Molecular Characterlzation of a Potato Gene Related to Plant Purple

Acid Phosphatases

by

AUison B. Mackay

A thesis submitted to the **Department** of Biology

in conformity with the requirements for

the degree of **Master** of Science

 $\ddot{}$

Queen's University

Kingston, **Ontario,** Canada

January, **2001**

copyright © Allison B. Mackay, 2001

National Library I*l of Canada

Acquisitions and Acquisitions et

395 Wellington Street 395. nie Wellington Canada Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

services bibliographiques

Ottawa ON K1A 0N4
Canada

Your fi& Votre *référence*

Our **lFle Notre** *refdrenœ*

exclusive licence allowing the exclusive permettant a la reproduce, loan, distribute or sell paper or electronic formats. la forme de microfiche/film, de

The author retains ownership of the L'auteur conserve la propriété du may be printed or otherwise de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés reproduced without the author's ou autrement reproduits sans son permission. autorisation.

The **author** has **granted** a non- L'auteur a accordé une licence non National Library of Canada to Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduce, loan, distribute or sell reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou copies of this thesis in microfom, vendre des copies de cette thèse sous reproduction sur papier ou **sur** format électronique.

copyright in this thesis. Neither the droit d'auteur qui protège cette thèse. thesis nor substantial extracts from it Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels

0-612-55917-3

Abstract

Acid phosphatases (APases) catdyze the hydrolysis of orthophosphate esters at acid pH optima. APases have **ken** detected in many plant tissues, including roots, tubers, leaves, and developing seedlings. APase induction **is** a major part of the plant phosphate-starvation response, and both cell-wall and secreted APases appear in the roots of phosphate-starved plants. Previous work identified an **APase** from potato tuber possessing unusually high activity, A genomic clone for potato tuber acid phosphatase *(PTAP)* **was** isolated, dong with several other clones showing amino acid sequence homology to acid phosphatases. One of these, *pap7,* was sequenced and characterized in **this** study. Of the 18 kb clone, 6.6 kb of contiguous sequence **was** assembled, containing APase-homologous sequence. The sequence comprised seven predicted exons, with al1 the boundaries but one conforming to plant splice site consensus sequences. The predicted protein displayed 53% identity with **PTAP,** 63% identity with red kidney bean **APase,** and 61% identity with sweet potato APase. The amino acids believed to be critical for active site binding and catalysis in kidney bean and sweet potato APases were completely conserved in both **PAP7** and **PTAP.** Southern blotting of genomic DNA indicates one copy of *pap7* is present in the potato genome. RNA from phosphate-fed and starved potato plants was probed with *pap7* but no expression was found in Ieaves. roots or stems. **Pap7** appears to **be** a member of a family of related plant acid phosphatase genes including the "purple" APases and a number of uncharacterized genes fiom diverse species. Although it does not appear to be phosphate-starvation induced, *pap7* could be expressed under other APase-inducing conditions, including senescence. sait stress, flowering, or seed germination.

ii.

Acknowledgements

I would first like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Daniel Lefebvre, for his continued support and advice on my research **and** the preparation of this **thesis.** Drs. Ken Ko and **Keith** Poole also deserve thanks for their service on rny committee **and the** different perspectives they have often provided on the challenges this project has presented.

This thesis research is based on initial work performed by Dr. Kevin Gellatly in the course of his Ph.D. **His** continued **advice** and encouragement **has** been appreciated throughout this project. During my time in the Lefebvre laboratory, **1** have also been guided and assisted by others who have worked here: Ali Hannoufa, Ali Malboobi, Norman Njoroge, Linda Tremblay, and Peter Truesdell.

Of course, **1** have met many new friends during my years in the department. These individuals have helped **make** my graduate school experience a pleasant one, **engaging** me in discussions both professiond and unprofessional. They include Stephanie Backman, Peter Chenaux, Marie-France Hetu, Erin Higgins, Richard Hodgson, David Law, Allison McDonald, Jennifer Moustgaard, Vanessa Murley, Fayek Negm, Lehli Pour, **Sandy** Reid, Chrïstopher Smith, **William** Turner and many others.

Finally, my family deserve much credit for their encouragement and support, and for putting up with many grumpy rants about uncooperative experiments and writer's block.

iii

 \sim \sim

Abbreviations

Table of Contents

 ~ 10

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION (cont.)

 \sim $\ddot{}$

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

List of Figures

 \sim

Introduction

Acid phosphatases (APases) catalyze **the** dephosphorylation of orthophosphate monoesters. APases appear to be ubiquitous in plants and have been characterïzed in **many** species including Arabidopsis *thaliana* (del Pozo *et* al., 1999), black mustard (Duff et al., 1989a), maize (Miernyk, 1992), potato (Gellatly et al., 1994), soybean (Ferriera et al., 1998), and wheat **(Van Etten and Waymack, 1991)**. Common features of these enzymes include pH optima between *5* and 6, a broad substrate specificity and inhibition by vanadate, molybdate and fluoride.

