(\mathbf{r}) - a] \ge (-E\{[\frac{\partial^2 \ln p_{\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}|a)}{\partial a^2}]\})^{-1}
$$
(4.15)

where the following conditions are assurned to be satisfied,

$$
\frac{\partial \ln p_{\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}|a)}{\partial a} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ln p_{\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}|a)}{\partial a^2} \tag{4.16}
$$

exist and **are** absolutely integratable **[41].** Equation (4.14) or **(4.15)** are commonly referred as the *Cramér-Rao inequality*. The expectations in both inequalities are the CRB. Any estimate that satisfies the bound with an equality is called an *efficient* estimate.

4.3 BER as an Uplink Timing Error Indicator

The propagation delay estimation based on monitoring the sample BER with a known input probing signal is discussed in this section. In the following chapters, unless for link BER test purposes, the BER is used to denote the sample bit error rate. The relationship between the BER and the **timing** offset is derived first. The **imperfect** channel which causes errors in the bit decision is represented by a binary symmetric channel (BSC) model with the probability of making incorrect decision p as shown in Fig. 4.5. The parameter p in the figure is the link probability of bit error. An equal probability of transmitting O or 1 is assumed on the uplink.

Figure 4.5: The Binary Symrnetric Channel Mode1

A limitation to the BER as an uplink timing error measurement is that this function depends as well on carrier phase offset errors at the satellite receiver. The presentation to follow assumes perfect coherence in the satellite receiver **and thus** carrier phase offsets are neglected. Later, a better estimate of uplink **timing** that is robust relative to the carrier phase offset errors is developed.

4.3.1 Processor Outputs

In section 3.3, the general fom of **the** CFï **was** presented. In this subsection, the CFT given **by** (3.5) from subsection 3.3.1 is applied to a **QPSK** modulated signal and the output of this process is given. **Our goal is** to derive an expression for timing measurement error from the received uplink signal.

The *C3T* of the input signal spans a finite time interval, as such, it **is** more appropriate to write the CFT output as

$$
F(\mu t) = \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} f(\tau) \exp(-j(\mu t)\tau) d\tau
$$
 (4.17)

where now the transform is over one modulation symbol period. When the input to the processor is a data sequence with phase carrying the information, following the derivation in [35], one gets the output for the k-th channel for the m-th input symbol, denoted $\tilde{S}_{km}(\tau)$, with a time offset T_e included, from equation (4) in [35] as

$$
\bar{S}_{km}(\tau) = A_k T e^{j\Phi_k} \{ e^{j\alpha_{k,m}} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\beta T}{2}\right) + \left[e^{j\alpha_{k,m-1}} - e^{j\alpha_{k,m}} \right] \frac{e^{j\frac{\beta T}{2}} - e^{j\beta(\frac{T}{2} - T_c)}}{j\beta T} \} e^{-j\beta mT} \quad (4.18)
$$

for $T_e > 0$. When A_k is the input signal amplitude and T is the symbol length, T_e and ϕ_k are the unknown timing and phase offsets, respectively. Also, $\alpha_{k,m}$ is the modulating phase in the m-th symbol interval for the k -th channel and ω_k is the angular frequency offset with respect to the center frequency. Finally, β is defined as $\beta = \mu(\tau - mT) - \omega_k$. $\bar{S}_{km}(\tau)$ represents the Fourier transform of the input signal observed at time **7.**

For $T_e < 0$, the output is given by [35]

$$
\tilde{S}_{km}(\tau) = A_k T e^{j\Phi_k} \{ e^{j\alpha_{k,m}} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\beta T}{2}\right) + \left[e^{j\alpha_{k,m+1}} - e^{j\alpha_{k,m}} \right] \frac{e^{-j\beta(\frac{T}{2} - T_e)} - e^{-j\frac{\beta T}{2}}}{j\beta T} \} e^{-j\beta mT}.
$$
 (4.19)

The second terms within the square braces of equation (4.18) and equation (4.19) represent the interference introduced by the incorrect timing at the detection time. When timing is correct, or the two consecutive syrnbols are identical, this tem vanishes. A complete discussion **can be** found in **[35].**

Further **study** of equation (4.18) and **(4.19) shows** that if the signal applied **to** the SAW processor changes phase by π every T as given in (4.20),

$$
\alpha_{k,m-1} = \alpha_{k,m+1} = \alpha_{k,m} + \pi, \qquad (4.20)
$$

the $e^{j\alpha_{k,m-1}}$ (or $e^{j\alpha_{k,m+1}}$) term can be collapsed to $e^{j\alpha_{k,m}}$ multiplied by a negative sign as shown in **(4.2** 1) and **(4.22),**

$$
e^{j\alpha_{k,m-1}} - e^{j\alpha_{k,m}} = -2e^{j\alpha_{k,m}}, \qquad (4.21)
$$

$$
e^{j\alpha_{k,m+1}} - e^{j\alpha_{k,m}} = -2e^{j\alpha_{k,m}}.\tag{4.22}
$$

By rearranging terms of dividing by $j\beta T$ in (4.18) and (4.19) as follows

$$
\frac{e^{j\frac{\beta T}{2}-e^{j\beta(\frac{T}{2}-T_e)}}}{j\beta T} = \frac{T_e \operatorname{sinc}(\frac{\beta T_e}{2})e^{j\frac{\beta}{2}(T-T_e)}}{f^2 \operatorname{sinc}(\frac{\beta T_e}{2})e^{j\frac{\beta}{2}(T-T_e)}} = -\frac{T_e \operatorname{sinc}(\frac{\beta T_e}{2})e^{j\frac{\beta}{2}(T+T_e)}}{f^2 \overline{f}} = -\frac{T_e \operatorname{sinc}(\frac{\beta T_e}{2})e^{j\frac{\beta}{2}(T+T_e)}}{f^2 \overline{f}} = T_e < 0,
$$
\n(4.23)

the whole output of (4.18) reduces to

$$
\tilde{S}_{km}(\tau) = A_k T e^{j\Phi_k} e^{j\alpha_{k,m}} \{ \mathrm{sinc}\left(\frac{\beta T}{2}\right) - 2\frac{T_e}{T} \mathrm{sinc}\left(\frac{\beta T_e}{2}\right) e^{j\frac{\beta}{2}(T - T_e)} \} e^{-j\beta mT} \qquad T_e \ge 0 \quad (4.24)
$$

by applying conditions for $T_e > 0$ in (4.23). For $T_e < 0$, using (4.23), equation (4.19) is simplified to

$$
\tilde{S}_{km}(\tau) = A_k T e^{j\Phi_k} e^{j\alpha_{km}} \{ \mathrm{sinc}(\frac{\beta T}{2}) + 2\frac{T_e}{T} \mathrm{sinc}(\frac{\beta T_e}{2}) e^{j\frac{\beta}{2}(T+T_e)} \} e^{-j\beta mT} \qquad T_e < 0. \quad (4.25)
$$

Further discussion can be concentrated on the $T_e > 0$ case given in (4.24) since both cases result in identical output amplitudes. The signal described by equation **(4.24)** is sampled when $\beta = 0$ or equivalently when $\tau = \omega_k/\mu + mT$. The sample is a complex value containing the modulation information **and** the **unknown phase** offset. The sarnples from different FDMA channels in sequence form the basis of the time multiplexed signal that becomes the TDM downlink signal. The phase offset ϕ_k in (4.24) and (4.25) can be removed in practice using a digital PLL following the MCD.

When the change phase by π signal is used as a probing signal, from (4.24) and (4.25), the signal amplitude at the sampling instant when $\beta = 0$ is

$$
|\tilde{S}_{km}(\tau = \frac{\omega_k}{\mu} + mT)| = A_k T (1 - 2 \frac{|T_e|}{T}).
$$
 (4.26)

By taking the absolute value of T_e as shown in (4.26), only half the symbol timing offset should **be** considered because the other half of the timing offset will yield identical results. From **(4.26),** the sampled signal amplitude is linearly related to the timing offset on the uplink. Due to the limitation of the output of the SAW based MCD, this relationship cannot identify the polarity of the timing offset.

When the output signal phase is treated as above, the BER becomes the function of the signal amplitude which is the **true** signal amplitude plus the white Gaussian noise in **[25].** Let the noise power at the output of the MCD be σ^2 . Then, the BER is given as a function of timing offset as

$$
p = Q\left(\frac{A_k T (1 - 2|\lambda|)}{\sigma}\right) \tag{4.27}
$$

where $\lambda = T_e/T$ is the normalized timing offset with respect to symbol period T and the Q function is defined as **[21]**

$$
Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{r^2}{2}) dr.
$$
 (4.28)

Finally, it is worthwhile to indicate that the noise power at the output of the MCD is **[35]**

$$
\sigma^2 = \frac{N_0 T}{2}.\tag{4.29}
$$

a2 is needed only for performance analysis and is not needed to realize the propagation delay estimate.

4.3.2 BER Computation

In a processing satellite system, the uplink and downlink bit decisions are independent. When both links are represented by the similar BSC channels shown in Fig. 4.5, the round trip link cm **be** considered as **two** such a **BSCs** in a series connection and the round trip BER, therefore, cm **be** calculated as

$$
p = (1 - p_u)p_d + (1 - p_d)p_u \tag{4.30}
$$

where the subscripts \boldsymbol{u} and \boldsymbol{d} indicate that the bit error rates are of the uplink or downlink respectively. The equation States that the round trip **BER** is the sum of the products of the probability of correct transmission on one link and the probability of error on the other link. When the BERs of both links are small, the product term $p_{\mu}p_{d}$ can be ignored. Then the round trip BER becomes

$$
p \approx p_u + p_d. \tag{4.31}
$$

This equation gives an approximation of the round trip **BER.** Because incorrect delay compensation on the uplink reduces the effective output signal level of **the** on-board processor as shown in **(4.26),** the uplink **BER** will **be** degraded as in (4.27). The downlink BER cm be considered as a constant over the uplink acquisition period for a given **SNR** of the downlink since the timing and carrier recovery of the downlink receiver are performed at the ground terminal and the ground terminal receives the fast TDM downlink for all users. In reality, the downlink could always be regarded as on. On the other hand, the unknown propagation path delay at acquisition causes changes of the uplink BER, and thus also the round trip BER in (4.31), observed at the ground terminal. Further treatment of round trip **BER** will be given in the subsection 4.3.4. Now the round trip BER is related to the delay estimation on the sense of modulo T through (4.27) , where T is the symbol period. The typical **BER** vs. time offset curve in **an** AWGN environment for signal **io** noise ratio, which is defined as

$$
SNR = \frac{E_b}{N_0} = \frac{(A_k/\sigma)^2}{2}
$$
 (4.32)

of 4 **dB** is given in Fig. **4.6.** In subsection **4.3.5,** this curve, or its equivalent, equation

Figure 4.6: Bit Error Rate vs. Time Offset **(SNR=4dB)**

(4.27) is used to estimate the timing offset from the **BER.** A rectangular shaped pulse is assumed for the calculation. The timing offset is used as the argument. Because the **curve** is syrnmetric for the timing offset either **Ieading** or retarding from the correct timing point, only half of the measurement curve is plotted in Fig. 4.6. As the BER is a designed system parameter for a given **SNR,** once the **BER** is found not close to the expected value, it can be concluded that the delay estimation is incorrect. Hence. monitoring the BER **can** provide time offset information **as once** the **BER with** a **timing** offset is determined, the arnount of the offset can be found from **Fig.** 4.6. This offset then **cm be** compensated **by** sefting a proper transmitting delay in the earth terminal.

The method of determining the BER is to interpret the error frequency as the BER. This method requires a known link SM. To use this method, a given data sequence of **N** symbols is transmitted and the number of incorrect decisions, N_e , is counted. The ratio N_e/N is interpreted **as** the BER. The **BER is** essentially a probability of making **emor** decisions on the individuai symbols. If let p **represent this** error probability, then from Bernoulli's theorem (one of the law of large numbers **[77])**

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} P\{|\frac{N_e}{N} - p| < \varepsilon\} = 1\tag{4.33}
$$

where N is the number of symbols transmitted, N_e is the number of error decisions and ε is an infinitesimal positive number. In this case, symbol observations **cm be** considered as independent trials. This theorem says that the error probability cm **be** determined precisely only when **the** number of transrnitted symbols is infinite.

In a reai system only a finite number of symbols **can** be transmitted to estimate the value of p . It is desirable to minimize the number of symbols used for determining p as this process is an overhead to the system capacity when the process is associated with a call set up. In the following subsection, how p is estimated using a maximum likelihood estimation method is discussed.

4.3.3 Estimation of Error Probability p

First a random variable X_k is introduced to represent the symbol decision process at the receiver. The subscription k here indicates that it is the k -th symbol which is under consideration. **The** decision process is represented as

$$
X_k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k\text{-th symbol is correct.} \\ 1, & \text{if } k\text{-th symbol is incorrect.} \end{cases}
$$

Let p be the probability of making an incorrect decision, then a Bernoulli probability density function as given in (4.1) is obtained. This function can also be written as

$$
P(X_k = x) = p^x (1 - p)^{1 - x} \qquad x = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1. \tag{4.34}
$$

To find the probability of $x = 1$, N symbols are sent to the receiver and all N random variables are *iid.* The received symbols represent **the** samples obtained from a Bernoulli distributed population. As mentioned in section 4.2.3, the N-dimensional vector **x** in the observation space is obtained from these repeated trials. The joint pdf of these Bemoulli random variables is

$$
P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \cdots, X_N = x_n) = P(X_1 = x_1)P(X_2 = x_2) \cdots P(X_N = x_N)
$$

=
$$
\prod_{i=1}^N p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i} \qquad x_i = 0 \text{ or } 1.
$$

$$
\therefore P(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = p^{N_e} (1-p).^{N-N_e}
$$
(4.35)

Where N_e is the number of incorrect decisions $(x_i = 1)$ in N trials. It is a random variable. **X** is a random vector with N random variables X_k . **x** is the outcome vector of N trials. Equation **(4.35)** is the Iikelihood function as defined by **(4.9)** in section **4.2.3.** The log-Iikelihood function for (4.35) is

$$
L(p) = N_e \ln p + (N - N_e) \ln(1 - p).
$$
 (4.36)

The goal is to look for an estimate of p which maximizes **(4.36).** The maximum **can** be obtained by differentiating $L(p)$ with respect to p and setting the result equal to zero

$$
\frac{\partial L(p)}{\partial p} = 0. \tag{4.37}
$$

The estimate of the error probability then can be solved from this equation and the result is

$$
\widehat{p} = \frac{N_e}{N}.\tag{4.38}
$$

The estimated error probability is evaluated next. The number of errors in N trials obeys the binomial distribution as each decision is a Bemoulli random variable. From **the** observation space, the number of errors is a random variable with parameters (N, p)

$$
P(X = N_e) = {N \choose N_e} p^{N_e} (1-p)^{N-N_e}.
$$
 (4.39)

The expected value of N_e is

$$
E[N_e] = Np \tag{4.40}
$$

and the variance of N_e is

$$
Var[N_e] = E[(N_e - E[N_e])^2] = Np(1 - p).
$$
 (4.41)

Since N is a constant, the expected value of \hat{p} becomes

$$
E[\hat{p}] = \frac{E[N_e]}{N}.
$$
\n(4.42)

Substituting (4.40) into the last equation and the mean of \hat{p} is obtained as

$$
E[\hat{p}] = \frac{Np}{N} = p. \tag{4.43}
$$

Therefore, the estimate of p in (4.38) is *unbiased*. The time delay estimate can be computed from the bit error probability using (4.27). Since the resulting time estimate is **MLE** if the p estimate is **MLE** [42], the performance discussion of the estimate is based on the bit error estimate instead of working on the time estimate directly.

Next, the efficiency of the estimate is determined. The efficiency of the estimate is evaluated by its variance. The estimate is efficient when **its** variance **equals** the **Cramér-**Rao bound in equation **(4.15).** The Cramér-Rao bound is calculated from the log likelihood function. For the log likelihood function $L(p) = N_e \ln p + (N - N_e) \ln(1 - p)$, the CRB is calculated using (4.15) by first taking the second order derivative of $L(p)$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 L(p)}{\partial p^2} = -\frac{N_e}{p^2} - \frac{(N - N_e)}{(1 - p)^2}
$$
(4.44)

Then upon use of the binomial probability density function (4.2), the CRB, denoted below as *IR,* is given by

$$
I_R = (-E\{[\frac{\partial^2 L(p)}{\partial p^2}]\})^{-1} = \frac{p(1-p)}{N}.
$$
 (4.45)

The variance of the estimate can **be** calculated for this particular **case** as

$$
Var[\hat{p}] = E[(\hat{p} - E[\hat{p}])^2] = E[(\frac{N_e}{N})^2] - p^2.
$$
 (4.46)

Since, by the binomial pdf in (4.2),

$$
E[N_e^2] = E[N_e(N_e - 1) + N_e] = N(N - 1)p^2 + Np
$$

= $Np(1 - p) + (Np)^2$ (4.47)

and substitution of **(4-47)** into (4.46) gives

$$
\text{Var}[\hat{p}] = \frac{Np(1-p) + (Np)^2}{N^2} - p^2 = \frac{p(1-p)}{N}.
$$
 (4.48)

Therefore,

$$
\text{Var}[\hat{p}] = I_R. \tag{4.49}
$$

and the variance of the estimate equals its lower bound. The estimate of p in (4.38) is thus an efficient estimate.

In the next subsection, the effect of parameters such as p and N on the CRB is discussed.

4.3.4 Discussions of the Cramér-Rao bound

In subsection **4.3.2,** the round **trip BER was** discussed briefly and in subsection **4.3.3,** the estimation performance evaluation criterion was established. In this subsection, the BER estimation performance is discussed in detail. The discussion starts with the assumption that satellite downlink **does** not introduce **any** errors to the round trip BER. In this case. the uplink error probability p_u is non-zero and the downlink error probability p_d is zero. The **round** trip BER of (4.30) is simplified to

$$
p = p_u. \tag{4.50}
$$

The CRB I_R of the round trip error probability estimate in (4.45) will be

$$
I_R = \frac{p(1-p)}{N} = \frac{p_u(1-p_u)}{N}.
$$
 (4.51)

In **Fig.** 4.7, the **CRB** given by (4.5 1) is plotted against the number of symbols obsewed **while SNR,** as defined in **(4.32),** is used as a parameter. **As** indicated in **(4.27),** timing

Figure 4.7: CRB Dependence on Number of Symbols and *SNR*

error reduces the effective link SNR, and of course increases the link bit error rate p. To illustrate the effect of probing sequence length on the variance of the estimate, without losing generality, a zero timing offset is used to produce Fig. 4.7. Different p_u 's are used in the plot and the p_u 's are determined by SNRs through (4.27) where $\lambda = 0$ is used when using this equation.

The results show that increasing the number of samples (or observed symbols) reduces the variance of the estimate. For a given sample size, N , the variance decreases as p decreases, or equivalently as the **SNR** increases. This is because when p is less **than** 0.5, *IR* is a monotone **finction** of p for a fixed value of N as shown by (4.45). In Fig. 4.8, the CRB given by (4.5 1) is plotted against **SNR.** Note here, to convert **SNR** to p, one must use equation (4.27). No timing error, *i.e.* $\lambda = 0$, is assumed in Fig. 4.8. Again, the curves show that a smaller lower bound is obtained for **higher SNR** andor more sarnples used in the estimate of p. For $\lambda \neq 0$, the effective SNR would be lower and therefore one can expect larger variance with the same sample size.