Purple acid phosphatases are a special class of APases with unique characteristics. **Initially** isolated from animals (eg. üteroferrin), they contain a binuclear rnetal center associated with the active site, **and** unlike regular APases, **are** not inhibited by tartrate. **Purple** acid phosphatases have been found in **a** number of plant species, but the one studied most extensively is from red kidney bean (Beck *et al.,* 1986). **KBPAP** is a 1 10 kDa dimeric glycoprotein, containing $Fe(III)$ and $Zn(II)$ at the active site. These ions are essential for activity via binding of the substrate's phosphate to Zn(1I) and subsequent hydrolysis by hydroxide bound to **Fe(III)** (Klabunde *et* al., 1996). Different PAP's can contain different metals $-$ for example, sweet potato PAP contains $Fe(III)$ and $Mn(II)$ (Schenk *et al.,* 1999).

In addition to biochemical characterization, a number of **plant** APase genes have been cloned. APase-coding cDNAs have been isolated from **Arabidopsis** (Mullaney and Ullah, 1998; Utsugi *et* **al.,** 1998), potato (Gellatly, 1996), red kidney bean (Schenk *et* nl.' **2000),** nce (Gellatly, 1996), soybean (Mason *et al-,* 1988), sweet potato (Schenk *et* ni._ 1999), *and* tomato (Erïon *et* **al.,** 1991).

 $\mathbf{1}$

The acid phosphatase activity in potato has been studied for some time, using preparations of **varying** purïty. Kubicz **and** colleagues (1974) CO-purified three differenr isoforms from potato tuber. The isoforms differed in charge but not molecular weight. In another study (Sugawara *et al.*, 1981) six isozymes were isolated, with molecular weights of 250-400 kDa **and** pH optima between 4.5 and **6.0,** It **was** not deterrnined whether these were separate isozymes or just differentially glycosylated. Gellatly and colleagues (1994) isolated the major acid phosphatase from potato. The enzyme (PTAP) is a dimeric 100 kDa glycoprotein showing strong activity towards phosphotyrosine (1917 units/mg), pyrophosphate (1728 units/mg), and phosphoenolpyruvate (764 units/mg), with a pH optimum of 5.8. It is most specific towards P-Tyr, with a specificity constant (V_{max}/K_m) of 1936, and was able to dephosphorylate potato phosphotyrosyl proteins *in vitro*. Cyanogen bromide cleavage of the subunits (57 and 55 kDa) showed that they consist of similar but not identical arnino acid sequences. AIthough PTAP's role is not known, the enzyme was induced in fresh but not sprouting tubers. suggesting it is not involved in nutrient-mobilizing processes accompanying sprouting.

Two genomic clones and a cDNA encoding PTAP have been isolated (Gellatly. **1996).** *PTAP-1* **and** *PTAP-2* **(genomic) and** *pPTAP* **(cDNA) encode a 451 amino acid** protein with a predicted molecular weight of 52 kDa. PTAP is strongly homologous to kidney **bean** purple acid phosphatase, with al1 of **the** residues **(Asp,** Asn, His, and Tyr) involved in the KBPAP active site fully conserved. Immunologically related proteins were detected in **aU** potato tissues (domant **and** sprouting tuber, tuber epidermis, stolon. root, stem **and** leaf) but PTAP **mRNA was** only detected in tuber and stolon.

 $\overline{2}$

Three genomic clones homologous to PTAP were also isolated (Gellatly. 1996). *Pap3, pap7, and pap11 all share deduced amino acid sequence homology with both* PTAP and KBPAP. These clones were partially sequenced and the deduced amino acid sequences (from the portions sequenced) shared 54% (pap3), 65% (pap7), and 73% (papll) identity with PTAP, with **the KBPAP** active site residues al1 conserved-

The purpose of this study **was** to sequence the pap7 genornic clone, analyze the sequence, and determine whether it **was** expressed in potato plants during phosphate starvation. Sequence analysis indicates **that** this clone may contain part of a fiinctional gene, encoding a protein **highly** homologous to PTAP and other acid phosphatases. **Northem** analysis **did** not indicate that pap7 is induced under phosphate starvation in potato plants.

Literature Review

Phosphate and plants

The orthophosphate ion (PO_4^2) is critical for plant (and other) cells, as it is incorporated into many important biomolecules including nucleic acids, phosphoproteins. phospholipids, **and** energy currency molecules such as **ATP.** The typical orthophosphate **(Pi)** concentration in soil is in the micromolar range, whereas in plant cytoplasm it is present in millimolar concentrations (Raghothama, 2000). Clearly, even under "normal" conditions, plants must maintain **a** steep Pi concentration gradient across the plasma membrane in order to ensure proper functioning of their metabolic and biosynthetic processes. In conditions of reduced environmentai phosphate the effects on intracellular Pi supply are significant: the concentration of free P_i can be reduced to 1/40 of normal. and the concentrations of ATP and ADP can drop to 1/5 and 1/10 of normal, respectively **(Duff et** *al.,* 1989b).

Plants experiencing phosphate starvation exhibit a number of gross morphological changes. Compared to non-starved plants, they exhibit reduced growth, an increased root: shoot mass ratio (Lefebvre and Glass, 1982), reduced root diameter, and leaf purpling due to increased anthocyanin production (Carswell et *al.,* 1996). Phosphatestarved white lupin *(Lupinus albus)* and related species exhibit another visible alteration in root morphology: **the** growth of "proteoid" roots, short, densely clustered tertiary roots **(Gilbert** *et al.,* 2000).