Next, the error-free downlink assumption is removed which gives a non-zero p_d and

Figure 4.8: CRBs vs. SNR for various sampling size N

the round trip error probability **is** then governed by (4.52) below which is a rearrangement of (4.30) of subsection **4.3.2.**

$$
p = p_u + p_d - 2p_d p_u. \tag{4.52}
$$

It is **recognized** from (4.52) that when using the round trip bit error rate p to represent the v plirk error probability introduces an unknown bias (see page 54) to the estimate of p_u . The bias is $p_d - 2p_d p_u$, where p_u is the function of p_d . Since the uplink and downlink of the satellite channels **can** be collectively considered as a simple **DSC** channel with error probability of p, the estimate \hat{p} is unbiased with regard to p. The CRB for \hat{p} is derived first. Then the CRB can be grouped to the normal term due to p_u , and the disturbing term due to *pd.* Here the normal term means the one containing the uplink timing error information only. The disturbing **term** is the one which introduces more uncertainty to the **estimation.** Substitution of p in (4.52) into (4.45) and the numerator in (4.45) becomes

$$
p(1-p) = p_u + p_d - 2p_u p_d - (p_u + p_d - 2p_u p_d)^2
$$

$$
= p_u - p_u^2 + p_d - p_d^2 - 4p_u p_d + 4p_u^2 p_d + 4p_u p_d^2 - 4p_u^2 p_d^2
$$

= $p_u - p_u^2 + p_d((1 - 2p_u)^2 - p_d(1 - 4p_u + 4p_u^2))$
= $p_u(1 - p_u) + (1 - 2p_u)^2 p_d(1 - p_d).$

Then the CRB in (4.45) can be written as

$$
I_{R(\text{total})} = \frac{p_u(1 - p_u)}{N} + \frac{(1 - 2p_u)^2 p_d(1 - p_d)}{N}.
$$
 (4.53)

The first term in (4.53) is the variance of the uplink error probability estimation given by (4.5 1) and **the** second **term** is the disturbance introduced by **the** imperfect downlink. When $p_d = 0$, (4.53) becomes (4.51). For $p_u \gg p_d$, the disturbance can be ignored. Then the **CRB** reduces to

$$
I_{R(\text{total})} = \frac{p_u(1 - p_u)}{N} = I_{R(\text{up})}.
$$
 (4.54)

This fact is demonstrated in Fig. 4.9 to Fig. 4.11. In these figures, $p_u = 1.25 \times 10^{-2}$ is fixed for a given uplink $SNR = 4$ dB while the p_d is varied with different SNRs. The results show that for $p_u \ge 10 \times p_d$, the resulting CRB of the round trip \hat{p} is very close to the CRB of the uplink \hat{p}_u . It is clear, in Fig. 4.11, that p_d has almost no effect on the total CRB. This figure shows the case when SNR = 4 dB, $p_u = 1.25 \times 10^{-2}$ and $p_d = 2.16 \times 10^{-4}$ for $SNR = 8$ dB on the downlink. Equation (4.54) is thus justified.

Since the downlink BER is controllable from the system design **phase** it is considered as a known parameter. During the initial uplink timing acquisition period, $p_u \gg p_d$ and therefore, *pd* **has** littie impact on the estimation procedure. *pd* is small because the down**link** is synchronized in the receiver terminal. From **(4.3** l), if **p** is considered as the estimate of p_u , the estimate is a biased one with the bias being p_d . For $p_u \ge 10p_d$, the bias can be ignored.

Figure 4.9: Comparison of the CRB of round trip \hat{p} **and uplink CRB for** $p_d = 1.25 \times 10^{-2}$

Figure 4.10: Comparison of the CRB of round trip \hat{p} **and uplink CRB for** $p_d = 2.45 \times 10^{-2}$

Figure 4.11: Comparison of the CRB of round trip \hat{p} and uplink CRB for $p_d = 2.16 \times 10^{-4}$

4.3.5 Estimation of Timing Error

After the uplink BER is estimated. it is possible to determine the timing offset from the optimum sarnpling instant using Equation **(4.27)** as was illustrated in Fig. 4.6. The timing estimate computed from *p* is **maximum** likelihood estimate **[42].** Questions such as how accurate this estimation is, how fast the estimate is obtained. and what the **SNR** penalty is if there is remaining error are of interest. These questions are answered in this subsection.

The estimation procedure using the BER as an indicator is

- 1. Estimate the round trip BER at **the** ground terminal.
- 2. Compute timing offset from **(4.27).**

Let Q^{-1} denote the inverse function of (4.27). Then solve for the normalized timing offset **as**

$$
\lambda = \frac{1 - kQ^{-1}(p)}{2}.
$$
 (4.55)

Figure 4.12: Offset as a function of BER

Here k is a constant which depends only on the system parameters such as the signal power, the symbol length and the channel noise. From (4.27), $k = \sigma/A_kT$. The timing offset can be computed from this function, which is plotted in Fig. 4.12 with different **SNRs.** Note that different **SNRs** lead **to** different curves. To get the estimate of the timing offset. the **SNR** must be specified. It is known that if \hat{p} is an ML estimate of p and $t = g(p)$ has a single valued inverse function, then $g(\hat{p})$ is the maximum likelihood estimate of $g(p)$ [42]. Because the BER estimate is a random variable, the timing error estimate as a function of the BER is also **a** random variable. Since an ML estimate is asymptotically Gaussian **[41],** the probability of the estimate falling in a **given** interval **can be** calculated for a fixed number of sarnples. In other words, if the probability of the estimate falling in **an** interval is given, the **variance of the** estimate cm **be** computed and the sample size **cm** be determined from the variance according to the **CRB** given in (4.45).

The closeness of **an** unbiased or approximately unbiased estimate to its true value is discussed in subsection 4.3.4. From the previous figures, by noting that the **BER** itself is a relatively small value for a practical **communication** system, a large number of symbols

is required to achieve a standard deviation less **than** or equal to 5 percent of **the** BER to **be** estimated. **For** example, to determine the range of the timing estimate, a testing SNR = 4 dB is specified. For a timing offset of $T/3$, using $N = 1000$ symbols, an error probability of $p = 2.23 \times 10^{-1}$, and $I_R = 1.73 \times 10^{-4}$, are expected. Then from Fig. 4.12, the timing estimate would be in a **range** of [0.32,0.336]. Therefore, if the **SNR** is specified and **an** estimate is made close to **the CRB, a** delay estimate **with** small variances **cm be** obtained,

In the previous section, the mean and the variance of the BER estimate have been determined **and** the approxirnate Gaussian pdf is readily given as **[77]**

$$
f(\hat{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_p} \exp(-\frac{(\hat{p} - p)^2}{2\sigma_p^2})
$$
\n(4.56)

where σ_p^2 is the variance of the estimate. It equals to the lower bound computed from Cramer-Rao inequality in **(4.45)** as

$$
\sigma_p^2 = \frac{p(1-p)}{N}.\tag{4.57}
$$

For simpler discussion, the estimate is normalized. The normalized random variablex **has** zero **mean** and unity variance

$$
x \triangleq \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sigma_p} \tag{4.58}
$$

and is described by

$$
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})
$$
 (4.59)

where $f(x)$ is symmetrical about its mean value of 0. If the required probability of the normalized BER estimate in an interval $[-u_{\alpha/2}, u_{\alpha/2}]$ is $1 - \alpha$, the value of $u_{\alpha/2}$ can be obtained by solving

$$
\frac{\alpha}{2} = \int_{u_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\infty} f(x) dx
$$
\n(4.60)

where $f(x)$ is the pdf given by (4.59). The remaining timing error is defined as zero if the estimate falls in the range of $[-u_{\alpha/2}, u_{\alpha/2}]$. Therefore the probability of timing is still incorrect after the correction based on the estimate is α . This value can be pre-defined in the system design phase. For example, if a confidence probability of 0.95 as $1 - \alpha$ is specified, the required normalized interval $u_{\alpha/2}$ is 1.96. The actual error probability estimate interval is $p \in p \pm u_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{CRB}$ and the interval is a function of the length of the testing sequence. In the next section, the performance of the estimation method, named as error probability method (EPM), is studied through computer simulation. The theoretical results will be given in the mean time using the material provided here.

4.4 Simulation and Analysis of the EPM

The uplink delay estimate using the BER as an indicator is examined through simulation in this section. Cornputer simulation is used to show the probability distribution of the estimate in terms of the bit error probability. The timing offset vs. bit error probability for a given **SNR** is compared with the theoretical results from subsection 4.3.5.

Let $N = 1000$ known symbols which forms the probing sequence be transmitted over an AWGN channel. Then the number of errors N_e at the receiver side are counted. The estimated BER is obtained by dividing this number by N, that is $\hat{p} = N_e/N$ as given by (4.38). Repeating this process M times, each time one \hat{p} is obtained, the probability distribution of the estimated BER is obtained. The simulation result for $N = 1000$ and $M = 5000$ with **SNR** = 4 dB is plotted in Fig. 4.13. The corresponding average BER for the given **SNR** is $p = 0.012538$ when timing is correctly aligned. Those ripples for which $k > 20$ errors are the result of a srna11 number of estimates used to obtain the distribution. A theoretical pdf of a binomial random variabledescribed by Eqn. (4.2) with the same parameters is plotted as impulses in Fig. 4.13 as a reference. The simulation result is close to the theoretical in **al1** segments of the curve.

Figure 4.14: Simulation results of CRB $(\lambda = 0, \text{SNR} = 4d\text{B})$

The variance of this estirnate can **be** computed from the probability distribution obtained from the procedure discussed above by an analytical method using **(4.45).** This variance is the Cramér-Rao Bound **(CRB)** on the BER because this estimate is efficient as was shown in section 4.3.3. Some variances computed from simulated \hat{p} for different N are plotted as discrete points in Fig. **4.14.** The **theoreticai CRB** is calculated using **(4.45)** and is plotted as a continuous line in the same figure. The simulation **results** are in good confor**rnity** with the theoretical ones as the difference between theory and simulation is Iess **than** 10%. Since the estimated error probability **has** a confidence probability of 0.95 as stated in section 1.5 the confidence interval for the **CRBs** in Fig. 4.14 can be determined as follows.

Let $u = \Delta \times p$ be the half of the confidence interval of the error probability estimate, where Δ is defined as the percentage of the mean of the estimate, the upper range for the **CRI3** values in Fig. 4.14 can **be** obtained from

$$
\frac{(\widehat{p}+u)(1-\widehat{p}-u)}{N} = \frac{\widehat{p}(1-\widehat{p})}{N} + \frac{u(1-u)-2\widehat{p}u}{N}
$$
(4.61)

and

$$
\frac{(\widehat{p}-u)(1-\widehat{p}+u)}{N}=\frac{\widehat{p}(1-\widehat{p})}{N}-\frac{u(1+u)+2\widehat{p}u}{N}.
$$
\n(4.62)

The second **term** in (4.6 **1)** is **the** upper value of the simulated **CRI3** and is denoted as

$$
I_u = \frac{u(1-u) - 2\hat{p}u}{N}.
$$
\n(4.63)

The second term in **(4.62)** is **the** lower value of the simulated **CRB** and is denoted as

$$
I_l = \frac{u(1+u) + 2\hat{p}u}{N}.
$$
\n(4.64)

The confidence interval for a 95% confidence probability for the simulated CRB then is given as $[I_l, I_u]$. As an example, for $N = 1000$ and SNR=4 dB, the 95% confidence interval given in a form of $[I_R - I_l, I_R + I_u]$ is $[1.12 \times 10^{-5}, 1.34 \times 10^{-5}]$, where $I_R = 1.23 \times 10^{-5}$.

Figure 4.15: Variance as a function of Timing Cffset ($N = 1000$)

The variance values could be better if more estimates \hat{p} were used to calculate $Var[\hat{p}]$, but the accuracy in Fig. **4.14** is sufficient for this study.

Since \hat{p} is a function of the timing offset, which is measured as a percentage of symbol length T, for a fixed length of a probing sequence with given SNR, the CRB changes as \hat{p} changes. For $0 < p < 0.5$, $p(1-p)$ is monotone increasing and therefore the variance increases as \hat{p} increases. This phenomenon is shown in Fig. 4.15. In the figure, the variance of estimated BER is computed directly from the simulated pdf of the estimated **BER** using

$$
\text{Var}[\hat{p}] = \frac{\sum_{k} (k - \overline{N}_e)^2}{N^2} \qquad k = 0, 1, \cdots N \qquad (4.65)
$$

where \overline{N}_e is the mean of the number of errors in a probing sequence and k is the possible number of errors in each probe. For each given timing offset, (4.65) is used to get the variance for the offset. The estimate confidence interval can be treated as in (4.61) and (4.62). The estimate sequence length $N = 1000$ is used for this plot. The theoretical CRB in (4.45) as a function of the normalized timing offset λ , which is defined in section 4.3.1, is given in the figure as a reference plot. **The** plot is obtained **through two** functions. First,

Figure 4.16: Probability of making Correct Estimate **(N=1000)**

using Eqn. (4.27) to map timing offset to an error probability. **Then** through use of Eqn. **(4.45)** to get the plot in Fig. 4.15.

An increase in the **CRI3 means** that the estimate **has** a larger variance. The wider spread of estimates leads to a smaller probability that p is correctly estimated. This decrease in correct estimation means a reduced efficiency of the estimation method. This trend of decreasing probability is plotted in Fig. **4.16.** Note that this plot is not a true probability but the peak value of a continuous **pdf** of a binomial random variable. The **small** value in probability is because the pdf is widely spread. If al1 values around the **mean** are integrated over a **fixed** interval, the probability is larger but the trend remains the **sme** as shown in **Fig. 4.16.** The theoretical probability in the plot that corresponds to the mean of a probability distribution govemed by the binomial law

$$
P(k = Np) = {N \choose k} p^{k} (1-p)^{(N-k)}
$$

is also given in the plot. The conditions used for this plot are the same as in Fig. 4.13. The simulated probability follows the trend of the **theory. The** larger **values** at some simulation

Figure 4.17: Simulated Timing vs. Average Error Probability Curve (SNR = 4 **dB)**

points in the figure are due to the short probing sequence. Again, the accuracy is sufficient for this study. The timing offset vs. error probability curve is given in **Fig.** 4.17 for an $SNR = 4$ dB. Multiple simulations are run with $N = 4000$ in order to get the mean of the timing offset vs. error rate curve. The results match the theoretical ones. This shows that **it** is possible to establish a relationship between a BER and the timing offset and therefore, the timing error **can** be estimated from the BER.

Finally, simulation **was** performed to evaluate the ability of the algorithm to correct a given timing estimate error. This ability is evaluated as a probability of making a correct estimate for a given length of the probing sequence. The probability is obtained by integrating the pdf of the estimated BER (\hat{p}) given in (4.56) over a given range around the peak (the mean) of the pdf. **The** integration range affects the probability value. The range used in this study is the square-root of the **CRB** of the BER estimate with no timing offset at $SNR = 4$ dB for a sequence length of $N = 1000$, which gives the equivalent timing offset of about **0.07T.** In equation, the probability is computed as

$$
P(\text{acqui}) = \int_{-u_{\alpha/2}}^{u_{\alpha/2}} f(x) \, dx \tag{4.66}
$$

where $u_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the value computed from (4.60) and $f(x)$ is defined by (4.59). The result shows that with 1000 symbols transmitted at $E_b/N_0 = 4$ dB, timing error can be estimated in one step with a probability of 0.5 using the integration range described above for a timing offset of **T/ 16.** A longer probing sequence improves this probability.

The drawback of this **EPM** is that a relatively long probing sequence is required. especially for higher operating SNR as will be shown in section 5.1.3, in order to get the estimate of the link error probability For example, to **reach** a **high** probability of 0.95 of correctly estimated BER at SNR = 4 dB, for an integration range of $p \pm 0.25p_0$, 4000 symbols are required. The *po* used here is the **error** rate of the zero timing offset. p is *po* when timing offset is zero, otherwise, the p can be computed from (4.27) with a given λ . Also as indicated before, using a 1000 symbol sequence, this method can only yields a correct estimation with probability of 0.5. Since the transform from p to time is non-linear as noticed in **(4.55)** the estimation efficiency might be reduced **as** shown in Appendix B. System level simulations which involve both uplink and downlink are not going to be performed using this method.

In the next chapter, a more efficient estimate method **based** on processing the on-board processor output amplitude, which is narned detected signal amplitude method (DSAM), will be introduced. The EPM **can** be considered as the specific case of the DSAM, where **the** amplitude is quantized to O **and** 1 **by hard** decision. **The** method introduced in the next chapter, on the other hand, can be regarded as **making** soft decision on the uplink signai amplitude. The system level simulation will **be** conducted using the DSAM after the performance of the DSAM is studied in the next chapter.

Chapter 5

Delay Estimate (2)

In this chapter, a problem associated with using the **EPM** is discussed. An alternative estimation method, which is derived from the **EPM** but with improved performance in terms of estimation time, is introduced. The structure of the estimator, which is based on the principle of the **MLE,** is given in this chapter. The delay estimation algorithm described is embedded into a complete system simulation model in the next chapter.

5.1 Signal Magnitude as an Error Indicator

5.1.1 From BER to Signal Power

From the user's point of view, the BER is a good indicator of system performance. But as shown in the last chapter, **to** obtain an acceptable BER requires a long probing sequence. As the **SNR** increases, this probing sequence becomes longer and longer in order to maintain the accuracy of the BER estimate. This **fact** follows as the **BER** decreases with increasing *SNR.* **It** becomes impractical to use the BER as the indicator to estimate the delay offset when the BER is small enough for acceptable communications quality. Therefore, though it **is** possible to estirnate the uplink propagation delay from an error probability associated

with a **BSC** channel mode1 using the **MLE** principle, this is not an efficient approach. The overhead for delay estimation to the system is large if the BER is used for delay estimation error measurement. By examining Equation **(4.27),** it is found that the BER is related to the timing offset through the power of the sample at the satellite syrnbol detector. In other words, the detected sample power, or the sample used for detection also provides a measurement of the delay estimation error. Therefore, if the detected signal amplitude is estimated, the timing offset, which can **be** converted to the propagation delay information, can be calculated from Equation (4.26).

In the following analysis, the satellite deals with the detection of the signal amplitude (voltage) only and **the amount** of the timing adjustrnent is computed by the ground terminal. The detected voltage information is transmitted to the ground terminal through the satellite TDM downlink. This information is transmitted in a digitized form and thus the amplitude estimate in the satellite must **be** quantized before downlink transmission.

To reveal the key characters related to the synchronization problem, Equation (4.26) is rearranged. The signal amplitude is normalized by A_kT and the phase information is removed from the equation on purpose since only the signal amplitude is of interest in the analysis. This nomalized signal amplitude is denoted by a in Equation **(5.1).** This equation does not include any noise signal and is given by

$$
a = (1 - 2|\lambda|) \tag{5.1}
$$

where λ is the normalized timing offset as defined just after Equation (4.27). Now the timing offset is directly related to the detected signal amplitude. If the signal amplitude **can be** estimated, the estimated timing offset **can be** computed. The detected signal amplitude is noise cormpted in a practical system and the voltage must **be** picked up from a detection sarnple given in the form

$$
x = a + n \tag{5.2}
$$

where n is the sample value of the additive noise and x is the sample value of the received signal at the output of the SAW processor. The goal is to find a from x and this goal is achieved by using **MLE** theory. The MLE of a in (5.2) is used to obtain the delay estimation because the signal amplitude **can** be treated as an unknown but non-random value. In the next section, the performance of the amplitude estimation technique is analyzed.

5.1.2 Amplitude Estimate

There are two options to obtain the amplitude estimate. One is to transmit each detected signal to the ground terminal directly and the ground terminal processes this information to find the timing offset on the uplink. The other choice is to process a number of detected signal amplitudes on-board the satellite and then send an averaged estimate to the ground terminal. Each method has advantages and disadvantages. These advantages and disadvantages are discussed in the following sections and the one with more advantages is chosen for further study. Since the downlink is a digital channel, the amplitude information (plus the noise on the uplink) must be quantized in order to fit into the **T'DM** downlink channel transmission method. **The** discussion starts from the method that transmits the detected signal amplitude directly followed by a meihod which requires more on-board processing but less downlink bandwidth.

5.1.2.1 Quantized Amplitude Estimate

Hereafter this method will **be** quoted as **USQE,** which stands for Uplink Signal Quantized and **Estimated.** This method requires the minimum processing power from the satellite. The signal obtained from the on-board processor is quantized, encoded and transmitted to the ground terminal. The ground terminal looks for uplink timing offset information from the digital information received from the **T'DM** satellite downlink.

The number of bits that are need to **be transrnitted** on the downlink is larger **than** in **the**

uplink with this method because each detected signal amplitude corresponds to one uplink bit. This amplitude is quantized and encoded by more than one binary digit since, if only one-bit quantization is used, the amplitude information becomes the bit decision, which reduces to the method considered in Chapter **4.** It is obvious that the satellite is required to provide high quality signal amplitude information in order for the ground terminal to get an accurate estimate of the timing offset. More precise representation of the detected signal level in a digital form requires more quantization levels, which in **turn** puts more burden to the satellite downlink. For instance, if **N** symbols are transmitted from ground terminal to the satellite for the purpose of estimating the uplink propagation delay, these N symbols are detected on-board the satellite and the detected amplitude, instead of being interpreted to O and **1,** is quantized to discrete levels. These levels are then represented by binary codes. The length of this binary code detemiines the possible **number** of quantization levels. If 6 bits are used to encode the signal levels, then a total of $N \times 6$ bits are going to be transmitted in the downlink in order to estimate the uplink delay. Therefore, this method requires more system bandwidth resource on the dowdink to obtain the uplink propagation delay estimate. The method considered here also suffers larger distortion in general because it estimates the delay from a quantized version of the detected signal amplitude. The discrete noise signals do not follow the Gaussian distribution exactly. Therefore, the delay estimate from these discrete signais is not an exact maximum likelihood estimate when only simple averaging is used.

In the next subsection, another timing offset estimate method, which is also based on **the** detected signal amplitude but with a different approach to obtain the amplitude estimate is introduced. The performance of these two approaches is compared **after** this estimate method is described.

83

5.1.2.2 Revised Ouantized Amplitude Estimate

in the 1st subsection, the USQE method was introduced. The problem of using too much system capacity with this method **was** also indicated. If the satellite can process the detected uplink signal amplitude, this problem can **be** alleviated. Such a method will **be** called USEQ, which stands for Uplink Signal Estimated and Quantized. Instead of transmitting ail the N detected signal amplitudes on the downlink, the satellite first estimates the signal amplitude on-board, then sends an averaged result to the ground terminal. The ground temiinal takes the estimated result and compensates for the timing offset by adjusting the uplink timing phase. The USEQ takes only b bits of the satellite downlink bandwidth to transmit the delay information, **where** b is the code length used to encode the estimates. This means less demand on system capacity because only one result is transrnitted for an N bit probing sequence.

The estimation processes of the **USQE** and the USEQ are listed in Table 5.1. It is clear that the **USQE** requires less processing on-board. The USEQ method needs more processing power from the satellite but requires no additional hardware for the ground terminais. Since the philosophy here is to let the ground terminais share the system resource as much as possible, the **USEQ** is preferred to the **USQE** as the on-board processor is shared by ail the terminais. With a less signal processing requirement, the ground terminais becorne simpler as well.

Table 5.1 : **Two** approaches to get Delay Estimate from Uplink Signal Amplitudes

In the following discussion, **when citing** "the *timing* **is denved** *from* **detected** *signal*

ampliiude", this refers to the use of the **USEQ** rnethod.

5.1.2.3 Estimation of Amplitude

Since n is a Gaussian random variablewith zero mean and a is a constant as defined in Equation (5.2) , x is a Gaussian random variableand a is the mean of x. Therefore, to find a is to estimate the mean of random variablex. The random variable **x** folIows the normal distribution

$$
f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-a)^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right) \tag{5.3}
$$

where σ_x^2 is the variance of the random variablex. The likelihood function is obtained by taking N samples from the sample space, **X**. Elements in $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ are *iid* and therefore the likelihood function is

$$
p_{\mathbf{X}|A}(\mathbf{x}|a) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{x}} \exp(-\frac{(x_{i}-a)^{2}}{2\sigma_{x}^{2}}).
$$
 (5.4)

Use of the MLE principle gives the estimated signal amplitude, denoted by \hat{a} , as an average of these samples of the detected signal determined by **1411,**

$$
\widehat{a} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i.
$$
\n(5.5)

This estimate itself is a Gaussian random variablebecause it is a linear combination of the Gaussian random variables, x_i . The estimate is unbiased because

$$
E[x_i] = a \tag{5.6}
$$

which follows from the calculation,

$$
E[\hat{a}] = E[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i]
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[x_i]
$$

=
$$
\frac{Na}{N} = a.
$$
 (5.7)

It is possible to show that the estimate is efficient **[41].** It can **be** shown that the **CRB,** which **was** introduced in subsection 4.2.3, of â is

$$
\sigma_{\tilde{a}}^2 = E[(\hat{a} - \overline{\hat{a}})^2] = \frac{\sigma_x^2}{N}.
$$
\n(5.8)

The estimate, â, can be **wntten** as

$$
v = a + z = \hat{a} \tag{5.9}
$$

where z represents the random part of the estimate. Therefore, ζ is a zero mean Gaussian random variablewith variance $\sigma_z^2 = \sigma_x^2/N$. It is considered as a noise signal with less power **compared** to the noise at the input to the estimator. Such a noise signal is superimposed onto the un-distorted signal with voltage amplitude a as shown in (5.9) and hence the signal to be transmitted to the ground **is the** sum of the true signal amplitude plus a residual noise from the estimation process. Therefore, this signal processing improves the effective **SNR** when the signal amplitude estimate is made. The improvement of the effective **SNR** is a function of the length of the sequence used to obtain **the** estimate. The noise reduction in terms of signai power is given **by** Equation **(5.8).** The amount of **SNR** improvement is derived as follows. With **the SNR** defined as

$$
SNR = \frac{A^2}{2\sigma_x^2} = \frac{E_b}{N_0}
$$
\n
$$
(5.10)
$$

and using Equation (5.8), the effective SNR, denoted by **SNRE,** is

$$
SNRE = \frac{A^2}{2\sigma_z^2} = \frac{A^2 N}{2\sigma_x^2}.
$$
 (5.11)

When expressed in the form of a decibel, the **SNR** becomes

$$
SNRE(dB) = SNR(dB) + 10 \log N. \tag{5.12}
$$

For $N = 100$, the gain is 20 dB.

Future discussion in this subsection will **deal** with a Gaussian random variablewith mean a and variance σ_z^2 . The pdf of such a random variableis plotted in Fig. 5.1. In the

Figure 5.1: Probability Distribution of Estimated Signal Level

figure, the signal level a is assumed between 0 and 1. $\sigma_z = 0.1$ is used for the plot. This corresponds to the case that $SNR = 17$ dB, where SNR is defined as E_b/N_0 as before in **(4.32),** if the normalized signal amplitude is set to one. Such an **SNR** is obtained through the processing of the detected signal using Equation (5.8) . The real operating SNR is -3 dB for this example. The amplitude range is deliberately plotted to exceed one in order to show the possible distortion when the nomalized signal amplitude is restricted to between O to 1. Those signals of larger **than** 1 and less than O are going to be rounded to **1** or O respectively depending on the signal amplitudes.

The number of samples needed for a given acquisition probability can be determined because the estimate follows the Gaussian probability distribution. From (5 **-9).** if quantization is not considered, the remaining amplitude error after correction will be a Gaussian random variable *z* with zero mean and variance σ_z . For a sampling frequency of 8 samples per symbol, the **maximum** quantization error is A/ 16 where A is the maximum signal amplitude. Synchronization lock is declared when the error is less **than** the maximum quantization error, **A/** 16. Therefore the acquisition probability can **be** written as
$$
P(\text{Acquire}) = P(|z| \le A/16) \tag{5.13}
$$

In other words, the probability of no acquisition is

$$
P(\text{No Acqui.}) = P(|z| > A/16)
$$
 (5.14)

Use of the complementary error function defined in **1381,** the above equation becomes

$$
P(\text{No Acqui.}) = 2Q\left(\frac{A}{16\sigma_z}\right) \tag{5.15}
$$

where $\sigma_z^2 = \sigma_x^2/N$ as defined before. Use of the definition of SNR given in (4.32), equation (5.15) becomes

$$
P(\text{No Acqui.}) = 2Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{A^2}{16^2 \times \sigma_x^2/N}}\right)
$$

=
$$
2Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{2 \times N \times \text{SNR}}{16^2}}\right).
$$
 (5.16)

If the required probability of acquisition is 0.9999, that is the probability of no-acquisition is 10^{-4} , then

$$
\frac{2 \times N \times \text{SNR}}{16^2} = 7.5
$$
 (5.17)

and with the operating **SNR** 10 **dB**

$$
N = \frac{7.5 \times 16^2}{10 \times 2} = 96. \tag{5.18}
$$

Therefore, if the operating SNR is 10 dB, $N \approx 100$ is needed for the estimator to give a proper amplitude estimation with probability of 0.9999. It is worthwhile to indicate that the estimated parameter is signal amplitudes here, a relatively short estimating sequence is required in order to reach a **high** acquisition probability. For instance, for the **EPM dis**cussed in Chapter 4, where the estimated parameter is the **BER,** a much longer estimating sequence, $N > 1000$, is required even for a lower acquisition probability of 0.5.

The above discussion assumes the estimate is used to correct the timing error without **any** distortion. Under such an assumption, a zero **mean** Gaussian probability distribution with variance σ_z is obtained from the estimate. The estimated signal amplitude is going to be transrnitted to the ground **temiinal** through the satellite's downlink digital channel, the signal must **be** quantized and digitally encoded since digital implementation is considered in this thesis. The number of quantization levels required depends on the requirement of accuracy. An arbitrary signal amplitude a is used to show the peak point of the pdf in Fig. 5.1. The acquisition probability with quantization distortion **is** studied below.

From (5.9), the amplitude estimate is obtained. The quantized estimate, v_q , has a discrete probability distribution over all possible quantization levels. Assume b bits are used to represent one quantization level when there are $M = 2^b$ signal levels. Let l_k be the k-th quantization level ($k = 1, 2, \dots, M$). The probability that the signal is quantized to l_k is

$$
p(l_k) = P(l_k - q \le v < l_k + q)
$$

= $P(l_{k-1} + q \le v < l_k + q)$. (5.19)

In equation (5.19) q is the maximum quantization distortion. Assume the signal to be quantized is between 0 and A ($A = 1$ in Fig. 5.1) where q is defined as

$$
q = \frac{A}{2M} \tag{5.20}
$$

and M is as defined as before. The **q** quantization levels fa11 on the following values

$$
l_k = (2k - 1) \times q \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots, M. \tag{5.21}
$$

Now let $l_k = a_q$ be the nearest quantization level to a, the mean of the estimate in (5.9), and $Q_u(\cdot)$ be a quantization function as

$$
Q_u(\widehat{a}) = a_q \qquad a_q - q \le \widehat{a} < a_q + q \tag{5.22}
$$

where q is the maximum quantization error. **Then** the probability of acquisition becomes

Figure 5.2: Probability of Acquisition with Different Signai Amplitude

$$
P(\text{Acquire}) = P(Q_u(\hat{a}) = a_q) = \int_{a_q - q}^{a_q + q} f(x) dx \tag{5.23}
$$

where $f(x)$ follows Gaussian distribution with mean a and variance σ_z^2 . If $a = a_q$, (5.23) is equivalent to (5.13). Generally speaking $a \neq a_q$ and then the probability of no acquisition is given by

$$
P(\text{No Acqui}) = Q\left(\frac{|a - a_q - q|}{\sigma_z}\right) + Q\left(\frac{|a - a_q + q|}{\sigma_z}\right) \tag{5.24}
$$

if the only distortion is due to quantization. Therefore, the probability of acquisition is smaller than the result from (5.13). For the worst case, the timing offset is right at the center of two quantization levels. Then the probability of acquiring **the** correct timing is, under the best circumstances, **0.5.** The acquisition probability venus **true** signal amplitude is given in Fig. 5.2. **The** estimation **length** is 100, **number** of samples per symbol is 8, and the operating *SNR* is 10 **dB** in this plot.

When the timing offset is very large $(\tau = T/2)$ or very small $(\tau = 0)$, quantization of

the estimated signal amplitude results in overload distortion due to confined usable signal range. With $\tau = T/2$, using (5.5), one get an estimate \hat{a} with a zero mean Gaussian distribution. This signal wiil **be** quantized to the lowest level *lo* **with** probability

$$
P(Q_{\mu}(\hat{a}) = l_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{l_0 + q} f(x) dx.
$$
 (5.25)

This probability is larger **than**

$$
P(Q_u(\hat{a}) = l_0) = \int_{l_0 - q}^{l_0 + q} f(x) dx.
$$
 (5.26)

The effect of overload distortion is further illustrated later with figures.

Now the discussion is moved to the initiative of **this** study, the timing offset estimate. **Because** each quantization level corresponds to a timing offset from the signal amplitude vs. timing offset relationship given in Equation (5.1) for a given SNR₀ (or A) with a properly selected probing sequence, the probability for each quantization level is also **the** probability that the estimated timing offset is T_k . From above discussion, it is clear that if the distortion from quantization and overload is **not** considered, **the** probability of acquiring timing is independent of the amount of timing offset. When quantization and overload are included, the probability of acquiring timing becornes dependent of **the** amount of timing offset. Then (5.24) and (5.25) are more suitable representation of the true situation. Therefore the study will be concentrated on the discrete probability distribution $p(l_k)$ which serves following two purposes:

1. To find the average time delay estimate, which is defined as the mean of ail the possible estimates,

$$
\overline{T}_e = \sum_k T_e(k) p(l_k). \tag{5.27}
$$

2. To provide the probability of a specific signal being quantized to the correct level. That is, the signal $v = a + z$ is quantized to the level corresponding to a.

SNR (dB) Sequence Length Max. Ampl. Real Ampl.		
100.	07	

Table 5.2: Parameters used in Fig. 5.3

In the rest of this subsection, the effect of quantization and overload due to the signal exceeding the quantization range is studied in detail by using a 4 -bit/16-level quantization system to process the estimated signal amplitude. The typical pdf of a quantized signal amplitude is plotted in Fig. 5.3. The original continuous probability distribution was given in Fig. 5.1. The peak probability in the figure is 0.5 18. The parameters used in this plot are given in Table 5.2. The sequence length is the number of symbols used to **perform** the estimation. The reai amplitude in the table is less **than** the **maximum** due to the timing offset and the additive noise.

Pdfs with the same timing offset but different *SNRs* are plotted in Fig. 5.4 for various noise levels **(SNRs). The pdf** becomes more condensed to its mean as the *SMt* increases. The trend shows the improvement of the estimation process because the chance of making a correct estimate increases as the *SNR* increases. At lower **SNR,** the uncertainty of the estimate is large because of the wider distribution of the possible estimates.

Different signal amplitudes within one quantization step are the result of different timing offsets and lead to slightly different shapes for the pdfs. In Fig. 5.5, when $a = l_i$ *(a* = *0.71875* in the figure falls right on **one** of the quantization levels), there is no quantization distortion for this level and the pdf is symmetrical about a. Usually, $a \neq l_k$ ($k =$ $1, 2, \dots, M$) and the discrete pdf is skewed. The peak of the pdf is close to the true value which is going to be estimated. When $a = l_i + q$, it is quantized to level l_i and l_{i+1} with equal probability. Therefore, the resulting pdf is symmetrical relative to $l_i + q$ as shown in Fig. 5.6.

It is also observed that at the end points, the probability **distribution** is highly skewed

Figure 5.3: PDF of a Quantized Signal

Figure 5.4: PDF of Quantized Signals for Various Noise Levels

Figure 5.5: PDF of Various *a* within one Quantization Step

Figure 5.6: PDF of Quantized Signal when $a = l_i + q$

Figure 5.7: **PDF** when a is at the lowest Quantization Level

because of the overload distortion in the quantization process. The overload distortion increases the probability of the signal being quantized to the correct level since the overloaded signais are quantized to the **first** available quantization level, which, in this case, happens to be the desired one. The situation for a close to zero is plotted in Fig. 5.7. In this figure, the signal level equals the lowest quantization level but the plot is not symmetricai as in the previous discussion. Furthemore, the end point gives an increased probability of the correct estimation. This is shown in Fig. 5.8. In this figure, $a = 0.01$, which is lower than the smallest quantization level. This overload effect dirninishes as a moves to **the** center, *i.e.* $a \approx A/2$, or when the SNR is large. In the later case, the overload distortion is small because the estimate **has** a smaller variance.

The nurnber of quantization levels also **has an** effect on the estimation process. This **is** examined next. When the number of quantization levels increases, the probability for one specific level usuaily decreases as compared to a case which has less quantization levels. A larger number of levels provides a better time resolution. Therefore, a trade-off must **be** made between the consistency **(higher** probability) and the accuracy (finer resolution).

Figure 5.8: PDF when a is less **than** the lowest Quantization Level

The pdf for 64-level quantization is given in Fig. 5.9. In the figure. two different **SNRs** (10 **dB and** O dB) are used. Again, an improved estimate is noticed as the result of higher **SNR.** The pdf of the 64-level quantizer is compared with the 16-level one in Fig. 5.10 for **SNR** = O **dB. A** more gradua] **change** is obvious for 64-level quantization. It is also true that the probability that the estimate fails into the closest quantization is smaller for 64-level quantization as indicated previously.

As noted in the beginning of this subsection, an estimate made from a longer sequence increases the effective *SM.* **This** conclusion holds for the quantized case as **shown** in Fig. 5.1 1 where different lengths of the estimate sequence are used.

5.1.2.4 Performance of Timing Estimate

The statistical performance of the method of amplitude estimation is studied in this subsection. First, the mean of the time delay estimate is evaluated. Let T_e be the timing offset that corresponds to a and $T_e(k)$ the timing offset derived from level l_k in the quantization process, as defined in equation (5.21). The residual timing offset after a correction **based**

Figure 5.10: Comparison of 64-level and 16-level Quantization

Figure 5.11: Longer sequence improves Estimation

on $T_e(k)$ is defined as

$$
T_r(k) = T_e - T_e(k). \tag{5.28}
$$

If $T_e(k) = T_e$, the estimate matches the true value and the residual is zero. Since $T_e(k)$ is a random variable with the same pdf of l_k , the average residual timing offset is obtained from averaging **the** residual offset **by its pdf** using (5.27) to give

$$
\overline{T}_r = \sum_k T_r(k)p(l_k) = T_e - \sum_k T_e(k)p(l_k) = T_e - \overline{T}_e.
$$
\n(5.29)

The second term at the **right hand** side of the equation **is** the averaged estimate of **the** timing offset. When $a = l_i$, the signal amplitude is one of the quantization levels, $T_e(k)|_{k=i} = T_e$, the pdf of $T_e(k)$ is symmetrical to l_i . Therefore

$$
p(l_{i+j}) = p(l_{i-j}) \qquad i+j \le M \quad \text{and} \quad i > j \tag{5.30}
$$

and

$$
\overline{T}_e = \sum_k T_e(k) p(l_k) = T_e \tag{5.31}
$$

which gives

$$
\overline{T}_r = \sum_k T_r(k) p(l_k) = 0. \tag{5.32}
$$

If $a \neq l_i$

$$
\sum_{k} T_e(k) p(l_k) \neq T_e \tag{5.33}
$$

because the pdf is non-symrnetrical relative to *Te.* Therefore

$$
\overline{T}_r \neq 0. \tag{5.34}
$$

This conclusion is further justified as follows. When there is no noise, the signal will be quantized to one specific level with probability 1. If the signal level does not match a specific quantization level **exactiy,** there is a **very** srnall residual error. The amplitude of this error depends on **the** true signal level but will not be larger **than** q, the maximum quantization distortion. Since the noise is zero **mean,** if the quantization causes an error, one **can** expect the mean timing estimate error is zero. Otherwise, the residual error **has** a non zero mean. When $T_r \neq 0$, the estimate is biased. Because the amount of the bias is within the maximum quantization distortion, the estimate is considered as unbiased in the following discussion. The two sets of numerical results given in Table 5.3 and Table 5.4 are used to justify the above conclusion. Table 5.3 shows the case of $\overline{T}_e \neq T_e$. For lower SNR, since **the** environmental noise is more dominant than the quantization noise, the mean timing error is close to its true value. On the other hand, as SNR increases, the quantization distortion becomes dominant and the estimate has a clear bias. In the calculation, $n = 4$ bits is used to represent 16 quantization Ievels and the signai amplitude **A** is norrnalized to 1. The detected signal amplitude a is set to 0.7 and 0.71875 to represent $a \neq l_i$ and $a = l_i$ (at the quantization level) cases, respectively. In these two tables, column 2 is the normalized average estimate of the timing offset and colurnn 3 is the probabilities of the signal being quantized to level $T_i = 0.1406$, which is the closest quantization level to the true signai amplitude. The amount of the **timing** offset is given in the respective captions.