 $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$

The Phosphate Starvation Response

Eschenchia **coli**

Microorganisrns resemble plants in that they canot relocate to a more favourable environment when they encounter conditions of nutrient deprivation. An effective biochemicd system for surviving phosphate starvation would therefore be expected in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms.

The phosphate-starvation response of prokaryotes has been studied extensively. largely using Escherichia *coli* as a mode1 system. *E. coli* induces *a* number of phosphate uptake **and** metabolizing proteins during phosphate starvation, including alkaline phosphatase (PhoA; Shinagawa *et nl-,* 1983), outer membrane ponn (PhoE: Tommassen *et al,,* **1982),** phosphate-binding protein (PhoS; Surin *et al.,* 1984), glutamate synthase. glycerol-3-phosphate transporter and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Metcalf *et al..* 1990). Presumably, these proteins allow the cell to enhance its uptake and usage of phosphate and phosphoryIated organic molecules from its surroundings under lowphosphate conditions.

The system by which the *E. coli* "pho regulon" is directly controlled has been elucidated. The PhoB protein is a transcriptional activator which binds to "pho box" consensus sequences in the promoters of *pho* genes such as phoU (outer membrane porin), *phoA* (periplasmic alkaline phosphatase), *pstS* (periplasmic phosphate-binding protein), **and** pstA, *B,* and *C* (imer membrane phosphate transporter cornplex: Rao and Torriani, 1990). **PhoB** is in turn activated (by phosphorylation) under low-phosphate conditions **and** deactivated under high-phosphate conditions by a second protein. PhoR. **which** somehow responds to **external Pi** concentration (Yamada et *al..* **1989,** Amemura et **aL,** 1995)- This system is similar to other two-component genetic switches found in bacteria, and in fact the PhoB and PhoR proteins are homoIogous to a farnily of bacterial transcriptional activator/histidine protein kinase 2-component regulatory systems which respond to environmental stresses (Yamada *et* **al.,** 1989, Wanner, 1993).

The pho box is a sequence element found in varying copy numbers in the promoters of most *pho* genes. The consensus sequence for the *E. coli* pho box (as identified in the promoters of phoA, phoE, *pstS,* and phoB) is **CT(G/T)TCATA(A/T)A(A/T)CTGTCA(C/T) (Makino** *et* **al,,** 1986; Wanner, 1993). The

PhoB protein has been shown to bind to two such adjacent sequences in the *psrS* promoter and to initiate transcription in vitro (Makino *et al.,* 1988). The pho box is actudly a **pair** of sequences similar to CTGTCAT, **and** its influence in *pho* promoters **is actually quite** complex: **the** less sirailarity a promoter's pho boxes have to the consensus. the more are present in that promoter. As well, in one *pho* gene, *phoE,* the pho box compensates for **a** defective -10 promoter sequence ("Pribnow box") in initiating transcription (Scholten and Tommassen, 1994).

Saccharomyces cerevisiae

The phosphate-starvation response is significantly more complex in yeast than in bacteria. **As** a eukaryotic system, it is also of more relevance to the study of the plant phosphate-starvation response. Under phosphate-starvation conditions, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* induces the production of three acid phosphatases (Pho5, Pho 10, and Pho 11). **an** alkaline phosphatase (Pho8), and **a high-affinity** inorganic phosphate transporter

(Pho84; Oshima *et* al., 1996). **A** transcription factor, **Pho4,** activates expression of these genes, **md** is itself regulated at the post-translational Ievel (Toh-e *et* al., 198 1).

Recently, a comprehensive mode1 of the **S.** *cerevisiae* phosphate-stanration response has been constructed, based on extensive mutation studies **and** biochemicai and genetic analysis. The transcription factor Ph04 is known to be repressed by phosphorylation at five serine residues under phosphate-fed conditions, while under phosphate-starved conditions this phosphorylation disappears **and** Ph04 (dong- with an additional factor, Pho2) binds to the promoters of *pho* genes (Oshima *et al.,* 1996). This phosphorylation is done by a cyclin-dependent protein kinase (CDK), Pho85, which is permanently complexed with the cyclin Pho80. The phosphorylating activity of Pho85/Pho80 is in turn regulated by another protein, Pho81, a CDK inhibitor whose transcription is induced under conditions of phosphate starvation (Lenburg and 0's hea. 1996). The end result of this system is that when the ce11 experiences phosphate starvation, **Ph04** is dephosphorylated and the pho genes are expressed. The system incorporates **a** positive feedback loop: transcription of the Ph08 **L** CDK inhibitor is positively regulated by Pho4 (Oshima et al., 1996).

Like *E. coli*, *S. cerevisiae* has promoter sequence elements in common among phosphate-regulated genes. Promoter-deletion studies by Rudolph and Hinnen (1987) showed that the yeast *ph05* promoter contains four instances of the palindromic consensus sequence **AYUTGYTAATTAUCAYUT,** while the *phol I* promoter contained one copy of the sequence. Two of these elements were required for *phos* expression: when they were removed, expression levels dropped to 0.2-0.1 times normal (Rudolph) **and Hinnen,** 1987). **These** elements correspond to the sites of Ph04 binding to the *ph05*

 $\overline{7}$

promoter (Vogel **and** Hinnen, **1990).** They are dso necessary for in **vivo** nucIeosome disassociation from the $pho5$ promoter, which seems to be the critical event allowing transcription (Vogel and Hinnen, 1990). Other researchers (Ogawa *et al.*, 1994) found a 6-base pair sequence, **CACGT(G/T),** present in the promoters of pho5, **pho8, pho51,** acd pho84, which bound to Pho4. Since these sequences overlap with three of the *pho5* sequences descnbed previousIy, it is possible that the **Ph04** binding site is slightly different in each instance, incorporating different combinations of sequence motifs and resulting in different Ph04 binding affinities,

It is still not clear how **yeast** celIs sense phosphate starvation. **It** is possible that extracellular **Pi** concentration is sensed by the Pi-transport machinery: mutants in several transport-associated genes (including *pho84*) showed constitutive *pho5* expression (Lenburg and O'Shea, 1996). Of course, intracellular P_i concentration would probably be altered by a transporter mutation, afTecting any putative intracellular Pi sensing system.