In Table 5.3, $\overline{T}_e \neq T_e$ for SNR $\neq 0$ dB. As indicated before, when noise is not included, the signal is quantized to a specific level with probability one. The estimates in this table are biased. The arnount of bias depends on the true value of the signal amplitude and the maximum is q for the amplitude estimate, where q is one half of the quantization level. In Table 5.4, the averaged estimate is always the **tme** value because this true timing offset is **one** of **the** quantization levels. From these tables. it is found that the estimate method is consistent when $SNR \geq 10$ dB.

SNR	\overline{T}_e/T	Prob $(T_i = 0.1406)$
0	0.15	0.33
5	0.14997	0.52
10	0.1492	0.7
15	0.1456	0.84
∞	0.1406	

Table 5.3: Average Timing Offset Estimate for $T_e = 0.15T$

Next, the probability of the signal being quantized to the level, to which a noiseless signal would be quantized, is studied. Assume $v = (a + z_n)$ is quantized to level l_i with probability $p(l_i)$. The probability is the sum of a continuous pdf for all the signals within the interval $[i - q, i + q]$. Such a probability can be obtained from the pdf for this sum. The amplitude interval is used to determine the interval of the timing offset estimate with

SNR	\overline{T}_e/T	Prob $(T_i = 0.1406)$
O	0.1406	0.34
10	0.1406	0.84
∞	0.1406	

Table 5.4: Average Timing Offset Estimate for $T_e = 0.1406T$

the help of Equation (5.1). The corresponding time interval is $[t_{lo}, t_{up}]$. The upper and the lower points t_{up} and t_{lo} are given by

$$
t_{up} = \frac{(1 - \frac{l_i - q}{A})T}{2}
$$

\n
$$
t_{lo} = \frac{(1 - \frac{l_i + q}{2})T}{2}.
$$
\n(5.35)

For different levels of noise, the probability $p(l_i)$ is different, but the interval is fixed as shown in Fig. 5.4. It is worthwhile to note that for the different signal amplitudes, a , the level it is quantized to is different. In the next section, the performance of this estimation method is compared with the estimation method **using** BER as an offset indicator **(EPM)** described in section 4.3 and 4.4 for a given a and **fixed** noise signal power.

5.1.3 Cornparison with Error Probability Method

Two delay estimation methods: using BER as the error measurement, narned **EPM** in Chapter 4, and using the detected signal amplitude as the error measurement, named DSAM, **which** was discussed in the previous sections of this chapter, are compared herein for estimation accuracy and the average residual error. The operating **SNR** and probing sequence length are specified in the discussion.

With the operating *SM,* the probing sequence **length** and a detected signal amplitude at the output of the processor prescribed, the probability that the signal amplitude is estimated correctly can be computed using the DSAM. The estimation accuracy is defined in terms of the probability of making a correct estimate for the range of signals being considered to obtain such a probability. **With** this probability determined, the **EPM** needs Further calculation to obtain the range of the bit error probability estimates from where the specific probability is achieved.

The procedures noted above are illustrated in this **section.** Numerical results will **be** given. The pdf calculated in **the** last section provides the probability that an estimate falls in a range of $[i - q, i + q]$ for a given signal amplitude a, operating SNR, and the number of quantization levels. The signai amplitude and timing offset are related by

$$
t = \frac{(1-a)T}{2}.
$$
 (5.36)

Equation (5.36) is the inverse function of Equation (5.1), in which $\lambda = t/T$. Therefore, once the signal amplitude is estimated, giving \hat{a} , the timing offset estimate can be readily computed from (5.36). The **DSAM** gives the probability of the signal levels falling within one specific quantization range. Therefore, the estimate of the timing falls in a range governed by (5.35). As an example, assume the timing offset $T_e = 0.15T$. Then $\lambda = T_e/T = 0.15$ and from (5.1) the detected signal amplitude is $a = 0.7A$. Such an a is quantized to $l_i = 0.71875$ using a 4-bit/16-level quantizer which is needed for downlink digital transmission, which in **turn** gives a timing offset estimate of 0.140625 if the decision is correct. The tme value is 0.15. The associated maximum quantization distortion determines the range of the estimate using (5.35) . This range is $[0.125, 0.15625]$.

Now, consider bit error probability method presented in Chapter 4. The bit error probability is also estimated using **MLE** and the variance of this estimate has a lower bound **CRB** = $\sigma_{\hat{p}}^2$. The timing interval using the EPM is calculated using the procedure given below.

- 1. Form a Gaussian random variable $p_n = (\hat{p} p)/\sigma_{\hat{p}}$. Where \hat{p} is the estimate of p, the bit error probability. $\sigma_{\hat{p}}^2 = p(1-p)/N = \text{CRB}$. The probability distribution of \hat{p} is approximately Gaussian when the number used for the estimation is larger than 50 [77]. The random variable p_n has zero mean and variance of 1.
- 2. For a given bit error probability, the integration interval $[-u_{\alpha/2}, u_{\alpha/2}]$ is obtained by solving the equation

$$
p(l_i) = P(|p_n| < u_{\alpha/2}) = \int_{-u_{\alpha/2}}^{u_{\alpha/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx \tag{5.37}
$$

- \hat{p} is in a range of $\hat{p} = p \pm u_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\hat{p}}$. Two conditions $p + u_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\hat{p}} \le 0.5$ and $p \ge u_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\hat{p}}$ must be met since $0 \le p \le 0.5$. Those probabilities that do not meet the two conditions are discarded from the discussion.
- Step 3 gives the **upper** and the lower lirnits of the error probability. The signal levels (the effective SNR) *cm* **be** found from **these** enor probabilities using

$$
a_{low} = Q^{-1}(\hat{p} + \sigma_{\hat{p}} u_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \sigma_x
$$

\n
$$
a_{high} = Q^{-1}(\hat{p} - \sigma_{\hat{p}} u_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \sigma_x
$$
\n(5.38)

where σ_x^2 is defined as the variance (power) of the noise signal.

From the signal levels, the timing offset *cm* **be** calculated as before using (5.35).

Numerical results for both methods are given in Table 5.5. The ultimate goal of showing these tables is to determine which method is a more suitable one for the delay estimate for the on-board processing satellite system. Table 5.5 (b) is solely used for the EPM. Two probabilities, $P(l_i) = 0.33$ for $SNR = 0$ dB and $P(l_i) = 0.7$ for $SNR = 10$ dB from the DSAM are used with Eqn. (5.37) to compute the \hat{p} in Table 5.5 (b). The values in the tables are obtained as follows. The SNR is the designed signal-to-noise ratio. α is the measured signal amplitude while assuming signal amplitude is normalized to $A = 1$ when timing is correct. p is the bit error probability corresponding to the signal amplitude q in an AWGN environment, where the noise level is determined by the **SNR.** The estimation is obtained from a 100-symbol probing sequence. **The** number of quantization levels are sixteen. The error probability p and the \sqrt{CRB} are not used by the DSAM in Table 5.5 (a) but are used by the EPM in Table 5.5 (b). The \hat{p} range is determined using Equation (5.37). The range of the signal amplitude α is then obtained using the equations in (5.38). The criterion of picking up probabilities stated above (Item 3 of timing interval computation procedure on Page 102) is used to discard unsuitable results. These discarded results are listed as *not applicable (N/A) in the* table.

Cb)

Table **5.5:** Numerical Results for **EPM** and DSAM

For $SNR = 0$ dB, the estimated timing offset is not as good as using the DSAM with such a short estimating sequence. For **SNR** = 10 dB, the **EPM can** not be used for a short sequence of 100 symbols but DSAM is useful at this SNR with an even better estimate variance.

Additionai tables for the different **SNRs** are provided in Table **5.6** and Table **5.7** for further comparison of the two methods. The bit error probabilities after the timing is realigned according to the correct delay estimate are given under **entry** p. The two methods are compared for a given **SNR** with a fixed number of symbols used for the estimation. The averaged bit error probability column \bar{p} is designed to show the average performance difference between the two methods. This average \bar{p} is defined as

$$
\overline{p} = \sum_{i} p_i p(i). \tag{5.39}
$$

In (5.39) , p_i is the bit error probability when the timing is corrected using the estimate on state *i.* $p(i)$ is the probability that estimate falls on the state *i*. This is $p(l_i)$ for the DSAM. For the EPM, the binomial distribution is used. Note here, if p is the designed error probability, the timing offset is assumed zero for **those** estimate that is less **than** p using the **EPM.** It is **clear** that DSAM **has** a **better** average bit error probability performance. The

Method	$\vert max\ errorvert(T) \vert$	N		prob	
EPM	0.0213		100 0.07931 0.33 0.1247		
DSAM	0.015625	100	$\vert 0.08261 \vert 0.33 \vert$		0.0921

Table 5.6: Comparison of **EPM** and DSAM (SNR = O dB)

prob entry corresponds to $p(l_i)$, where l_i is the correctly quantized signal level.

Table **5.7:** Comparison of **EPM** and **DSAM (SNR** = **10** dB)

Since the bit error probability method has a much fine time resolution with a relatively small probability on each step, to compare the best correction, the EPM is better **than DSAM.** The DSAM is better than the EPM in the sense of the averaged bit error probability using a short sequence. There is another limitation of EPM. When the observed \hat{p} is less **than** the designed best p, one **cm** not tell if the **timing** offset exists. In such a case, one must assume that the timing is correct and take the current delay estimate. This may lead to a large bit error probability on the next transmission.

The average bit error probability vs. length of probing sequence is given in Fig. 5.12 for the DSAM. **As** expected, an increased number of symbols in the probing sequence leads to a better bit error performance. In the plot, a time offset of $0.15T$ and $0.140625T$ (a special case) is used for the illustration. The second value corresponds to an amplitude quantization level $l_i = 0.78175$. From the plot, the average bit error probability tends to flatten when the number of probing symbols increases. **When the offset** is **0.15T,** which represents a **normal** situztion **(i.e,** the signal level is not **exactly** at one quantization level), the curve (dashed line) tends to fiatten sooner **than** the special **case** given in the plot (offset=0.140625T).

Figure 5.12: Bit error probability vs. Number of symbols (SNR = O **dB)**

Therefore, for the special case, more improvement can be expected.

The BER improvement is plotted in Fig. 5.13. In the figure, a fixed time offset of **0.15T** is used for the outer curve. The **SNR** penalty is the result of the incorrect time delay estimate. By correcting this timing offset, a BER curve very close to perfect detection is obtained. An SNR penalty of less than 0.5 dB is observed for the averaged BER in the 10^{-3} region. In this figure. perfect carrier recovery is assumed and the only factor that causes the BER degradation is the timing error.

It is concluded here that for a short probing sequence, the **EPM** has a large variance. This large variance implies that each estimate is taken from a wide range of values and therefore, the resulting delay estimate is not consistent. On **the** other hand, though the DSAM **has** a residual estimate bias, it **is** consistent and the bias is very small. **As** long as the residual error is small *(i.e.* maximum quantization distortion **q** is small), the overall performance **will** be better than the **EPM.**

Figure 5.13: **BER** vs. **SNR**

5.2 **Carrier Synchronization**

5.2.1 Carrier Synchronization Consideration

Since the symbol timing must be recovered before the signal phase is recovered, it is desired to remove the phase term while preserving the magnitude information, As such, noncoherent propagation delay estimation will be considered. **The** magnitude of the uplink signal contains the timing offset information. A simple square-then-summation of the **QPSK** in-phase **(I)** and quadrature (Q) components removes the phase information. Let **^x** and y represent the output of the 1 and the Q channels **and** the magnitude represented by r is given as

$$
r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.
$$
 (5.40)

This magnitude **has** a Rician **pdf** when both the **I** and the Q channels are compted **by the** additive Gaussian noise. If the noise power on each channel is σ^2 and the signal amplitude is a, then the Rician random variablehas α and σ as parameters and can be written as

$$
p_R(r) = \frac{r}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + a^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) I_0(\frac{ra}{\sigma^2}) \qquad 0 < r < \infty \tag{5.41}
$$

If the estimate is made from only the magnitude in **(5.40),** the **Rician** distribution instead of the normal distribution treated earlier rnust **be** considered- The **MILE** discussed in section **5.1.2** can not **be** applied to the **pdf** in (5.41).

5.2.2 Modifiecl Estimation Procedure

From **(4.26),** the output **has** two orthogonal components. **When** noise is considered, **the** output **is**

$$
r = a\cos\left(\phi_k + \alpha_{k,m}\right) + j\sin\left(\phi_k + \alpha_{k,m}\right) + n_c + j\,_n. \tag{5.42}
$$

 ϕ_k and $\alpha_{k,m}$ are constant for the discussion. The real and imaginary parts are Gaussian random variables because n_c and n_s are Gaussian. Therefore, MLE can be applied to these two parts separately. Let \hat{x} and \hat{y} represent the two ML estimates from the two channels where x_i and y_i are samples from the two orthogonal channels such that

$$
x_i = a \cos(\phi_k + \alpha_{k,m}) + n_c \tag{5.43}
$$

$$
y_i = a \sin(\phi_k + \alpha_{k,m}) + n_s \tag{5.44}
$$

and $r = x_i + jy_i$. Then the estimates are given as

$$
\widehat{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} x_i \tag{5.45}
$$

$$
\widehat{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} y_i \tag{5.46}
$$

and the means of **the** estirnates are

$$
E[\widehat{x}] = a \cos(\phi_k + \alpha_{k,m}) \tag{5.47}
$$

$$
E[\hat{y}] = a \sin(\phi_k + \alpha_{k,m}). \qquad (5.48)
$$

Also, the variances are

$$
Var[\hat{x}] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}
$$
 (5.49)

$$
Var[\hat{y}] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \tag{5.50}
$$

where *n* samples are used to obtain the estimates. The estimates \hat{x} and \hat{y} are unbiased and efficient as stated in (5.7). The magnitude of this complex estimate $(\hat{x} + j\hat{y})$ is

$$
\widehat{r} = \sqrt{\widehat{x}^2 + \widehat{y}^2}.\tag{5.51}
$$

When \hat{x} and \hat{y} are close to their means, the estimated MCD output magnitude is close to its true value. The unknown phase information is removed completely when \hat{x} and \hat{y} reach their respective means.

Since \hat{x} and \hat{y} are Gaussian random variablescharacterized by their means and variances, the random variable $\hat{r} = \sqrt{\hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2}$ still obeys the Rician distribution.

It is known that when $a \gg \sigma$ in (5.41), the Rician pdf can be approximated by the Gaussian distribution [57]. This conclusion is clearly shown in Fig. 5.14 and Fig. 5.15. In Fig. 5.14, the dashed line shows a Rician **pdf** and **the** solid line a Gaussian pdf. The difference between the two curves, though not very large, is easily noticeable. In Fig. **5.15,** since the random variable values concentrate around a , those two distributions are virtually **ⁱ**den **tical.**

The approximate pdf is obtained as follows. From (4.8), using the expansion of 0th order Bessel function $I_0(z)$ for $z \gg 1$ [77]

$$
I_0(z) = \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{8z} + \frac{9}{128z^3} + \dots + \right) \approx \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}
$$
(5.52)

Figure 5.14: Fücian and Gaussian Distribution

substitute ra/σ^2 for z in (5.52) and expand (4.8), then the probability distribution becomes

$$
p_R(r) = \frac{r}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + a^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \frac{e^{ra/\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi r a/\sigma^2}} \qquad 0 < r < \infty. \tag{5.53}
$$

the two exponential terms can **be** merged to one, then by rearranging the variables in the above equation an approximate pdf is obtained in (5.54)

$$
p_R(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(r-a)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{r}{a}}
$$
(5.54)

The approximate is correct because after estimation, $a \gg \sigma$ and σ is small. For any $r \neq a$ the exponential term goes to zero quickly and for those $r \approx a$, $\sqrt{r/a} \approx 1$. Therefore $p_R(r)$ is approximately Gaussian.

5.2.3 Resuiting Estimates

The fact that the **Rician** pdfs can **be** approximated by Gaussian pdfs **when** a is much larger than σ makes it possible to use conclusions obtained in section 5.1 where the possibility of obtaining estimates for the Gaussian random variablesare discussed. In this section it is

Figure 5.15: Rician and Gaussian Distribution for $a \gg \sigma$

shown that these results are applicable to the estimates formed from the *I* and Q channel estimates.

As mentioned in subsection **5.1.2,** the variances of the estimates are **N** times smaller than that of the variances before averaging. Since the means of the estimates are the same as the original random variables, the new random variablederived from *I* and Q channel estimates through $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ can be approximated by a Gaussian pdf for a sufficiently **large N.**

By applying different a/σ to both Rician and Gaussian pdfs and checking the plots visually, it is found that when $a/\sigma > 10$, both pdfs become identical. Fig. 5.15 shows this point clearly (where $a = 10\sigma$).

This criterion can be extended to the range $a/\sigma > 1.3$ when quantization is used after **the** estimation process (this is the case in the implementation studied in Chapter 6). Al1 the previous conclusions hold. Namely, the estimates **can** be obtained with **LOO** probing symbols. the estimates **has** a mean linearly related with the timing error for non-windowed inputs and there is a lower bound for the variances of the estimates. This conclusion is demonstrated through computer simulations in Chapter 6. Here the probabilities of making the estimation are compared with the previous Gaussian amplitude case. The probability of **making** an estimate within one quantization step is

$$
P = \int_{l_i - q}^{l_i + q} p_R(r) dr \tag{5.55}
$$

where l_i is the *i*th quantization level and the step size is 2q. For $a = 0$, which corresponds to a timing offset of one half of the symbol length, $p_R(r)$ is the Rayleigh pdf. For $a \neq 0$, *pR(r)* is the Rician **pdf.**

When the Rayleigh pdf is considered, (5.55) becomes

$$
P = \int_0^{2q} \frac{r}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dr = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2q^2}{\sigma^2}\right). \tag{5.56}
$$

It is worthwhile to indicate that σ^2 would be replaced by σ^2/N if the discussion is on the estimate made from **N** sarnples. When 16 quantization levels **are** used, the step size is $2q = 1/16$. Here q is normalized with respect to the symbol length T. The estimate is made from a 100 symbol probing sequence. For $E_b/N_0 = 10$ dB, the probability is 0.98. That is in one hundred transmissions, ninety eight of them **is** expected to fail in the region of zero to **2q.** This result is very close to the one given in Fig. 5.8 where the sarne **SNR** and estimate sequence length are used. For $a \neq 0$ and assuming σ is small, then the Gaussian approximation **can** be used to obtain the acquisition probability, which is

$$
P = \int_{l_i - q}^{l_i + q} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(r - a)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dr \tag{5.57}
$$

where the subscript *i* depends on the signal value of *a*. This probability can be easily computed using numerical integration if a and σ are available. Equation (5.57) can be written as

$$
P = p(l_i) = Q(\frac{l_i - q - a}{\sigma}) - Q(\frac{l_i + q - a}{\sigma}).
$$
\n(5.58)

For example, if use $E_b/N_0 = 10$ dB, with $a = 0.7A$ and a 4-bit quantizer used, $q = 0.03125$ and the normalized quantization level corresponds to the a is $l_i = 0.71875$. From Eqn. **(5.58),** the probability is approximately 0.7, **which** matches the probability for **SNR** = 10 **dB** given in Fig. 5.4.

5.3 Selected Estimate Method

From above discussions, it is concluded that the preferred estimation method is given in section **5.2.2;** that is, detection amplitude estimation with non-coherent combining and quantization for downlink **TDM** transmission. The system simulation in the next chapter will be **based** on this estimation **algorithm** plus **al1** the supporting functions necessary in a practical communication system.

The deiay **estimate** through BER monitoring had ken simulated in Chapter 4. This method provides an alternative to the amplitude estimate method. The BER **method** is useful if the probing signal power is restricted to a very low level or when **SNR is** much lower **than** the normal operation value.

In the next chapter, a cornplete satellite system, with **the** delay estimation dgonthm discussed above embedded in it, is simulated. The results are compared **with** those from this chapter.