Plants

In addition to visible morphological changes, plants exhibit a well-characterized biochemicd response to phosphate starvation, the components of which are sirnilar across almost all species which have been studied. The two major cellular-level responses in plants are the induction of acid phosphatases, both intra- and extracellular, and the induction of high-affinity phosphate transporters.

Acid phosphatase (APase) induction was first noticed in aging suspension **ce11** cultures which were depleting their supply of phosphate (Yamaoka et al., 1969). In a subsequent study of tobacco suspension cells, **Ueki and** Sato (197 1) found that secreted

acid phosphatase activity greatly increased when cells were placed in low- P_i cultures, and decreased again when the cells were re-fed P_i . It was also noted that inhibiting protein synthesis stopped the creation of **new** activity but not the release of pre-existing intracellular activity, suggesting that acid phosphatase **was** being synthesized de **novo** in response to phosphate starvation (Ueki and Sato, 1971).

Numerous studies on whole plants and suspension cells have confirmed this acid phosphatase induction. Studies of phosphate-starved plant roots (Dracup *er* **al.,** 1983, Szabo-Nagy et al., 1987) found that in addition to secreted APases, much of the induced APase activity **was** bound to the root ce11 walls. Cell-wall APases could work similarly to secreted oaes, by hydrolyzing phosphoesters in the imrnediate vicinity of the plasma membrane (in roots, the rhizosphere), However, results **Vary** with respect to whether cellwall APases are induced under phosphate starvation: Lefebvre and co-workers (1990) found that although secreted and intracellular APases were induced under phosphate starvation in **Brassica** *nigra* suspension cells, cell-wall APase activity did not correlate **with** medium **(Pi].** Studies on the roots of clover (Dracup et **al.,** 1984) and winter wheat (Szabo-Nagy et al., **1987),** and on the proteoid roots of white lupin (Gilbert et *al.,* 1999) found that cell-wall APase activity was significantly higher in phosphate-starved plants. This discrepancy is possibly due to a tissue-specific expression pattern: only root tissue would require cell-wall phosphatases to hydrolyze phosphoesters frorn outside the plant. and suspension culture cells might not respond the same way differentiated root cells would.

Although **APase** induction is considered the hallmark of the phosphate-starvarioii response, plants also react to reduced phosphate supply by increasing their P_i intake

through membrane transporters. Increased phosphate uptake is **a** very rapid response to reduced external [Pi], more rapid in fact than APase induction. Studies of barley (Lefebvre and Glass, 1982) and Brassica nigra suspension cells (Lefebvre *er* ni., **1990)** showed that increased influx occurs very quickiy after the onset of phosphate starvation (approximately 1 day), while APase induction occurs somewhat later (3-6 days). Recently, **cDNA** library clones encoding phosphate transporters have been isolated from phosphate-starved Arabidopsis thaliana roots (Muchhal et al., 1996), potato roots (Leggewie *et* al., 1997), Medicago truncatula roots (Liu *et* al., 1998b) and tomato roots (Muchhal **and** Raghothama, 1999). These transporters share amino acid sequence homology, and all display a similar 12-domain membrane-spanning structure. The Arabidopsis clones were able to complement **a** yeast high-affinity phosphate transporter mutant, while the potato clones partially restored high-affinity transport to a similar yeast mutant. All of these transporter genes showed increased expression under phosphate starvation, though at least in M . truncatula one of the transporters was much less sensitive to **Pi** status than the other (Liu *et* **al.,** 1998b). This appears to support enzymological studies showing that plants possess both constitutive and phosphatestarvation-induced phosphate-transport activities (Nandi **et** al., 1987; S himogawara er **cd..** 1999).

Of course, when phosphate becomes Iimiting, plant cells not only have to **iiptake and** retain *as* rnuch Pi as possible, **but also** maintain the cytoplasrnic concentration at a level which allows crucial metabolic functions to continue. If the cytoplasmic $[P_i]$ were directly responsive to the external $[P_i]$, the cell could rapidly reach a state where even glycolysis **was** impossible. This **is** why the vacuolar **Pi** pool appears to acr as a "buffer"

between cytoplasmic and external phosphate pools. Studies on sycarnore cell-suspension cultures showed that under normal nutrition, cytoplasmic **Pi** concentration was about *6* mM, **while** in the vacuole it **was** 1.5 mM. Inside phosphate-starved cells, these Pi pools adjusted over time, **with** the vacuolar concentration decreasing rapidly, followed by a slower decrease in the cytoplasmic concentration (Rebeille et al. **1983).** When the cells were subsequently fed phosphate, the cytoplasmic pool was replenished first. This system could serve to maintain an optimal cytoplasmic **[Pi]** needed for metabolism for as long **as** possible. Phosphate transporters in the tonoplast membrane could modulate this response by responding to changes in cytoplasmic $[P_i]$ and moving P_i across the tonoplast membrane (Rebeille *et al.,* 1983).

Despite the cell's attempts to maintain cytopiasmic phosphate levels under starvation conditions, it is inevitable that under prolonged starvation, cytoplasmic $[P_i]$, **[ADP]** and **[ATP]** will **diminish** (Duff *et al,,* **1989b).** Hence, cells must be able to perform crucial metabolic reactions even **as** severai of their fundamental substrates start to dwindle. **In** the glycolytic pathway, phosphofnictokinase **(PFK)** requires ATP, **NAD**glycerddehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase **(NAD-G3PDH)** requires Pi, and both *3* phosphoglycerate kinase and pymvate kinase require **ADP** in sufficient concentrations to function properly (Duff *et* al., **1989b).** It **was** found that under phosphate-starvation conditions, an alternative suite of enzymes were induced, which could effectively bypass the phosphate requirements of glycolysis. For example, pyrophosphate-dependent phosphofructokinase can substitute for PFK; using pyrophosphate instead of ATP, and non-phosphorylating **NADP-glycerol-3-phosphate** dehydrogenase could substitute for **NADG3PDH** and **3-PGA** kinase using NADP as a phosphate source (Duff *et* al., 1989b).

Another enzyme induced under phosphate starvation was an intraceIlular acid phosphatase with a strong substrate specificity for phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP). This enzyme, which is strongly inhibited by P_i , ADP and ATP (and is thus unlikely to function well in phosphate-fed cells), could bypass the PK reaction without requiring scarce ADP **(Duff** *et* al,, 1989a). With a pH optimum of 5.6, it would probably function in the vacuole, producing pyruvate and **Pi** which could be transported to the cytoplasm (Theodorou and Plaxton, 1993).

The underlying question behind phosphate starvation research is, how do plants actudly sense phosphate starvation **and** regulate **the** response? The most rapid response is increased P_i influx via the induction of high-affinity phosphate transporters, with APase activity exhibiting **a** similar increase significantly later (Lefebvre **et al.,** 1990). One possible way for plants to signal phosphate starvation wouid be with phytohormones. In fact, acid phosphatases are also induced under other conditions. with phytohormones appearing to be involved. APase activity was increased in barley seed aleurone during imbibition, **and** exogenous **GA3** appeared to increase the secretion of the activity (Bailey *et* al., 1976). **A** similar result was found in seeds of wheat **(Akiyama** et al., 1981). IAA was found to induce APase secretion (but not *de novo* synthesis) in maize coleoptiles (Pfeiffer, 1996), and **ABA** induced expression of the **Arabidopsis** phosphate starvation-inducible APase **AtACPS** (del Pozo *et aL,* 1999). Methyl jasmonate treatment has also been found to cause APase induction in detached leaves of rice (Yeh et al., 1995). However, studies of phosphate starvation have not yielded similar links to phytohormones. Experirnents on ABA-deficient **and** ABA-insensitive mutants of Arabidopsis by Trull and colleagues (1997) do not support a role for ABA in the

phosphate-starvation response: **ABA** mutants and wild-type plants displayed no differences in root:shoot ratio, intracellular [P_i], or APase induction during phosphate starvation. It is conceivable that other phytohormones may play a roie in the phosphatestarvation response, but evidence **is** lacking.

The most promising insights into how plants may regulate the phosphate starvation response suggest that the phosphate status of the shoot may control phosphate acquisition by the roots. Dong **and** colleagues (1998) studied the *ph02* mutant of *Arabidopsis,* which accumulates excessive P_i in the shoots. They found that root phosphate **influx** and Pi translocation to **the** shoot **was** higher in *ph02* pIants than wild type, **and** when the *ph02* shoots were removed, influx rates dropped to wild type levels. When phosphate-fed *pho2* plants were placed in -P medium, the shoot maintained a higher [Pi] and did not translocate as much Pi to the roots. This suggests that root-level phosphate-starvation adaptations (phosphate influx and possibly APase induction) **are** sensitive to the Pi **flux** between the shoot and root, and that the plant's sensing of the shoot's phosphate status governs that flux via shoot phosphate transporters either in the "sink" cells or the phloern. This is supported by divided-root experiments in which P-fed plants with half their roots placed in -P medium did not exhibit increased phosphatetransporter induction in those roots **(Liu** *et* al., 1998a).

Although the regdation of phosphate starvation induced genes **at** the molecular level is **still** poorly understood, recent work **has** provided potentiai footholds for future discoveries. Malboobi and Lefebvre (1995) isolated a number of cDNA clones from phosphate-starved *Brassica nigra* cells, one of which shows homology to serine/threonine kinases. As phosphorylation is a common mechanism for control of

enzyme function, this could represent part of a signaling pathway activating gene expression under phosphate starvation. Wykoff and co-workers (1999) have cloned a gene, *psrl,* from **the green alga** *Chlamydomonas* **reinhardtii,** mutants of which are deficient in phosphate starvation-specific responses such as transporter induction and alkaline phosphatase secretion. The Rsrl protein is phosphate starvation-induced and localized to the nucleus. It contains sequence elements homologous to DNA binding, transcription factor dimerization, and trancriptional activation domains from plant TF's, and is homologous to several **plant** expressed sequence tags of unknown function. Psrl could very well be a phosphate starvation-induced transcription factor controlling some or ail of the genes induced under phosphate stress.