Chapter 6

Simulation

In this chapter, the simulation of a two-way Iink **between** a terminal and a processing satellite communication system is presented. The simulated system is an FDMA/TDM system with possible addition of **TDMA** to the uplink. The delay estimation aigorithm described in section **5.2.2** on page 108 of Chapter **5** is evaluated in the simulation. The implementation of each part of the system is explained **and** a complete system simulation is then performed. Interactions between the satellite and a ground terminal are considered, and whenever possible, simulation results are compared with their theoretical counterparts from Chapter 5. However, in this chapter the performance of the uplink propagation delay estimation **al**gorithm is evaluated in a closed-loop system involving a ground-bound terminal and a processing satellite.

6.1 System Configuration

A block **diagram** for a computer simulation mode1 which is developed in this chapter is shown in Fig. 6.1. Instead of going directly into the description of individuai subsystems in **Fig.** 6.1, it is better to start with an overall system description.

Any communication system consists of a transmitter and a receiver. The former con-

Figure 6.1: The Complete Simulation Model

tains a signal source, a modulator and associated control functions while the latter contains a demodulator, a signal sink and necessary controlling functions. The simulation mode1 presented here is designed to simulate the process of an user accessing the system resources in order to communicate with other users in the system. The modei includes three basic parts, the processing satellite, a ground terminai, and downlink and uplink channels. **The** satellite transrnitter and the ground terminal receiver fom the satellite downlink while the ground terminai transmitter **and** the satellite receiver form the satellite uplink. On-board amplitude estimation is modelled in the system simulation and the propagation delay estimation algorithm presented in section 5.3 of the last chapter is embedded in this system. A detailed initialization procedure which allows **an** user to access the system will **be** given in section **6.4.1.1.**

Some of the subsystems, such as the maximum length pseudo random sequence generator used as signal source **1221,** the timing recovery function **[29],** the decision-directed discrete **PLL** @D-DPLL) **[37],** and the raised-cosine (RC) pulse shaping filter **[72]** in Fig. 6.1 are well known from the literature. For consistency, however, al1 the functions shown in Fig. 6.1 are briefly described below. The description is grouped into the ground terminals, the satellite payload, and the common functions. Here a common function means that those functions appear in both the ground terminal side and the satellite side. The block diagram for the system to be studied is given in Fig. 6.1.

- 1. The ground terrninals:
	- Delay compensation (delay comp. in Fig. 6.1): this function compensates the uplink propagation delay by adjusting the uplink clock phase. It is controlled by the information obtained fiom the delay estimation subsystem.
	- Modulator: this modulation function converts the bit stream of the signal source to a complex lowpass equivalent modulated signal. Pulse shaping is performed

	Frame $(15-bit)$ Information block Header $(24$ uplink channels in sequence)
--	---

Figure 6.2: Illustration of Frame Header in a TDM Frame

here if such a function is required. **QPSK** modulation **with** differential encoding is used in the simulation.

- **D/A:** this function decodes the delay information estimated on-board the satellite and converts the digits to analog values and **the** information is sent to the delay compensation block. When in communications mode, the differentially decoded signals are sent to BER tests instead of the DIA block.
- Frame sync: this function detects frame header in the downlink bit stream. A 15-bit frame header is used in the simulation. Each downlink TDM frame contains a header and an information **block** as shown in Fig. *6.2.*
- **0** Timing recovery: this function recovers the timing boundary of each symbol from the incoming downlink signal. The timing error detection algorithm is taken from **[29].**
- Phase rotator: this function simulates the errors made in the down conversion of the carrier frequency of the downlink signal. Phases of the incorning signal and the local oscillator are generally not coherent and therefore, the output of the phase rotator contains **both** modulation and an unknown phase offset.
- 2. The satellite payload:
	- MCD (multicarrier demodulator): this is implemented using the characteristics of SAW devices. The chirp Fourier transform **derîved** in **[34]** is simulated using the **MCM** configuration shown in Fig. 3.3. The windowing function, denoted by "win" in the figure, is required to reduce the sidelobe amplitude of each FDMA

channel mapped to a time sequence [35].

- Estirnator: **this** function estimates **the** uplink signai amplitude. The transmitter timing recovery depends on the information from this estimator.
- **0 AD:** this function encodes the estimated signal amplitudes to a digital fom. When on-board estimation is used, the estimated signal amplitude is quantized using linear quantization for **TDM** downlink transmission.
- 3. The common functions:
	- Signal source: this function produces a pseudo random or alternating zero and one sequence. In case of a random sequence, the signal is obtained from a linear shift register with feedback. A pseudo random sequence of length is $2^{11} - 1$ is used to perform the BER test. The source on **the** satellite side is also used to provide **a** specific frarne synchronization header. The frame synchronization is necessary to delirnit the information boundary of satellite downlink data stream. More on frame synchronization is discussed later in subsection 6.3.6.
	- Noise generator: this function generates random Gaussian noise. The noise signal is added to the output of modulator to simulate the AWGN channel. The side-bar in Fig. 6.1 shows how the AWGN channel is modelled.
	- DD-DPLL: decision directed digital phase locked loop. This subsystem **tracks** the unknown carrier phase/frequency offset. Bit decisions are made in the PD after symbol timing is recovered and the bit stream is then differentially decoded. The uplink BER test is **made** after differential decoding. The output **cm** be remodulated and transmitted on the downlink but this downlink **may** not necessarily be connected to **the** transmitting ground terminal. This **DPLL** consists of three functions, which are not shown separately in **Fig.** 6.1.
		- phase detector(PD): this function detects the phase error. The function used

in the simulation is adopted from **[37]** with modification. The information symbol decision is made here.

- loop filter (LF): this is a first order IIR filter described by Gardner in his book **"Phaselock** Techniques" **[83].** By adding a pole using this filter to the loop, a second order **PLL** is obtained. It produces frequency-offset compensation in the carrier recovery process.
- **VCO:** this is modelled as an ideal integrator. It outputs a complex phase correction signal with constant magnitude.
- differential encoder/decoder: the signal is differentially encoded on the transmitter side to combat the carrier phase arnbiguity introduced **by** the **PLL** on the receiver side. The differential decoder is required in order to recover the original information on the receiver side.
- \bullet The \sqrt{RC} : this symbol means that the filter \sqrt{RC} has the square-root raised cosine finite impulse response **[72].** Raised cosine pulse-shaping is used in the downlink. The pulse shaping filter uses **the** square root of this raised cosine function and a filter matched to **the** transmitter pulse shape is used in the ground terminal receiver, which is thus also a \sqrt{RC} filter.

All above functions are integrated together to fom a closed-loop satellite communication system with an uplink and a downlink. All functions are driven by a common clock – the master clock on-board the satellite.

In the next two sections, the performance of **each** function is **examined.** In section 6.2, the delay estimate aigorithm described in the section 5.2.2 of Chapter 5, narnely the detected signal amplitude method **@SAM).** is simulated in a stand-alone program. The statistical performance of this algorithm is obtained in section 6.2. In section 6.3, al1 related functions necessary for the system simulation are separately implemented and tested. These two sections show the construction of the simulation system.

6.2 Simulation of the Delay Estimation Algorithm

The delay estimate function which is an implementation of the algorithm described in section **5.2.2** is evaluated by the characteristics of the estimation of the timing strobes or clock phases. Attempts are made to determine the probing signai length from the probability distributions and the mean square error of the estimates.

To make the **further** discussion easier to follow, the estimation method is **briefly** stated here again. Estimation is performed on in-phase and quadrature channels separately and these estimates are then squared and added to remove the unknown phase offset which presents in **the** frequency dom converted uplink signais. The combined signal is quantized in order to be transmitted on the satellite **TDM** downlink channel. The performance of this method as a subsystem **was** considered in section 5.2.3. Here its performance is examined in a full system simulation.

The pdfs of the quantized signal amplitude are discrete and for different amount of time offset, the pdfs of the magnitude estimates are different. The shape of pdfs are also affected by the number of symbols used to get estimates. Since sixteen samples are taken in one symbol period, nine steps are used in the simulation to represent a timing offset range of [O, *T/2]* because the aigorithm will **recognize** only the amount of timing offset not the polarity. The probing signal is chosen to generate a linear offset vs. amplitude output. **Such** a signal is obtained by changing the modulating signal phase by π radius every T sec.

First, **the** normal situation when the timing offset is around the center of O and *T/2* is examined. In this and the subsequent simulations, if not otherwise specified, **the** operating SNR, which determines the variance of the received signal, is 10 **dB.** The **mean** of **the** received random variables depend on the amount of the timing offset. The theoretical pdfs in those plots are obtained from Rician distribution given in (4.8) using the same SNR and mean parameters. Fig. 6.3 and Fig. 6.4 show **the** pdf results with different length of probing sequence. Instead of using 16 levels for half symbol length in Chapter 5, here nine levels

Figure **6.3:** Typical Discrete pdf of Estimates from Short Sequence (offset = **3T/16,** average over 10 symbols)

are used for **[O,** *T/2].* The time offset used in the simulation is **3T/ 16.** Though **9** signal levels are plotted in Fig. 6.3, drnost **al1** estimated signal amplitudes **fa11** on 0.5, 0.625 and 0.75. The values here are the nomalized quantization levels. A **very** smdl probability can be observed on **0.375** and **0.875** and zero probabilities are obtained for other signal levels in Fig. **6.3.** From **this** plot, even with only **10** symbols, the procedure yields an acceptable estimate of the signal amplitude. **With** 100 symbols, the correct estimate of timing **has** a probability Iarger **than 0.99.** In either **case,** the largest probability is associated with the tme signal magnitude, which is normalized to 0.625.

If the timing offset is small, the detected signal amplitude will be high since the argu**ments,** when normalized, are limited to between O and 1 and thus the discrete probabilities have a larger **portion** concentrated on the higher amplitude side. Therefore. the probabilities of **making** a correct estimate are **Iarger** for **both** short and long sequences. This point is shown in Fig. 6.5 and **Fig.** 6.6 with the timing offsets of zero.

Now consider another extreme **case.** This is when timing offset is about one-half a

Figure 6.4: Typical Discrete pdf of Estimates from Long Sequence (offset = *3T/* **16, average over 100 symbols)**

Figure 6.5: Discrete pdf of Estimates for Small Offset from a Short Sequence (offset = 0, **average over 10 symbols)**

Figure 6.6: Discrete pdf of Estimates for Small Offset from a Long Sequence (offset = 0, average over 100 symbols)

symbol length. Under such a circurnstance, the detected signal magnitude is very low and consequently the effective **SNR** is **srnall** because the noise component remains the same regardless of how the timing offset changes. Therefore, estimates from both channels have Iarger variances and smaller means. **As** illustrated in section **5.2.2,** the Rician pdf differs in shape from the Gaussian pdf in such an environment. **As** expected, the estimate process yields an incorrect signai amplitude estimate if a short probing signal is used due to the effect of the non-negative Rician pdf as shown in Fig. 6.7, where a zero amplitude **was** expected. **The** magnitude is estimated correctly if a longer sequence is used as shown Fig. 6.8, where 100 symbols are used to make one estimate.

The **above** discussion was focused on the estimated signal magnitude. To obtain **the** propagation delay information, rhis magnitude information needs to be mapped to the amount of timing offset. **The** linear relationship given in **(5. l),** where A was **1,** is given here **as** (6.1)

Figure 6.7: Discrete pdf of Amplitude Estimates for Large Offset from a Short Sequence (offset $= T/2$, average over 10 symbols)

Figure 6.8: Discrete pdf of Amplitude Estimates for Large Offset from a Long Sequence (offset = *T/2,* **average over 100 syrnbols)**

$$
a = (1 - 2\frac{T_e}{T})A\tag{6.1}
$$

and it is used to obtain the timing offset. This relationship is obtained from the MCD output with probing signal format described on page 120. Here T_e is the estimated timing offset in the delay estimation, T is the uplink symbol period and the T_e/T is the normalized timing offset. The correct estimate leads to zero residuai timing error. **The** residual **timing** error, denoted by T_r , is defined as

$$
T_r = |T_e - T_{off}|. \tag{6.2}
$$

where T_{off} is the true timing offset and T_e is the estimated timing offset as defined above. Al1 residual timing errors are assumed positive. Therefore, over corrections and under corrections are combined together if they lead to the same T_r . Different amounts of offset produce different pdfs of residuai timing error since the discrete pdfs of magnitude estimates are different in terms of correctly estimated magnitudes. This is **tme** especidly for short probing sequences as **can be** seen from Fig. 6.9. In the figure, the residuai timing offset is obtained from (6.2). T_{off} is used as the parameters given in the figure and T_e is computed from (6.1) once *a/A* is estimated. For both situations, the use of a shorter sequence and a longer sequence to probe the timing offset are examined. In Fig. 6.9, as the amount of timing offset increases, the probability of **making** incorrect estimate increases. To observe this performance compare the resulting pdf with those in Fig. 6.3 and **Fig. 6.7,** where the probabilities are given as functions of estimated signal magnitudes. It is clear that the probability of estimates with error on both sides of the correct estimate are combined in the residual **timing** error pdf. The Rician pdf is the result of the low signal magnitudes from large timing offset **and** causes a high probability of incorrect estimate and consequentiy a high residual timing offset. The situation improves in Fig. 6.10, where 100 symbols are used to obtain the timing estimate. Other parameters used for this **figure** are **the** same as in Fig. 6.9. In this figure, **regardless the** amount of offset, the delay estimate algorithm **will**

Figure 6.9: PDF of Residual Timing Errors for Estimates from a Short Sequence $(N = 10)$ produce a correct estimate with a very high probability. The difference in terms of timing estimate performance among the three timing offsets considered here is negligible.

To get a sense of how the timing estimate improves, the probability of making a correct magnitude estimate against the number of symbols used to form the estimate is plotted in Fig. 6.1 1. In this plot, the estimate is **perfonned** from al1 input symbols **and** updated as new symbol arrives at the satellite detector. This figure shows that if the probing signal length is longer **than** 100 symbols, the estimate becomes accurate and stable regardless of the size of the timing offset. Therefore, 100-symbol is suggested as the probing sequence length **and is** used in the system simulation given later in this chapter.

The suggested probing signal length of 100 symbols **can** also be justified from the mean square error **(MSE)** plot given in **Fig.** 6.12. The **MSE** in the plot is calculated using

$$
MSE = \sum_{i=0}^{L} (T_r(i))^2 p(i)
$$
 (6.3)

where $T_r(i)$ is the residual timing offset and $p(i)$ is the probability corresponding to the timing offset in pdf of the residual timing error. T_r is obtained from (6.2). The $p(i)$ is

Figure 6.10: PDF of Residual Timing Errors for Estimates from a Long Sequence $(N =$ $100)$

Figure 6.11: Effect of the Amount of Timing Offset on the Estimate

Figure **6.12:** Mean Square Error of Residual Timing Errors

determined by running the timing estimate simulation program over $N = 3000$ symbols with a fixed amount of timing offset and examining the frequency that the estimates fall on level *i*. Then divide this frequency by N to determine $p(i)$. Note that $L = 8$, is one half of the total samples per symbol. Because T_r is the error in timing offset estimation, Eqn. (6.3) gives the MSE.

The reliability of the estimation algorithm is examined by simulation where a probability of 0.90 is set as the threshold of successful estimation. If the probability of the correct estimate is less **than** the threshold, it is said that the procedure fails to produce the desired estimate. The failure point is studied as a **function** of operating **SNR** and the result is **plotted** in Fig. 6.13 with the length of probing sequence as a parameter. This plot shows the case that the timing offset is $3T/16$ where the pdf of the estimated signal magnitude approximately follows a Gaussian distribution. Again longer probing sequences produce more reliable timing estimates. From this figure, it is clear that if a 200-symbol sequence is used, the correct estirnate **can** be obtained easily even with a low *SNR* of 3 dB. **On** the other **hand,** a higher **SNR** is required for a proper estimate of **timing** offset if a shorter sequence

Figure 6.13: Probability of successful estimates (offset = *3T/* 16)

is going to be used. To compromise between estimation speed and **SNR** requirement, a 100-symbol sequence is used in later simulation results. **Such** a sequence **cm** successfully produce the desired estimate for **an SNR** as low as **5 dB** which is a lower lirnit for most satellite communication applications.

The situation of large timing offset is slightly different from the moderate timing offset case. Under the large offset condition. the detected signal amplitude is very **Iow,** therefore, a longer sequence rnight be required to combat the low effective **SNR.** In fact, a **low** power signal **may be** mandatory required for the probing signal in order to reduce the interference to other in-service channels but this restriction is not considered **here.** And again, for $SNR \geq 8$ dB, a 100-symbol probing sequence provides a satisfactory estimation probability of larger than 0.9 as shown in Fig. 6.14.

Figure 6.14: Probability of successful Estimates (offset $= T/2$)

6.3 **Implementation of the Related Functions**

In **this** section, the implementation of the functional **blocks** in Fig. 6.1 is explained. S the downlink and the uplink use different access formats. the satellite downlink and uplink may have different components in their respective transrnitters **and** receivers due to the different requirements from the different pulse shapes used for the transmitted symbols and timing recovery mechanisms used on different links.

6.3.1 Signal Source

The signal source is designed to provide an alternating zero and one sequence or a pseudo random binary sequence with different sequence **lengths.** The alternative sequence is used in the satellite downlink timing recovery. A short pseudo random sequence is used as a fime synchronization header. Use of frame header **is** illustrated in Fig. 6.2. The longer random sequence is used to **perform** the **BER** test during the system simulation.

The pseudo random sequence is obtained **from** a linear shift register with feedback.

Both short and long sequence generators are shown in Fig. 6.15 (a) and Fig. 6.15 (b) respectively. The short sequence used for **frame** synchronization has a sequence period of 15. The long sequence has a period $2^{11} - 1$.

Figure 6.15: Sequence Generators

The update mle for the short sequence is

$$
X_3 = X_3 \oplus X_0. \tag{6.4}
$$

And the long sequence is updated by

$$
X_{10} = X_9 \oplus X_0. \tag{6.5}
$$

In both equations above, \oplus is the *exclusive OR* operation. The output is taken from X_0 as shown in Fig. 6.15.

6.3.2 DD-DPLL

The complete PLL model used for computer simulation is given in Fig. 6.16. In this model. the phase detector (PD) is decision-directed, therefore the signal decision is available from the PD. The decision is used to remove the modulating information through feedback. Multiplexers **can** not perfom phase subtraction **directly.** The phase subtraction is implemented **using** complex signals through phase rotations **which** is implemented using

$$
\exp(j\phi_d) = \exp(j\phi_1) \times \exp(-j\phi_2) = \exp[j(\phi_1 - \phi_2)].
$$
 (6.6)

The phase rotation is used to remove the unknown phase offset in the input signal using the local estimate. When the estimate **is** correct, the bit decision is improved by the coherent

Figure 6.16: Block Diagram of **DPLL**

detection. The PLL is a second order loop. The loop darnping factor is set at **0.707.** The natural frequency is set at about $0.01/T$, where T is the symbol duration. This PLL is implemented in a discrete form as had been done in **[30].**

The loop filter (LF) is a first order IIR filter with transfer function

$$
H(s) = \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} + \frac{1}{s\tau_1} \tag{6.7}
$$

as suggested by Gardner in **[83].** It is converted to **the** discrete form using

$$
s = \frac{T}{1 - z^{-1}}\tag{6.8}
$$

where T is the sampling period. In the simulation it is the symbol period because the bit decision is made every symbol period. **Taking** the inverse **z-transfomi,** the time domain filter function is obtained as

$$
y(n) = \frac{(\tau_2 + T)}{\tau_1} x(n) - \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} x(n-1) + y(n-1)
$$
 (6.9)

where τ_1 and τ_2 are the time constants. The loop natural frequency is determined by τ_1 . Damping factor is a function of both τ_1 and τ_2 as given in [30]. The loop gain is set to a proper constant. The time domain loop filter structure is shown in Fig. 6.17.

The VCO **updates its output** phase **using the** information **from** the LF. **The** signal from demultiplexer is phase corrected by the estimated phase, $\hat{\phi}_k$, before a decision is made.