Recently, two discoveries have suggested important similarities in the promoters of plant phosphate-starvation induced genes. Burleigh and CO-workers (1998) isolated *Mt4*, a gene upregulated under phosphate starvation in *Medicago truncatula. Mt4* transcript appeared only in roots and was dramatically reduced as medium P_i concentration increased. The promoter region of *Mt4* contained a CACGTG motif, sirniIar to the **CACGTGLï** motif found **in** S. cerevisiae *Ph084* and tornato *TPSll* promoters, both of which are phosphate-starvation induced (Burleigh *el al.,* 1998). Haran **and** CO-workers (200) cloned the promoter **region** of an **Arabidopsis** acid phosphatase gene induced only under phosphate starvation. The APase was expressed in leaves and roots and appeared to be secreted from cells. The promoter contained a **CACTTG** motif similar to the CACGTG from the *Mt4* promoter. If similar motifs are found in other phosphate-starvation inducible plant promoters, **and** are shown to be required for promoter function, it will be a significant advance in the understanding of plant PSR

control. If such elements are shown to interact with proteins such as the Chlamydomonas Psr1 (Wykoff et al., 1999), it will be a step towards understanding plant PSR control as thoroughly as that in **yeast.**

Acid Phosphatases

Acid phosphatases (APases; E.C. **3.1.3.2)** are enzymes which hydrolyze orthophosphate esters, releasing orthophosphate (P_i). APases typically have pH optima between 5.0 and 6.0 **@uff** *et* al., 199 Lb), although the pH optimum can **Vary** between substrates and the enzymes can often function at neutral pH (Duff *et al.*, 1989a, Cashikar et al., 1997). Plants **aIso** possess alkaline phosphatases, which have pH optima above 7 and, **unlike** APases, typically display absolute substrate specificity (Duff *er* al.. 1994). **Alkaline** phosphatases also hydrolyze phosphoesters via a different reaction mechanism than APases (Neuman, 1968; Vincent et al., 1992).

Acid phosphatases appear to be ubiquitous in plants. They have been shown to occur in different tissues and developmental stages of the same species, in intracellular. secreted and cell-wall foms, **and** to appear in response to nutrient starvation (Duff et al.. 1994). Plant acid phosphatases **can** be divided into several different groups based on a number of physical and biochemical characteristics.

Acid Phosphatase Characteristics

The majority of APases characterized in plants appear to be substrate nonspecific. **Although they** may display increased efficiency hydrolyzing certain substrates.

their ability to also hydrolyze other substrates prevents them being classified as "specific" in the same way that **many** enzymes (such as those of glycolysis) are.

Non-specific APases have been identified in numerous plant species, in various tissues under different environmental stresses and developmental stages- These **APases** are generally mono- or dimeric, with subunit moIecular weights of 30-60 **kDa** (although molecular weights *as* **high** as 400 **kDa** have been observed; Sugawara *et* al., 198 l), and are glycoproteins.

APases have been characterized in many tissues, including roots, tubers, and leaves, **and** seeds and cotyledons of developing seedlings- APases have also been characterized from suspension cell cultures of black mustard (Duff et al., 1989a; Duff et **al.,** 1991a), rnaize (Miernyk, 1992), rice (Igaue et al., 1976), and tomato (Paul and Williamson, 1987). Unfortunately, this strategy provides no information about any tissue-specific expression patterns of the isofoms characterized. A nurnber of studies **have** reported **APase** activity in roots, although **few have** charactenzed punfied enzyme preparations. Dracup and co-workers (1984) reported APase activity in the cell walls of roots of subterranean clover, **and** found that the activity increased as plants were starved for phosphate. Lee (1988) found "externally accessible" (cell-wall or secreted) acid phosphatase activity in the roots of phosphate-starved barley, and Pfeiffer (1998) reported an extracellular acid phosphatase from the growing root tips of **maize.** Gilbert and colleagues (1999) found **APase** activity in both prirnary and proteoid roots of white lupin. with secreted APase activity increasing under phosphate starvation. Several researchers have punfied and characterized root APases. **A** 42 **kDa** soluble **APase** was characterized from phosphate-starved wheat roots (Szabo-Nagy *et* **al.,** 1987). **It** displayed non-absolute

substrate specificity, preferentially hydrolyzing ATP at pH 6.5 and pyrophosphate at pH 5.0 (Szabo-Nagy *et* **al.,** 1987). **Panara** and CO-workers (1990) characterized the major soluble APase from barley roots: a 78 **kDa** homodimer with a broad pH optimum of *5.2-* 6.8, preferentially hydrolyzing ATP and phosphotyrosine.

Sugawara and co-workers (1981) reported six APase isozymes from potato tuber, ranging in size from 250 to 400 kDa. The isozymes were localized in the cell wall and showed a substrate preference for adenylates, though a limited number of substrates were tested. Gellady and CO-workers (1994) purified a 100 **kDa** dimeric potato tuber APase with strong phosphotyrosine phosphatase activity. APases have also been characterized fiom membrane **and** soluble fiactions from yarn tuber (Kamenan and Diopoh, 1953). and from sweet potato (Durmus *et* **al.,** 1999).

APases have been studied in seeds from a number of species, including kidney bean (Beck et *al.,* **1986),** wheat **(Van** Etten and Waymack, 1991), *Vigna sinemis* (Biswas and Cundiff, 1991), poppy (Chung and Polya, 1992), soybean (Fujimoto *et al.*, 1977; Ferriera et al., 1998), lentil (Bose and Taneja, 1998), and castor bean (Granjeiro et al.. 1999). Although some of the enzymes appear to be nonspecific APases. the poppy. soybean, **and** lentil enzymes show significant activity towards phosphorylated amino acids (P-Tyr and **P-Ser)** which suggests they may have some regulatory functions as protein phosphatases (Chung **and** Polya, 1992; Bose and Taneja, 1998).

Different APases can have widely varying effectors. In general, vanadate, molybdate, and fluoride effectively inhibit APase activity (Randall and Tolbert. 1971; Gellatly, **1996),** and several reports have shown lead **and** mercury cations to be strong inhibitors as well (Randall and Tolbert, 1971; Panara *et al.*, 1990). P_i itself is also an

inhibitor of APases, suggesting that feedback inhibition **may** be a common method of regulating phosphatase activity. **In** fact, ions such **as** tartrate, molybdate. and vanadate **may** act as inhibitors because of their structurai similarity with **Pi** (Duff *et* al. 1994)- The effects of the divalent metal cations such as Mg^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and Cu^{2+} can vary between different APases: they can increase APase activity (Sugawara et al., 1981; Duff et **al.,** 1989a; Ferriera et al., 1998), partially inhibit it (Randall **and** Tolbert, 197 1; Cheng and Tao, 1989; Pariara et **al.,** 1990; Bose **and** Taneja, 1998; Granjeiro et al., 1999), or both, depending on the specific enzyme. For example, yam tuber APases were activated by Mg²⁺, but inhibited by Ca²⁺ (Kamenan and Diopoh, 1983). Gellatly and colleagues (1994) found that potato tuber APase was activated by Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Co^{2+} and Mn^{2+} , but inhibited by Zn^{2+} .

Specialized Acid Phosphatases

Although many plant APases do not appear to demonstrate specificity towards any particular substrate, some have been identified which show strong preference for a particular substrate. Examples of this are 3-phosphoglycerate phosphatase (Randall and Tolbert, 1971) **and** phosphoenolpynivate (PEP) phosphatase (Duff *et al.,* 1989a). These enzymes **may** be involved in specific steps of metabolism, and would require a degree of specificity.

There are **two** larger groups of specialized APases which bear closer examination. **the** phytases and the protein phosphatases. Phytases are enzymes which hydrolyze phytate (myo-inositol-hexakisphosphaîe), **an** organic polyphosphate compound found in plant seeds as well as soil. They typically have pH optima between **4.0** and 5.6 (Duff *rr*

al., 1994), and are expressed in germinating seeds. Phytases are believed to have a role following germination, mobilizing **Pi and** mineral ions from phytate which is stored in the plant embryo (Maugenest *et al.,* 1999). **Phytate** is found in soi1 (sometimes making up 25% of **the** organic phosphoesters), but studies have shown that although phytase is strongly induced in roots of phosphate-starved plants, the pIants are not able to sustain a normal phosphate nutritional status when phytate is the sole Pi source (Richardson *et al.*, 2000). However, phytate is known to be present in root tissues, and it is possible that the enzyme is involved in mobilizing root phytate reserves during phosphate starvation (Maugenest *et al.,* **1999).**

Protein phosphatases catalyze the removal of phosphates from tyrosine. serine or threonine residues of proceins. As phosphorylation state is known to control the activity of many enzymes **and** transcription factors, protein phosphatases and kinases can be important regdatory proteins. Tyrosine phosphorylation is involved in ce11 growth replation in animal cells (Pot **and** Dixon, 1992) and in regulation of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe cell cycle (via CDK phosphorylation; Charbonneau and* Tonks, **1992).** Although typically, proteins are activated by phosphorylation (such as by **MAP kinases)** the reverse **cm dso** occur, with dephosphorylation causing activation. One example in plants **is** sucrose phosphate synthase (Huber and Huber, 1996). Although tyrosine phosphatases acting in the cytosol would have to function at neutral pH, **the** reaction mechanisms of **P-Tyr** phosphatases and nonspecific acid phosphatases are similar, with an orthophosphate-enzyme intermediate being formed (Pot and Dixon. 1992; Vincent *et* **aL,** 1992). **Several** APases have been shown to exhibit significant P-Tyr

phosphatase activity (Cheng and Tao, 1989; Chung and Polya, 1992; Gellatly *er al..* 1994; Femera et al,, 1998; Bose and **Taneja,** 1998)-