Figure 6.17: Structure of the Digital Loop Filter

Two phases, from before the symbol decision and after the symbol decision, are subtracted to removed the modulation and when this decision is correct, the modulation is removed completely. The resulting error signal is then passed to LF. A new estimate of the unknown phase offset in the incoming signai is then obtained at the output of VCO which follows the phase change in incoming signal. **The** transient responses to a step phase change **and** linear phase change will be studied. The steady state performance is characterized by pdf of the output phase of the VCO.

The responses to a step phase change in the input signal is plotted in Fig. 6.18. The input phase is also shown in the figure. In the figure, (a) is the phase plot of the input signal, (b) is the output phase of the VCO, (c) is the output of the phase detector, and (d) is the output of the loop filter. Input signal with phases $\pm \pi/4$ and $\pm 3\pi/4$ are considered having the zero phase offset because these phases represent modulation phases. In Fig. 6.18 **(a),** the signal phase jump is 0.05 rad and in (b), the VCO output eventually moves to its steady state of 0.05 rad. Outputs from the PD and the **LF eventuaily** go to zero. **The** output jumps of the PD and the **LF** are responses to the phase jump in the input signal.

The PLL phase synchronization process can be further depicted in a phase plot given in Fig. 6.19 where the phase of input signal changes linearly ($\Delta f T = 0.005$) and the dashed curve represents the output of the VCO. **The** changing input phase due to a frequency offset

Figure 6.18: PLL Responses to a Step Input Phase Change

Figure 6.19: Phases of Input Linear Phase Signal and VCO Output

between the input signal and the local oscillator is nicely tracked by this **PLL.** The transient process **takes** about 150 symbols. **As** mentioned before, the recovered local carrier phase may have a fixed offset from the true input signal phase as a result of PLL phase tracking. In this figure, a phase offset of $3\pi/4$ is observed. This phase ambiguity can be removed by using differential encoding in the transmitter.

From these plots, it is clear that the local signal will be locked-in **with** the input signal after about 150 symbols. In the simulation, 200 symbols are used to allow a complete carrier phase lock-in.

The **PLL** lock-in process for 2000 symbols is illastrated in Fig. 6.20. In this **figure** the sine function of the VCO output phase is plotted. Fig. 6.20 (a) is the input sine **wave.** The input signal represents a constant **frequency** offset between the carrier of the incorning signal and the local oscillator. This offset is set larger **than** the case in the simulation in order to show the lock-in process clearly. **Fig.** 6.20 (b) is the case when noise is not applied while (c) shows the prolonged lock-in time because the effect of noise. This plot is obtained by running the PLL simulation program for $\Delta f T = 0.06$ and SNR = 10 dB while

in the system simulation $\Delta f T = 0.01$ is used. In the latter case, the lock-in process takes much Iess time.

The phase stability is evaiuated by its probability distribution. **30000** samples have been taken from the VCO output. The pdf is calculated over $-\pi$, π range for different operating **SN&.** The signal **mean** is computed from this pdf. The variance of the **VCO** phase is obtained as well. Fig. 6.21 shows the calculation. In this figure two pdfs for $SNR = 10$ dB and $SNR = 5$ dB are given. For $SNR = 10$ dB, the phase variance is 0.00134 rad², which corresponds **to** a standard deviation of **2.1'.** This result is comparable to those given in **[37].** The pdf is nearly symmetrical and therefore the mean of **the** phase **jitter** caused by noise at the loop input is close to zero. Since for $\phi_k > 0.5$ rad the probability is approximately zero, only $\widehat{\phi}_k \le 0.5$ rad is plotted in Fig. 6.21.

Figure 6.21: Probability Distribution of VCO Output Phase $\widehat{\phi}_k$

63.3 Pulse-shaping

The raised-cosine (RC) function with a roll-off factor of 100% is used as the system downlink pulse-shaping transfer function. The magnitude of this transfer function is given as

$$
|H(f)| = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le |f| \le \frac{1-\alpha}{2T} \\ \frac{1}{2}(1-\sin\frac{\pi T}{\alpha}(|f|-\frac{1}{2T})) & \frac{1-\alpha}{2T} < |f| \le \frac{1+\alpha}{2T} \\ 0. & (6.10) \end{cases}
$$

where α is the roll-off factor, $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. The impulse response is split into transmitter and receiver filters. To make the receiver filter matches the pulse shape of incoming signal, square root of the RC pulse shape is used in both the transmitter pulse-shaping filter and the receiver matched filter. The overall raised-cosine shape is used because Gardner's timing recovery algorithm **[29]** works best when baseband signal at the receiver detector is in the raised-cosine shape. The Gardner's **timing** recovery algorithm will **be** used later for downlink **timing** recovery. The uplink pulse shape is rectangular because windowing **is** used generally in the on-board processor.

Figure 6.22: Impulse Response of 97-tap \sqrt{RC} Filter

The FIR filter is realized using 97 tap coefficients. The signal is sampled at 16 sarnples per *T* and therefore, the filter finite impulse response lasts for 6 symbols. The impulse response of this square-root RC filter is plotted in Fig. 6.22. Less taps would be used in **an** reai application.

A random baseband signal obtained from the output of the pulse-shaping filter is given in Fig. 6.23. The dashed line shows the input signal and a 48 taps **(3T)** delay due to pulse shaping cm **be** observed. The received signal passed to the receiver matched filter is delayed by another 48 taps and a total of 6-symbol delay is shown clearly in the plot. This time relationship must be taken into account when simulation programs are written.

6.3.4 Downünk Timing Recovery

The downlink clock frequency is recovered as the master clock. The algorithm used to recover **this** master **dock** is immune **from** the phase offset in **the carrier** recovery process **[29]** and **thus,** the timing **can be** recovered before the carrier phase is recovered perfectly.

Figure 6.23: Baseband Signals with Square-root RC Pulse Shaping

This feature allows the use of discrete PLL for the carrier recovery.

The timing error is detected using **[29]**

$$
e(n) = \Re[r(n-\frac{1}{2})]\Re[r(n)-r(n-1)] + \Im[r(n-\frac{1}{2})]\Im[r(n)-r(n-1)].
$$
 (6.11)

In Eqn. (6.11), $r(n)$ is a complex signal from the matched filter. $n - \frac{1}{2}$ represents the midpulse sampling time and n and $n - 1$ represent two consecutive symbol detection sampling instants. $\mathfrak{R}[\cdot]$ and $\mathfrak{I}[\cdot]$ take the real and imaginary part of the signal $r(n)$ respectively. $e(n)$ **is** the emor signal from this **timing** detector. **The** characteristic of this **timing** error detector is obtained by feeding data with fixed offset to the detector. The output of the detector **is** shown in Fig. 6.24. The positive offset in the plot means the local timing is Ieading the correct sarnpling **tirne,** therefore, a negative voltage is generated to rnove the local clock phase backward. And vice versa, negative offset leads to a positive error signal. The stable operation region, from the plot, is between $[-T/4, T/4]$ as illustrated in [29]. The operation gives a real number. This timing error signal is filtered by an IIR filter described

by

Figure 6.24: Characteristic of Timing Error Detector (S-curve)

$$
y(n) = ax(n) + by(n-1)
$$
 (6.12)

where $x(n)$ is the input to the filter and $y(n)$ is the output of the filter. Parameters a and b characterize the IIR loop filter. Unity gain can be obtained by setting $a + b = 1$. Increasing a increases the filter **BW.** Wider bandwidth provides quicker response to the error present in the timing but it also makes the detector more susceptible to the noise in the signal. In **the** simulation, $a = 0.05$ is used. The filter state is reset after each correction. This is necessary since after each correction, the timing status is changed and the old status kept in the filter does not represent the current timing information. A threshold is used to compare with the accumulated error signais from the timing emr detector given in **[29].** The threshold helps reducing unnecessary correction of the timing of symbol samples **[74].** The threshold used in the simulation is 30 percent of the peak signal amplitude as suggested in **1741.** The error signal is plotted in Fig. 6.25 with the noise generator being turned off for better illustration. It is easily seen that the error signal builds up to the threshold in the plot, then the timing is corrected and the filter status is cleared, which produces a drop in the error

Figure 6.25: Error Signal from Timing Loop Filter

signal amplitude. The **peaks** of the error signal corresponds to a one-step correction when $|e(n)|$ is greater than the threshold. Otherwise, no correction is made.

In the simulation, the initial sampling position (timing phase) is arbitrarily set to an offset of $T/4$ (a 4-sample advance from the correct timing phase). In Fig. 6.26, four correcting pulses appear with negative signs where each of the pulses imply that the timing point should be retarded by one-sample time. The fourth correcting signal is not generated as quickly as the previous three occur as smaller timing offsets will be corrected slower **than** the larger timing offset. **The** enor **signal** amplitude is smaller when timing is closer **to** the correct one and therefore a longer integration time is required to **make error** signal larger than the threshold.

Differential Encoder/Decoder $6.3.5$

The differential encoder and decoder are used **to** combat the phase ambiguity of the recovered carrier **phase** reference. The source information **is** encoded before king fed into the

Figure 6.26: Correction **Signai**

modulator using

$$
\phi_{m+1} = (\phi_m + \phi_{in}) \text{ mod } 2\pi. \tag{6.13}
$$

Here ϕ_{in} is the phase of source signal and ϕ_m is the mth modulation signal phase. Since the source signal phase is represented by $\pm \pi/4$ and $\pm 3\pi/4$, a phase shift of $-\pi/4$ is used to rotate it to the $(0, \pm \pi/2, \pi)$ constellation for proper encoding. The output of this encoder uses the $(\pm \pi/4, \pm 3\pi/4)$ constellation by rotating the encoded phase by $\pi/4$.

The differential decoder inverts the encoding process. The source information is recovered using

$$
\widehat{\phi_{in}} = (\phi_{m+1} - \phi_m) \text{ mod } 2\pi. \tag{6.14}
$$

Frame Synchronization 6.3.6

The **frarne** header is generated **by** a pseudo **randorn** sequence generator with a sequence length of 15 bits. The length of the frame header guarantees a non-correlation with other possible sequences at high probability of $1 - (0.5)^{15}$ when assuming an *iid* signal source packaged in the meesage body of Fig. 6.2. This header is inserted to the start of each downlink **frame** as shown in Fig. *6.2.* The **timing** error information is **transrnitted** in the downlink frame. To extract this information, the ground terminal tries to determine the location of a frarne header using the correlation process explained below. Once this header is located, the terminal can extract the uplink timing error information from a pre-determined block in the frame.

A local copy of the header sequence is correlated **with** the detected bit **Stream** using

$$
X_{corr}(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^{14} l(i) r(i - \tau)
$$
 (6.15)

where $I(i)$ is the local sequence, $r(i)$ is the received bit stream and $X_{corr}(\tau)$ is the crosscorrelation between the two signals. If a high correlation is achieved, then *frame-sync* is declared. In the simulation, a threshold is set to tolerate possible erroneous bit decisions. For a 15-bit sequence, when all bits are recovered correctly, the correlation output is 15. The threshold is set at Il, which means that two error bits are allowed before the frame header is missed in the detection process. This value corresponds to an average bit error rate of 0.133. Such a high bit error rate represents a low SNR of -2 dB. The normal operating **SNR** in this simulation is in the range of 5 dB to 10 dB.

6.3.7 MCD and Magnitude Estimator

Now the **MCD** is included into the uplink delay estimation **aigorithm** presented in section 5.3. **The** multicarrier demodulator is implemented using

$$
F(t) = \int_0^T f(\tau) \exp(-j\mu \tau) d\tau
$$
 (6.16)

which produce the desired CFT at time t . Here $f(\tau)$ is the input FDMA signal from a ground terminal. Integral *F(t)* is a complex signal.

The estimator takes the real and the imaginary parts of $F(t)$ in (6.16) respectively and uses the on-board rnaster dock as a timing signal. **The** uplink delay estimation algorithm **was** introduced in section **5.2.2** and **was** simulated in section 6.2. In the simulation, it starts working after the downiink clock and carrier phase are recovered.

The whole algorithm is briefly reviewed here. The uplink transmission is controlled by the recovered **dock** and the estirnated uplink signal amplitude information is transmitted to the ground teminals via the satellite downlink. The uplink timing error is calculated in the ground terminal. N sarnples are taken from MCD and the averages of the **real** part and the imaginary part are obtained separately. This process produces the maximum likelihood estimations of the in-phase and the quadrature components. Two **MLEs,** independent of each other, are combined using

$$
r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}
$$

as **was** given in (5.40) to remove the phase information. The magnitude estimate that uses this method is plotted in Fig. *6.27* as a function of the sample size. in the **same** plot, the signal amplitude estimated directly using the magnitude of MCD output is given as a dotted line. **A** bias is observed from the plot for this direct estimation of the uplink signal power. Any unknown bias in the estimate will degrade the estimation accuracy. The bias is minimized by taking the in-phase and quadrature ML estimate and then combine them to get the amplitude estimate. A quantized version of estimation from the **I** and **the** Q channels is also shown in Fig. 6.27. As concluded before in section 6.2, a 100–symbol preamble is enough to produce a stable timing estimate. In this simulation $SNR = 10$ dB was used.

The probability distribution of the estimate displayed in **Fig.** 6.28 is obtained using the simulation prograrn involving 30000 symbols. The timing offset in running the simulation is set at *0.375T* and, also, **SNR** = 10 **dB.** The true signal amplitude without the **effect** of noise should be **0.25A,** where A is the designed signal amplitude. The results shown in Fig. 6.28 is easily recognized as the **Rician pdf. The** theoretical pdf given in the plot uses

Figure 6.27: Estimated Magnitude as a Function of Sampling Size

(5.41) with mean **0.25A** and **o** be the function of *SML* **It** is in good confomiity with the simulation. The separate **I** and Q channel estimation must be used to avoid the problem of biased estimation which is clearly shown by the dotted line in Fig. 6.27.

In the next section, al1 related functional blocks are put together in order to examine the dynamic performance of the delay estimation **algorithm.**

6.4 System Simulation

The system initialization procedure is introduced in this section. The interference to other in-operation users due to incorrect delay estimation **error** is exarnined. **A** complete system simulation with downlink and uplink driven by a common clock follows the separate simulations of uplink and downlink. **The** system performance will **be** evaluated in terms of the BER. The effect of downlink operating **SNR, which** affects the stability of recovered downlink **dock,** on **the** uplink delay estimate is **also** tested. The result will **be** given as a probability of correct estimate of the uplink **timing** offset as a function of uplink **SNR** with

Figure 6.28: PDF of Magnitude at sampling Time

downlink **SNR as** parameter.

6.4.1 System Initialization

$6.4.1.1$ **Initialization Procedure**

The system initidization procedure is shown in Fig. 6.29. **Only** the dock signal Rows are shown in this flow chart. The on-board frame-forming process of the downlink is not shown explicitly. Iterative acquisition using a shorter sequence is not considered as a valid approach here since the time savings from a shorter sequence **can** not compensate for the large propagation delay between the satellite and the ground terminal since multiple probes are required if a shorter probe sequence **is** used.

Refemng to Fig. 6.29, the **flow** chart for the uplink time delay estimation algorithm is descnbed. The process starts from recovering the satellite **dock** and **carrier** of **the domlink. During** this phase, no specific signal is required since the downlink Stream is driven by the master dock on-board the satellite. **The** ground terminal **first** detects power from

Figure 6.29: Initialization Fiow Chart

the downlink for possible initialization. After acquiring the downlink clock, the ground ter**minal sen& a probe sequence which is clocked by recovered downlink clock and the clock phase is compensated according to an estimated uplink propagation delay. The process is marked by timing of £set estimate and delay compensation in Fig. 6.29. The timing** off **set es t imate part converts the estimated magnitude information**

to the **timing** offset estimate using a table lookup. If a zero offset is found, then the initialization process is terminated. Otherwise, a new probe sequence is transmitted **based** on the new estimated delay and the uplink timing offset is estimated again. **The** received signal amplitude for the uplink probing sequence is estimated on-board the satellite. The estimated amplitude is transmitted to **the** ground terminal in quantized form. **The delay compensation** stores the compensating information for the delay estimate.

This kind of system initialization process **can** be described by a geometric random variable X. This is afirst *tirne* of **success** problem. Such a **trial has** two outcomes, success and failure. Let the probability of success in a trial be **p.** then the process **has** a success outcorne in the **n-th** trial is given by

$$
P(X = n) = (1 - p)^{n-1} p \qquad n \ge 1 \tag{6.17}
$$

where p is the probability of getting a success in one trial and **n** the number of trials performed. The expected value of the random variable X is

$$
E[X] = \frac{1}{p}.\tag{6.18}
$$

This expected value is the average **number** of trials to get the first success. From Fig. 6.10, the probability of *success in one trial* is larger than $p = 0.98$ for a 100-symbol probing sequence with a 10 **dB** operating *SNEL* Therefore, aimost al1 estimates are correctly obtained with one estimate. From (6.17), the probability of success in more **than** one would be 0.02. In the simulation. it is rare to find an incorrect estimate of the uplink signal magnitude when the **SNR** is a constant. Theoretically, since the success of the estimate is confirmed through one more round of the estimation process, there exists the probability of missing the correct estimate, but this probability is $p \times (1 - p)$. With $p = 0.98$, one gets the probability of the incorrect confirmation to **be** 0.0196. Therefore, the risk of missing a correct estimate is **very** low. The risk increases when the **SNR** becomes lower.

The complete initialization procedure is listed as follow:

- 1. Receive the downlink signal and recover the master clock. The uplink timing offset is determined by the ground terminal.
- **2.** Transmit the uplink probe signal controlled by the recovered master clock.
- 3. Process the uplink signal on-board to obtain the magnitude estimate.
- 4. Transmit the quantized estimated uplink magnitude information in **the** proper downlink channel.
- **5.** The ground terminal determines the amount of the uplink delay and compensates this delay by adjusting the transmission time.
- 6. Send a new probing sequence based on the the compensated uplink clock phase. Check uplink timing offset from step 1. If it is zero, synchronization is declared.

6.4.1.2 Interference During Initiakation

The unsynchronized new user accessing the system may cause inter-channel interference (ICI) because the output of the MCD produced from a non-synchronous uplink has a nonzero value at the other channels' sampling instant **[35].** The amount of the interference on different channels is characterized in **[35].** This ICI is a function of the timing offset. The maximum ICI is about 15 **dB** lower than the normal signal amplitude for **the** adjacent channels. In Fig. 6.30, four **FDMA** channels (numbered **1** to 4) are processed by the onboard processor, without windowing on the inputs and the phase change from previous symbol is $\pi/2$ for this channel. The third channel suffers a fixed timing offset of 0.15T. The situation for correct timing for aiI channels is **dso** given in this plot for easy cornparison. In this figure, the detected signal magnitude is normalized to one when timing is correct. The sampling instants are in multiple integers of 1 **.O55** according to the parameters used in the simulation. A **208** signal amplitude drop for the third channel can **be** observed. This

Figure 6.30: ICI caused by Timing Offset

ICI is a function of the timing offset, the number of active channels, and the phase changes, therefore, at some point it is large enough to cause to interference to other users **[35].**

It is shown that the ICI can be reduced by applying a windowing function on the input signal in time domain before it is processed by MCD in [35]. In the system simulation, a non-windowed MCD is used, for a simpler timing-magnitude relationship. In the **red** system, a windowing function should **be** used at the input to **the** MCD in order to minimize the interference to the other users.

6.4.2 Downlink Simulation

The simulation mode1 of the **downlink** transmitter/receiver pair as shown in Fig. 6.1 consists of a signal source, a pulse shaping **filter,** a **noise** generator, the carrier phase tracking function, the timing tracking function, the differential encoder/decoder, the matched filter, and the decision circuit. It is studied through the **BER** performance. The downlink simulation and subsequent uplink simulation in section **6.4.3** serves the purpose of testing the

Figure 6.3 1: BER with Constant Phase Offset

different parts of an integrated system for the synchronization of a terminal to a processing satellite receiver. Results show **that** the simulated BER, **when** phase and timing tracking are used, is dmost identical to the BER calculated from the theoreticai equation in **[38].** Also studied here are the degradation of the **BERS** by a constant phase or timing offset. In Fig. 6.3 1, two BER curves are the optimal BER of a **QPSK** receiver and the **BER** with a **phase** offset of **17.2".** Perfect timing recovery is assumed in this plot and a **differential** encoder/decoder is not used. The encoder/decoder is not used because the purpose of this simulation is to examine the effect of carrier offset on the optimal **QPSK** receiver without the effect of a differential encoder/decoder. A 3 dB loss of SNR for $P_e = 10^{-3}$ is observed. **A** fixed timing offset **also causes** a **SNR** penalty. **The** result for fixed **timing** offset **is** given in Fig. 6.32. In this plot, the transmission is not differentially encoded and a perfectly recovered carrier is provided. The **length** of the testing sequence is selected in such a way that it guarantees the resulting BER within less **than** *0.2Pe* with **958** certainty where the theoretical P_e is taken from the coherent detection scheme with a matched filter $[22,82]$.

Figure 6.32: BER with Constant Timing Offset

Coherent detection is used in the ground terminal receiver because of its SNR gain over the differential detection. The carrier phase coherence is obtained by using a second order digital PLL. Differentially encoded QPSK is used to combat the phase ambiguity introduced by the PLL. If the BER of optimal receiver in AWGN is P_e , then considering only the effect of two consecutive errors on the differential decode process, the differentially decoded BER, P_{diff} , is given approximately by

$$
P_{\text{diff}} = 2P_e - P_e^2. \tag{6.19}
$$

The first term on the right side of (6.19) shows the effect of error propagation from the differential decoding process and the second term shows the effect of the errors on the erroneous signal. The usefulness of this approximate expression will be discussed shortly. The increase of the BER implies a loss of approximately 0.4 dB at an $SNR = 8$ dB associated with this detection scheme as shown in Fig. 6.33. This figure has three curves, the optimal BER is given as a baseline. The simulation is very close to the calculation using

Figure 6.33: **Operational BER** in Downlink

(6.19). The conformity in the simulated BER with the calculation shows that simulation programs, especially the **PLL** and the **timing** recovery circuits are working as expected in this integrated downlink.

6.4.3 Uplink Simulation

The major difference of the uplink receiver **from** the downlink receiver **is** the use of a multicarrier demodulator (MCD). The matched filter on the downlink is replaced **by** a SAW based **MCD** to demodulate multiple channels at **one** time. Since the receiver **clock** phase, **i.e.** the sampling time, is fixed for this **type** of receiver, **ihe** transrnitter must adjust its **transrnitting** clock phase in order to have synchronized symbol timing at the receiver.

The simulated uplink including both the **tramnitter** of the **ground** terminal **and** the receiver on-board the satellite consists of a signal source generator, a chanel noise generator, a complex phase rotator, an MCD, **and** a decision-directed **PLL.** The channel introduces

AWGN to the signal. The phase rotator, which can **be** placed either at **the** trammitter end or the receiver end, emulates the unknown phase offset. **Any** phase offset must **be** applied before the signal enters the MCD in order to let the offset take effect on ail the input signals. The simulated upiink channei is linear and the signal applied to MCD is not windowed.

The SM loss caused by the fixed timing offset can **be** obtained **fiom** Fig. **6.34** for different amounts of offset. The calculated BER shows the best achievable BER with differential encoding. The BER with a **T/** 16 offset of the timing phase shows about 0.5 **dB SNR penalty for BER =** 10^{-4} **. From [35], only 0.2 dB of SNR penalty is expected when** windowing hinction is used at the receiver, therefore, the other 0.3 **dB** of **SNR** penalty should be attributed to the non-windowing receiving of the uplink signal and imperfect carrier phase recovery. This penalty reflects the reduction of the effective SNR because of the timing offset. Timing offsets less than $T/16$ are regarded as no-offset due to the fact that our simulation **has** a minimum time resolution of **T/** 16. From (4.18), not oniy the modulating phase but also an un-wanted phase will present at the output of the MCD at the sampling time because of a timing offset in the incoming signal. Therefore, phase tracking is required for proper demodulation of the uplink signais.

The fixed phase offset in the uplink carrier is tracked by a PLL following the **MCD.** The carrier frequency offset shifts the signal **peak** because it adds extra frequency to the designed operation frequency. From (3.1), if $\Delta\omega$ is added to ω , then a $\Delta t = \Delta\omega/\mu$ offset in time from designed signal peak is expected. The unwanted frequency offset can not be tracked by the subsequent **PLL** because the MCD **does** not pass this frequency information in the way the conventional receivers do. The carrier frequency offset results in the degradation of the BER performance because **the** frequency offset leads to a lower **SNR** and larger phase variance. The fiequency synchronization is ensured by letting the uplink carrier **lock** to the recovered **downlink** carrier. The residual frequency offset will not cause **any** serious performance degradation when the uplink signal is Frequency synchronized. In the simulation, the uplink carrier frequency is assumed **perfectly** synchronized to the

Figure 6.34: Operational BER in Uplink

sateIlite demodulator.

6.4.4 Complete System Simulation

The downlink and the uplink simulations are now discussed together to study the interaction between the uplink and the downlink. This is important because the uplink clock is coupled with the recovered downlink signal. The complete system operates as in the flow chart in Fig. 6.29 and as discussed in point form on page 148.

The interactions between the downlink and the uplink is revealed **by** Fig. 6.35, in **which,** the effect of the downlink **SNR** on the on-board estimate of the uplink signal amplitude is shown. In fact, it is the stability of the recovered clock that affect the timing performance of the uplink route. The stabiiity of the recovered dock is then a **dependent** of the downlink SNR. To simulate this process, the correction of **any** timing offset of **the** uplink is *disabled* because the **pdf** of the estimate of a fixed timing offset is **going** to be studied. It is worth-

Figure 6.35: Interaction of Downlink and Uplink

while to note that though any change of the recovered downlink clock phase is passed to the uplink, the timing jitters are smoothed by the delay estimator because of the lowpass nature of the estimator.

In the simulation, the estimate is obtained **from** 100 uplink symbols. When the down**link** *SNR* is 10 dB, the probability vs. **SNR** (uplink) is very close to the one shown in Fig. 6.13, where an ideal downlink (SNR $=\infty$), and therefore perfectly recovered clocks, is assumed. When the downlink **SNR** is **5** dB, the algorithm still provides good performance which is close to the ideal downlink case with **Iess** than 0.1 **dB** uplink **SNR** penalty **cm** be noted in Fig. 6.35. But **when** the **downlink SNR** drops to O dB, the amplitude estimate **cm** not yield correct signai amplitude estimate with sufficiently large probability. However, this level of **SNR** is not practical for a satellite system.

As illustrated in Fig. 6.14, a larger timing offset **has** negative efiect on the **proper** magnitude estimation. A similar effect is noticed in **Fig.** 6.36 when the downlink dock is coupled with the uplink clock. Figures 6.35 and 6.36 show that though the uplink **SNR** is very important for the correct estimation of the uplink **timing** offset, an unstable recovered

Figure 6.36: Large Offset reduces Efficiency

downlink dock will cause severe uplink performance degradation. From the simulation a downlink **SNR** larger than 5 **dB** is necessary to maintain the probability of making correct estimation of the uplink timing offset. **With** lower **than 5 dB** *SNR* in the downlink, the uplink timing offset can not be estimated efficiently using the algorithms presented in this thesis because of the excessive timing jitter in the recovered downlink clock.

Now Fig. 6.36 is compared with Fig. 6.35. It is **easy** to conclude that if the downlink SM is larger than 5 **dB** and the uplink operating **SNR** larger **than** 8 dB, a 10-symbol probing sequence will provide a good estimate of the uplink timing offset using the algorithm presented in section **5.2.2** of Chapter 5. To **be** able to use lower **SNRs** in the uplink. a longer probing sequence is required. The performance given here is obtained during the process of link synchronization. This **means that** the recovered downlink clock **has** larger jitter **than** in the normal operation. Larger jitter in the downlink causes degradation of **the** performance of **the** uplink timing offset estimation. Larger jitter in **the** recovered downlink clock is introduced in the simulation with wider bandwidth **(BW= 1000H.z)** of the loop fiiter of the timing recovery loop. The performance in terms of probability of the correct estima-

Figure 6.37: Wider Timing Loop BW degrades Uplink Estimation

tion with this bandwidth is given in Fig. 6.37. Compare the results in this figure with that in Fig. 6.35, where some degree of performance degradation for dl the downlink **SNRs,** especially for the lower downlink **SNRs,** cm be observed. The acquisition performance is evaluated in terms of the mean square error of the timing estimate **as** a function of probing sequence length with one-time correction can be found in Fig. 6.12 where the operating **SNR** is 10 dB. **With** the downlink **SNR** > 5 **dB** and the uplink **SNR** > 8 dB, one can expect the similar acquisition performance. No further simulation is necessary for the acquisition since the parameters have already been determined in **the** above discussion.

To obtain the BER performance, a cornplete system with initial carrier and timing offset is simulated. In the simulation, the timing offset in the uplink is originally set to *T/4* with a multiple integer of T **king** ignored. Other timing errors will dso be estimated using the delay estirnate algorithm and hence **be** compensated on the uplink timing. The BER curve obtained here is for the case when timing is fully compensated by the estimation algorithm. The on-board estimator based on the **DSAM** successfully estirnates this offset. The estimated amplitude is passed ont0 the **ground** terminal. The ground terminal receives

Figure 6.38: System Bit Error Rate for both **Links**

this information **and** adjusts **the** uplink clock phase using this information. Another round of timing offset estimate confirms **the** adjustment of clock phase of the ground terminal. The BER tests start after synchronization is declared. During the BER test, the satellite downlink signal source does not send uplink signal amplitude information. Therefore, no further uplink timing offset estimation is performed. During this BER test, the downlink **SNR** is extended to a wider range. Also, a wider range of **SNRs** are used in uplink **BER** tests. The same **SNI2** applies to **the** downlink and the uplink for each test. The recovered downlink clock is stable for the whole testing period.

Fig. 6.38 shows the simulated BER performance of both **the** uplink and the downlink. The calculated BER is obtained from (6.19). Comparing this figure with the previous figures, figures 6.33 and 6.34, it is clear **that** the system performance is **almost** identical to those independent subsysterns dter the uplink and the downlink are coupled together for the purpose of synchronization. Frame-by-ftame delay estimation is not necessary because of **the** discrete nature of this delay estimation algorithm **when timing** offset is a
small fraction of the symbol **period,** it can not **be** picked up from the estimation. It is worthwhile to indicate that during the BER tests, **any** timing jitter in the recovered downlink clock is passed ont0 the uplink and these jitters will slightly increase the uplink BER. This conclusion is justified by Fig. 6.38 where **al1 the** uplink **BERs** are always slightiy higher **than** the downlink **BERs.**

Summary 6.5

In **this** chapter, the sub-systems of a regenerative communication satellite system were simulated first. **A** complete system mode1 then was simulated to study **the** interaction **between** a ground terminal and the processing satellite. **The** timing estimation results were compared with the theoretical ones given in Chapter 5 and the BER of both links under the normal operation condition were obtained.

Chapter 7

Conclusions

This chapter presents a summary of previous chapters. Conclusions are also given. Finally, possible further work on the uplink delay estimation of the processing satellite system is outlined.

7.1 Summary

In Chapter 1, the advantages of using a processing satellite in the communication systems were explained. Satellite sysierns using different earth orbits are **briefly** compared. The geosynchronous orbit is chosen for the processing satellite system to be studied. The FDMA/TDM configuration is specified as the basic system access scheme and the methodology of using computer simulation as a testing tool for the theoretical analysis **was** presented.

Chapter 2 specified the ground terminais used in this processing satellite system. The new feature of such a terminal is that its uplink transmitter carrier and **clock** is controlled by the ground terminal receiver. These signals contain information fiom the system master clock which resides in the satellite.

The configuration of the communication payload of the processing satellite **was** de-

scribed in Chapter 3. The considerations of how the different functions are arranged were explained in this chapter. The on-board processors using SAW devices were examined to facilitate the derivation of a possible approach to delay estimation methods.

Chapter 4 presented the fundamental theory developed in this thesis. The transmitter timing recovery concept **was** illustrated. This timing recovery mechanism is very useful when synchronization of received signals is required at the receiver before **they** enter the demodulator. **Maximum** likelihood estimation theory **was** also briefly reviewed. One of the two propagation delay estimation methods derived from the **MLE** theory in this thesis, namely delay estimation through **BER** monitoring, or the estimated probability method (EPM), was analyzed **and** simulated. **EPM was** proved to **be** possible approach to uplink delay estimation but it is not very efficient when the system **SNR** is relatively **high.**

In Chapter 5, variations of the ground terminal estimation and the on-board estimation of the detected uplink signal amplitude method **@SAM)** were compared in terms of estimation accuracy. **The** on-board estimation approach **was** chosen as the one for detailed study because of **its** better acquisition performance. Different lengths of probing sequences and different number of quantization levels were compared in terms of the resulting pdfs of the estimated signal amplitudes. **A** four-bit quantizer was chosen as the compromise between required downlink bandwidth and estimation accuracy. One hundred symbols are considered sufficient as the probing signal length at an operating **SNR** of 10 dB. A much longer sequence is required for the **EPM** at the same SNR.

In Chapter 6, a complete simulation mode1 of the processing satellite system discussed in previous chapters **was** presented. Individual functions in the block diagram of Fig. 6.1 were explained. The implementation problems and **the** performance of the individual functions were illustrated. The system initialisation process was given and the interactions between **the** downlink **and** the uplink were show by cornputer simulation. The simulated BER performance **was** compared **with** the results of a theoretical **analysis.**

162

7.2 Conclusions

It is concluded that

- 1. The BER **can be** used as a timing error measurement on the satellite uplink. The arnount of the offset cm **be** estimated from **this** measurement when the on-board bit decision is solely related to the timing offset. The **MLE** principle applies to this estimation rnethod. For higher **SNRs, EPM** can not **be** used efficiently.
- 2. **An** efficient propagation delay estimation method, which estimates the uplink detection amplitude, derived fiom **MLE** theory, **was** obtained for the AWGN environment. From Chapter 4, the **EPM** requires **1000** symbols to reach an acquisition probability of 0.5 while the DSAM requires only 100 symbol to reach a similar probability as shown in Fig. 5.1 **1** with **SNR** = **5dB.** With a higher **SNR,** the DSAM becomes **far** more accurate **than** the **EPM** as shown in Table 5.7.
- 3. On-board processing of the uplink signals, which uses non-coherent combining, to obtain an **uplink** signai power estimate **was** presented. The non-coherent combining allows timing recovery before the on-board carrier is recovered. The algorithm presented here does not require third **party** delay information for uplink synchronization.
- 4. **The** estimation method **cm be** simulated in a complete processing satellite system. **The** probing signal with length of 100 symbols **was** proved workable **by** the simulation. A longer sequence must be used if probing signal power is restricted to a very low level in order to reduce the interference to other channels. The acquisition time is $100T \times 2$ seconds plus two round-trip delays between satellite and a ground terminal where T is the symbol period of the probing sequence. The second round estimate is used to confirm the initial timing estimate.
- 5. **The** arnount of residual timing offset as the result of delay compensation from using the algorithm presented in this thesis depends on the number of the discrete dock

phases (number of sarnples) given in **one** symbol **period.** In the simulation. a **T/** 16 time resolution **was** used. From the simulation, an offset within *T/* 16 **causes** a 0.5 **dB SNR** penalty for $BER = 10^{-4}$.

- 6. A stable recovered downlink clock is essential in keeping the uplink delay estimation algorithms from failure as illustrated in section **6.4.4.** This is because the uplink clock is controlled by downlink clock. Although in the simulation a downlink **SNR** of 10 **dB** is used, it **was** shown in Fig. 6.35, to maintain a jitter-free recovered downlink clock a low **SNR** of **5 dB can** be used. Transmitter timing recovery is proved to be possible **when** a stable master clock is provided to the trammitter.
- 7. A fixed carrier phase offset in **the** uplink demodulation **can** be tracked by a subsequent **PLL** but the carrier frequency of the uplink signal must be synchronized since any remaining offset in frequency is regarded as designed offset of the **FDMA** uplink. System performance **may** suffer from this frequency offset. Simulation included the fixed phase offset recovery for the uplink and fixed frequency offset recovery for **the** downlink. The uplink carrier frequency offset **was** assumed to **be** zero in the system simulation.

7.3 Suggestions for Future Work

Several topics related to this project could **be** studied in the hiture.

1. Use of a DSP implementation for the sub-systems in the overall system is required to find the effect of word length required for the component implementations. The cornputer simulation in this thesis uses fioating point numbers for the most part. The fixed point simulation would **be** a closer one to the real digital implementation when the restricted word **length** is considered.

- 2. A fading channel should **be** considered since sipal fading **is** inevitable for a mobile communication environment and therefore, a simple AWGN channel model is not good enough to describe the complete characteristics of the propagation path.
- 3. The windowing effect on the delay estimation algorithm should **be** examined more carefully because the current estimation algorithm is based on the non-windowing receiver **and** with the **SNRs** used in the simulation for initial synchronization, the ICI to other usen rnight **be** high **1351. A** windowed transform reduces ICI with a penalty of about 1 **dB** in *SNR.* It is expected that the algorithm presented here will work in a windowing environment but the relationship between timing offset and detected amplitude will not be linear **[35].** Following the approach given in section 4.3.1 and using equations given in **1351,** one should **be** able to establish the relationship and use it for the purpose of delay estimate.
- 4. More realistic satellite and terminal models which include amplifier non-linearity and on-board filtering of input signals should **be** considered.
- **5.** The effect of the coupling of recovered downlink carrier and uplink carrier should **be** studied because the proper relationship between the uplink carnier **and** the on-board frequency down converter must **be** established for correct MCD operation. The effect of imperfect downlink carrier recovery on the delay estimation algorithm should be studied.
- 6. The case of using a non-averaged on-board amplitude estimate should be further examined. This will reduce the on-board processor complexity and thus reduce **the** cost of the satellite.

Appendix A

Of Multiple Access

A.l Multiple Access Schemes

The uplink access is **based** on FDMA in the whole thesis. A TDMA can be used on top of FDMA to further increase the system capacity. Multiple users with lower data rate share one frequency channel through the time multiplexing. The resulting FDMA channel data rate is not changed.

An example of such an **FDMA/TDMA** uplink scheme is shown in Fig. A.1. In this figure, it is assumed that four users, each with a data rate of 16Kbps, share one frequency channel, that is four users use f_i to access the satellite processor in sequence. Let one downlink TDM sub-frame represents one TDMA frame formed from the uplink FDMA channels, then the **TDM** frame contains four **TDM** subfiames. This **TDM** frame, which contains ail the users in the system, is four symbol period of the 64Kbps FDMA channel since the data rate of the users is four times lower than **64Kbps** in **this example.** Each user occupies one tirne slot in this downlink fiame. Relationship between the uplink users and the downlink frames is shown in Fig. A.2. In four consecutive TDM sub-frames, four users using the same FDMA channel occupy the corresponding time slots in each of the **TDM** sub-frames respectively. The $#1$ of u_j in Fig. A.2 indicates that this is the first symbol of TDMA user *j*, where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, of the FDMA frequency f_i . Thus, each TDMA user must know **its** slot position in one of four **TDM hunes. Each** downlink **TDM frame** represents one uplink **FDMA/TDMA** frame.

Figure A.1: **FDMA/TDMA** Uplink Scheme

In the **FDmMA** access **case,** users require only the same synchronization stability as for the FDMA access. This is a consequence that each TDMA user transmits at the **peak** rate of 64 kbps. The on-board demodulator is transparent to **the** nature of the uplink FDMA/TDMA access. Such users must only know their positions in the TDM frame shown in Fig. **A.2.** For geographically separated terminals sharing the sarne frequency through TDMA, the uplink propagation delays **may** be different. Also the carrier phase may not be the same for these TDMA users. Estimations must be performed on individual terminals when such a scenario applies. If all the delays of the accessing terminals are estimated at one time period, then a large memory is required on-board to keep **al1** the information.

The delay estimation algorithm described in this thesis, though denved from pure FDMA uplink, is applicable to the hybrid FDMA/TDMA with only some minor changes.

Figure A.2: TDMA Users in Downlink TDM Frame

The most significant change is the sarnpling of **the** uplink signal of a specific channel must be interleaved since individual channel does not **appear** in every uplink symbol period as **TDMA** is used. When the uplink signal is sampled properly, the delay estimation process described in this thesis can **be** used directiy. **As** an exarnpie, consider Fig. A.2, if only samples from user $l(u_1)$ are taken to perform timing offset estimate and the result is sent to u_1 then the process is exactly the same as described before. Users in other slots are untouched. It is possible to **perform** estimate on **al1** users simultaneously if samples are stored on-board for this purpose.

Appendix B

Efficiency of the Delay Estimate from the Sample Bit Error (BER) Estimate

In this appendix a derivation of the **CRB** is given for the delay estimate in **(4.55)** when the estimate for **the** probability of error p is efficient. The concept **was** suggested and partially developed by one of the examiners of the thesis, Professor S. D. Blostein. It provides one explanation of why the BER estimate is inferior to the amplitude estimate for time delay given in Chapter 4.

Starting with (4.55) with the delay estimate denoted as \hat{D}

$$
\widehat{D} = |\lambda| = \frac{1 - KQ^{-1}(p)}{2} \equiv g(\widehat{p})
$$
 (B.1)

where $K = \sigma/(A_kT)$ is a constant and $Q(x)$ is the Q function defined in (4.28). Recall that we have an efficient estimate of p , \hat{p} , that satisfies the CR bound

$$
Var(\widehat{p}) = \frac{p(1-p)}{N}.
$$
 (B.2)

The estimate of D defined as a function of \hat{p} as given in (B.1) is an MLE due to the invariance property of MLEs [84]. Its variance, assuming \hat{D} is unbiased, is

$$
Var(\widehat{D}) \ge \frac{\left(\frac{dg}{dp}\right)^2}{-E\left\{\left[\frac{d^2\ln p_{R|A}(r|a)}{da^2}\right]\right\}}
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{dg}{dp}\right)^2 Var(\widehat{p})
$$
(B.3)

where Var(\hat{p}) from (B.2) is $p(1 - p)/N$. Though it is noted that the estimate of \hat{D} is **biased, it is still possible to evaluate the right hand side (RHS) of (B.3) to give a possible explmation of why the BER estimate is inferior to the amplitude estimate method given in** Chapter 5. From $(B.1)$, regarding \hat{p} as p ,

$$
\frac{dg}{dp} = -\frac{K}{2} \frac{d}{dp} Q^{-1}(p).
$$
 (B.4)

The above equation can be simplified as shown below.

From the definition of $Q(u)$ in (4.28),

$$
Q'(u) = \frac{d}{du}Q(u) = -\frac{e^{-u^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.
$$
 (B.5)

Since $u = Q^{-1}(p)$,

$$
\frac{d}{dp}Q^{-1}(p) = \frac{du}{dp} \tag{B.6}
$$

and as $Q(u) = p$,

$$
\frac{dQ(u)}{dp} = 1.
$$
 (B.7)

By the chain law for the differentiation

$$
\frac{dQ(u)}{dp} = \frac{dQ(u)}{du}\frac{du}{dp}
$$
 (B.8)

and hence, from (B.5) to (B.8)

$$
\frac{d}{dp}Q^{-1}(p) = \frac{1}{Q'(u)}.
$$
 (B.9)

Therefore, from **(B .4),**

$$
\frac{dg}{dp} = -\frac{K}{2} \frac{1}{Q'(u)}.\tag{B.10}
$$

Use of **(B.5)** with the above equation, (B. IO), one **gets** the result for the differentiation term in **(B.4),** when it is squred as

$$
\left(\frac{dg}{dp}\right)^2 = \frac{K^2 \pi \exp(u^2)}{2}.
$$
 (B.11)

This is the multiplication factor on the CRB of \hat{p} for the lower bound to the variance of the estimate of *D* in (B.3). As $K = \sigma/(A_kT)$ and $\sigma^2 = N_0T/2$ for the SAW processor, $K^2 = N_0/(2\overline{E}_k)$, where $\overline{E}_k = A_k^2 T$, the energy per symbol related to amplitude A_k at the SAW output. Thus in final form, as $u = Q^{-1}(p)$

$$
\left(\frac{dg}{dp}\right)^2 = \frac{\pi N_0}{4\overline{E}_k} \exp\left([Q^{-1}(p)]^2\right) \tag{B.12}
$$

and in (B.3)

$$
\text{Var}(\widehat{D}) \ge \frac{\pi N_0}{4\overline{E}_k} \exp\left(\left[Q^{-1}(p)\right]^2\right) \text{Var}(\widehat{p}).\tag{B.13}
$$

As a minimum requirement, to get $\text{Var}(\widehat{D}) \geq \text{Var}(\widehat{p})$ in (B.13), where $\text{Var}(\widehat{p})$ is the CR bound for \hat{p} , the RHS of (B.12) should be set to unity. To get some numerical results, the following examples are considered. For $p = 1.35 \times 10^{-3}$, $Q^{-1}(p) = 3$ and it is found that follc
F, / $\overline{E}_k/N_0 \approx 38$ dB to get $\text{Var}(\widehat{D}) \ge \text{Var}(\widehat{p})$. For $p = 10^{-2}$, 22 dB is required and for $p = 0.1$, the requirement is 6 dB. For all except the large value of $p = 0.1$, these are high values of *SNR* that are not practical in the satellite **case. As** such, this **may** partidly explain **why** the **BER** method is inferior to **the** amplitude method to estimate **uplink** time delay in our application.

References

- [1] T. E. Bell, "Telecornmunications," *IEEE Spectnim,* vol. 3 *1,* no. 1, pp. 22-25, Jan. 1994.
- [2] D. **Larson,** 'Wireless technology: How test **fits** in," *Microwave* Journal, vol. 37, no. 1, no. 1, pp. 63-86, 1994.
- [3] V. O. K. Li and X. Qiu, "Personal communication systems **(PCS),"** *Proceedings* of The IEEE, vol. 83, no. 9, pp. 12 10-1243, September 1995.
- **[4]** B. Miller, "Satellites free the mobile phone," IEEE *Spectrunz,* vol. 35, no. 3, **pp.** 27- 35, March 1998.
- 151 J. Ventura-Traveset, **1.** Stojkovic, F. Corornina, **J.** Benedicto, and F. **Petz,** "Key pay**load** technologies for future satellite personal communications: A European perspective," *International Journal of Satellite Communications*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 117–135, **Mar.-Apr.** 1995.
- [6] R. K. **Jurgen,** "Broadcasting **with** digital audio," *IEEE Spectrum,* pp. 52-59, **Mach** 1996.
- [7] F. Davarian, "Earth-S atellite propagation research," *IEEE Communications* **Magazine,** vol. 32, no. 4, **pp. 74-79, April 1994.**
- [8] M. Johnson, "Europe **prepares** for WRC-95: Mobile satellite service issues," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 33, no. **9.** pp. 124-1 **27, January** 1995.
- [9] S. Yoshida, **'A** digital coherent receiver suitable for land-mobile satellite cornmunications," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 771–778, November 1995.
- [IO] J. M. R. Salis and L. M. D. Rio, "Phase synchronization in a satellite-mobile channel," International Journal of Satellite Communications, vol. 13, **pp. 1-1** 0, Jan.-Feb. 1995.
- [11] M. Shahid, S. K. Barton, and S. J. Shepherd, "A comparative study of nondata-aided symbol timing recovery techniques for digital satellite communications," 3rd European Conference on Satellite Communications, pp. 16O-164, November 1993.
- [12] E. Del Re, **"A** coordinated European effort for the definition of a satellite integrated environment for future mobile communications," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 34, no. 2, **pp. 98-104,** February 1996.
- [13] W. W. Wu, E. F. Miller, W. **L.** Pritchard, and R. L. Pickholtz, "Mobile satellite communications," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 82, no. 9, pp. 1431–1448, September 1994.
- [14] A. J. Viterbi, "The evolution of digital wireless technology from space exploration **to** personal communication services," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 638-644, August 1994.
- [15] J. N. Pelton, "Space segment technologies for the 2 1st century: Key challenges for national satellite systems," Conference Proceedings of ICC'91, pp. 1103-1107, 1991.
- [16] N. P. Secord and C. Loo, "Simulation study of an on-board satellite group demodulator based on **the** multistage transmultiplexer," Conference Proceedings of Globecom '92, pp. 717-721, 1992.
- [17] *C.* R. Carter, "Survey of synchronization techniques for a TDMA satellite-switched system," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. COM-28, no. 8, pp. 1291-130 1, **August** 1980.
- 1181 S. B. C. Molinari and G. **Tartara,** "On board demodulation in multicamier TDMA satellite systems," Conference Pmceedings of *ICC'88,* pp. 499-503, June 1988.
- [191 **F-** Takahata et **al.,** "A PSK group modem for satellite cornrnunications,~~ *IEEE* Journal on Selected Area in Communications., vol. **SAC-5,** no. 4, pp. **643-661,** May 1987.
- [20] C. Loo and M. Umehira, "Performance estimation **and** design of group demodulator for satellite **FDMA/TDM** transmission," Conference Proceedings of *Globecorn* '89, pp. 1110-1114, 1989.
- [21] N. Zhang, "Global synchronization of a FDMA/TDM processing satellite communication system," Master's thesis, Queen's University, August 1992.
- **[22]** D. R. Smith, Digital Transmission **System.** Van Nostrand Reinhold, 2nd ed., 1993.
- [23] C. W. Sundberg and N. Seshadri, "Digital cellular systems for North America," Conference Proceedings of Globecom'90, pp. **533-536,** 1990.
- [24] K. B. Fielhauer, "Using RF channel simulators to test new wireless designs," RF design, **no.** 1, no. 1, pp. 56-60, 1994.
- [25] N. **Zhang, "A** regenerative processing satellite system." Ph.D. proposal, Queen's University, May 1994.
- [26] **A. Malarky** and M. Shaw, "SAW technology for on-board processing in rnilitary satellite communications," Canadian Conference on *Electrical and Computer Engineer*ing, pp. 28.2.1-28.2.4, September 1990.
- [27] **J. F.** Purchase, 'The **new** space race," Ka Satellite, vol. X, no. 1 1, **pp.** 30-41, November 1995.
- [28] **A.** Hills **and D.** B. Johnson, **"A** wireless data network infrastructure at Carnegie Mel-Ion University," IEEE Personal Communications, vol. 1, pp. 56–63, February 1996.
- 1291 F. M. Gardner, **"A** BPSWQPSK timing-error detector for sarnpled receivers," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. COM-34, no. 5, pp. 423-429, May 1986.
- [30] **K. K. M. Hung,** "Design **and** test of a regenerative satellite transmultiplexer," Master's thesis, Queen's University, May 1993.
- [3 11 T. **T.** Ha, Digital Satellite Communications. McGraw-Hill, lnc., 2nd ed., 1990.
- **[32]** C. Loo and M. Umehira, "A note on **chirp** fourier transform and its application to group demodulation," 15th Biennial Symposium on Communications at Queen **'s** University of Kingston. **pp.** 172- 175, **May** 1990.
- **[33]** M. D. Shaw, N. D. J. Miller, and D. H. Warne, "SAW chirp filter technology for satellite on-board processing appiications," International Journal of Satellite Communications, vol. 7, pp. 263-282, 1989.
- **[34]** M. A. Jack, P. **M. Grant,** and **J.** H. Collins, **"The theory,** design, and applications of surface acoustic wave fourier-transform processors," Proceedings of The IEEE, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. **450468,** April 1980.
- **[35] N. P.** Secord and C. Loo, 'Analysis and measurement of timing error effects in a SAW-based group demodulator," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 45, no. 10, **pp.** 1 179-1 182, October 1997.
- [36] P. D. Santis **and** P. S. Yeung, "On-board demultiplexing of unrestricted FDMA **traf**fic," Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Digital Satellite Communications, pp. **327-334,** May **1992.**
- **[37] C. Loo and** M. **D.** Shaw, ''Performance analysis and measurement of a SAW-based group demodulator for on-board processing in communications satellites," *Interna*tional Journal of Satellite Communications, vol. 1 1, pp. 243-252, 1 993.
- **[3 81** J. G. **Proakis,** Digital Communications. McGraw-Hill, 2nd ed., 1989.
- **[39] J. J.** Spilker, Jr., Digital Communicationr by Satellite. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1977.
- **[40]** L. **E.** Franks, "Carrier and bit synchronization in data communication a tutorial review," *IEEE* Transactions on Communications, vol. COM-28, no. 8, pp. 1 107- 1 12 1, August 1980.
- **1411 H. L. Van** Trees, Detecrion. Estimation. and Modulation *nieory.* Part **1,** John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1968.
- **[42] 2. S** heng, Probability **and** Stutistics (in Chinese). **PieJiang** Publishing House, 1 979.
- **[43] J. Y. C. Cheah,** "Frequency reference in **VSAT,"** IEEE Transactions on Communica**tions,** vol. 42, no. **2/3/4.** pp. 233-236, Febmary/March/April 1994.
- [44] C. A. Williams and P. T. Mathiopoulos, "Symbol timing recovery techniques for digital wireless personal telecommunication systems," IEEE *Pacifc* Rim Conference on Communications, Computers and Signal Processing, vol. 1, pp. 64-67, 1993.
- **[45] S. Sheth and** F. Harris, 'Timing and carrier recovery techniques in dsp based digital receivers," 1994 *IEEE* **MILCOM** Corference Record, vol. 2, pp. 639-643, 1994.
- **[46] N. C.** Beaulieu and S. C. White, **"A** lower bound on **the mean** square error of symbol timing **recovery** for **NRZ rectangular** signals," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 43, no. 7, p. 2183, **July** 1995.
- [47] H. Meyr, M. Oerder, and A. Polydoros, "On sampling rate, analog prefiltering, and sufficient statistics for digital receivers," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. **3208-32** 14, December 1994.
- **[48]** J. W. M. Bergmans, "Efficiency of data-aided timing recovery techniques," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1397-1408, September 1995.
- [49] K. Kiasaleh and W. Lindsey, "Time and frequency transfer between master and slave clocks," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. COM-38, no. **10, pp. 19W** 1912, Oct 1990.
- [SOI H. Meyr and G. Ascheid, Synchronization in Digital communications, vol. 1. John WlIey & Sons, **Inc.,** 1990.
- [SI] R. D. Gaudenzi, **T.** Garde, and V. **Vanghi,** "Performance anaiysis of decision-directed maximum-likelihood phase estimators for **M-PSK** modulated signais," IEEE Trans**actions** on Communications, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. **309û-3** 100, December 1995.
- [52] **G. Karam, 1.** Jeanclaude. **and** H. **Sari,** "A reduced-complexity frequency detector derived from the maximum-likelihood principle," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 43, no. 10, **pp.** 2641-2650, October 1995.
- **[53]** L. E. Miller and J. S. Lee, "Error analysis of tirne delay estimation using a finite integration time correlator," IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, vol. ASSP-29, no. 3, pp. 490-496, **June** 198 1.
- [54] M. Wax, "The estimate of time delay **between** two signais with random relative phase shift," IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, vol. ASSP-29, no. 3, **pp.** 497-501, **June** 1981.
- **[55]** K. Anvari, "An **algorithm** for combined receiver sample timing and frequency offset estimation," RF design, no. 3, no. 3, pp. 30–45, 1994.
- [56] W. C. Lindsey and W. C. Hagmann, "Network synchronization," *Proceedings of The* IEEE, vol. 73, no. 10, **pp.** 145-1467, October 1985.
- **[57] W.** C. Y. Lee, Mobile Communications Design Fundarnentals. John Wiley & Sons **Inc.,** 2nd ed., 1993.
- [58] **L** E. Padgett, C. G. Günther, and T. Hattori, "Overview of wireless personal communications," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 28–41, January 1995.
- [59] A. J. Viterbi, "A vision of the second century of wireless communication," Microwave *Jouml,* vol. 38, no. **10,** pp. 64-72, October 1995.
- [60] P. W. Baier, P. Jung, and A. Klein, "Taking the challenge of multiple access for third-
generation cellular mobile radio systems a European view," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 34. no. 2, **pp.** 82-89, February 1996.
- **[6** 11 P. P. Nuspl et al., "Synchronization methods for **TDMA,"** Proceedings of The IEEE, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 434-444, March 1977.
- [62] P. Robertson, "A generalized frame synchronizer," Conference Proceedings of Globe*corn* '92, pp. 365-369,1992.
- [63] N. Celandroni, E. Ferro, et al., "Design and implementation of a flexible, software **based** TDMA system," Conference Proceedings of Globecorn '92, **pp.** 693-699, 1992.
- **[64] F.** Ananasso and E. Del Re, **"Clock and** carrier synchronization in FDMAKDM useroriented satellite systems," Conference Proceedings of **ZCC'87,** pp. 1473-1477, June 1987.
- [65] F. Ananasso and G. Pennoni, "Clock synchronous multicarrier demodulator or multifrequency TDMA communications satellite,'' Conference Proceedings of *ICC'90,* pp. 1059-1063, **April** 1990.
- *[66]* P. **T.** Traynor, **"SAW** based joint group demodulation of **frequency** shift keyed and **differential** phase shift keyed signais," Master's thesis, Queen's University, **March** 1990.
- **[67] C.** Loo, "Performance analysis of a SAW based group demodulator for on-board processing communications satellites," Conference Proceedings of Globecom'89, **pp.** 1351-1354.1991.
- **[68]** C. Loo, "Performance analysis of SAW-based group demultiplexer for on-board processing communications satellites," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 4 1, no. 7, pp. 11 12-1 116, July 1993.
- **[69]** F. Ananasso, "Review of SAW devices and their signal processing applications in space communications," International Journal of Satellite Communications, vol. 7, pp. 23 1-262, 1989.
- **[70] P. M.** Bakken and **A.** Ronnekleiv, "SAW-based chirp Fourier transform and **its** application to analogue on-board signal processing," International **Journal** of Satellite Communications, vol. 7, pp. 283-293, 1989.
- [71] N. **Zhang** and P. J. **McLane,** "Global synchronization of a **FDIvIA/TDM** processing satellite communication system," 1993 Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering, pp. 4 17-423, 1993.
- **[72] J.** G. Proakis and D. G. Manolakis, Introduction to Digital Signal Processing. Macmillan, 1st ed., 1988.
- **[73] M. K.** Simon, "Nonlinear analysis of an absolute value type of an early-late gate bit synchronizer," IEEE Transactions on Communications Technology, vol. COM-18, no. 5, pp. 589-596, Oct 1970.
- [74] B. Koblents, "Asynchronous **timing** recovery in m-PSK mobile satellite **modems,"** Master's thesis, Queen's University, July 1992.
- [75] A. N. D'Andrea and M. Luise, "Optimization of symbol timing recovery for QAM data demodulators," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. **399-** 406, March 1996.
- [76] A. E. Payzin, "Analysis of a digital bit synchronizer," IEEE Transactions on *Commu*nications, vol. COM-3 1, no. 4, pp. 554-560, April 1983.
- **[77] A.** Papoulis, Probability. Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes. McGraw-Hill, 2nd ed., 1984.
- **[78]** S. Ross, A First Course in Probability. Macmillan Publishing Company, 3rd ed., 1988.
- [79] W. H. Tranter and K. L. Kosbar, "Simulation of communication systems," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. **26-35,** July 1994.
- [80] K. S. Shanmugan, "Simulation and implementation tools for signal processing and communication systems," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. **36-** 40, July 1994.
- **[8** 11 B. D. Woemer, J. H. Reed, and **T.** S. Rappaport, "Simulation issues for hiture wireless modems," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 42–53, July 1994.
- [82] R. W. Kerr, "Coherent detection of interleaved cpfsk on various shadowed mobile satellite channels," Master's thesis, **Queen's** University, August 1989.
- **[8 31** F. M. Gardner, Phaselocked Techniques. John **Wiley** & Sons, **Inc.,** 2nd ed., 1 979.
- [84] S. Kay, Fundamentals of Statistical Signal Processing: Estimation Theory. Prentice-**Hall, Inc.,** 1993.

IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET **(QA-3)**

ZJ ATTERIES දැ