Purple Acid Phosphatases

Purple acid phosphatases (PAP's) were first characterized in mammalian tissues. including pig uterine fluid (uteroferrin), bovine spleen and human ostc γ clasts. Animal PAP's are monomeric proteins of approximately 35 kDa, containing an Fe(III)-Fe(II) metal center (Klabunde *et* al,, 1995). Plant PAP's have been **purified** from many species including sweet potato (Uehara *et* al,, 1974), soybean (Fujimoto *et al.,* 1977), nce (Igaue et al., 1976), and duckweed (Nakazato *et al.*, 1998), but the best-characterized plant PAP is from red kidney bean *(Phaseolus vulgaris)*. The kidney bean enzyme *(KBPAP)* is a 110 **kDa** cIimenc giycoprotein, containlng an Fe(m)-Zn(lI) metal center (Beck **sr** *al..* 1986). These metal ions are coordinated with severaf separate tyrosine, histidineasparagine **and** aspartic acid residues, which are conserved between uteroferrin and KBPAP, even though the overall amino acid sequence similarity is only around 20% (Klabunde *et* al., 1995). The *in vivo* substrate for *KBPAP* is not known, however it displays maximal activity against ATP, polyphosphate, and pyrophosphate. It appears to have no activity against phosphoproteins, phosphoglycerate, sugar-phosphates, or phytate (Cashikar et **al.,** 1997). Since KBPAP appears to be localized in the ce11 walls of peripheral cotyledon cells, a possible in *vivo* role could be scavenging phosphate out of surrounding soi1 to feed the developing ernbryo **(Cashikar** et al., 1997). Several interesting but less substantiated altemate roles for **KBPM** have been proposed: involvement in cell-wall breakdown during germination (Cashikar *et al.*, 1997), and the

removal of reactive oxygen species from the cell through reduction of the $Fe(HI)$ to $Fe(H)$ **(Mabunde** *et al.,* **1995).**

 \mathcal{A}

 \sim

 \cdot

 $\ddot{}$

Materials and Methods

AU reagents and chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville. Ontario) or Fisher Scientific (Nepean, Ontario) if not otherwise indicated.

Genomic Library Clones

A **hEMBL3** (SP6K7) genomic library (purchased frorn Clontech, PaIo Alto, California) had previously been screened by Dr. Kevin GeIIatiy using a degenerate oligonucleotide synthesized from PTAP N-terminal amino acid sequence. The pap7 clone was one of five clones isolated from the library

Bacteriophage screening and plaque lifts

In order to ensure the purity of the $pap7$ genomic clone the λ bacteriophage were plated and screened with a known sequence fiorn **pap7. Escherichia** *coli* cells (BB4) were cultured overnight in LB medium with 10 mM MgSO₄ and 0.2% w/v maltose. For each of three 100 mm plates 300 μ of cells were mixed with $2x10^4$ pfu of λ phage and incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes. The infected cells were mixed with 2.5 ml of top agar (0.7% LB-agar with IO rnM **MgS04)** and plated on LB-agar (1 % agar w/v) plates containing 10 mM MgS04 **and** *0.2%* **w/v** maltose **and** incubated at 37°C overnight. This resulted in approximately 30-40 welI-separated phage plaques on each plate.

Circular **Nytran** membranes (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, New Hampshire) were pre-soaked in **5x** SSC, then placed on the plates' surface, marked for orientation, and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. **The** membranes were placed face-up on

Whatman 3M paper **(Whatman, Clifton, New Jersey)** soaked in 0.5 M NaOH / 1.5 M NaCl for **5** minutes, **then** neutralized with 1 M Tris-HCI (pH 8 **.O)** for *5* minutes- The membranes were then incubated **with** 0.1 M Tris-HC1 **(pH** 7 *-5)* / **2x** *SSC* for *5* minutes and briefly washed in **5x** *SSC.* To immobilize **phage** DNA on the membranes they were **air-dried** and placed face-down on an ultraviolet transilluminator for 4 minutes.

Probe synthesis and colony hybridization

To probe the membranes, random-primed probes were made from a 1.7 kb *EcoRI/BamHI fragment of pap7* previously shown (Gellatly, 1996) to be homologous to **PTAP.** Two micrograms of the fragment (digested out of the vector and gel-purified) were diluted with 17 μ of water and heated to 95°C for 4 minutes and chilled on ice. **The Renaissance Random Primer labeling kit (NEN, Boston, Massachusetts) was used to** generate fluorescein-labeled primers from the denatured DNA. The DNA was mixed with random primers and reaction buffer $(5 \mu I)$, fluorescein-labeled nucleotides $(5 \mu I)$, and DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment (1μ) ; approx. 2 units). The synthesis reaction proceeded for 1 hour **at** 37°C and was stopped with **5 pl** of 0.1 M EDTA (pH 8.0). Before use **the** probe solution **was** mixed with 300 **pl** of hybridization buffer **(5x** *SSC,* 0.1% W/V SDS, 0.5% Blocking Reagent; NEN, 5% w/v dextran suIfate) and heated to 95°C for 4 minutes, then cooled on ice.

Before beginning **the** probing steps, the membranes with bound bacteriophage DNA were wetted thoroughly in **2x** *SSC.* The membranes were then incubated in a hybridization oven (Bio/Can Scientific, Mississauga, Ontario) with 15 ml of hybridization buffer for 1 hour at 65°C. For hybridization, the membranes were

incubated overnight at 65° C with 15 ml of hybridization buffer containing 50 μ g/ml of salmon sperm DNA and approximately 20 ng/ml of the probe. After hybridization, the membranes were washed twice for 30 minutes at 65°C, first with 2x SSC, 1% SDS, then with **0.2~** *SSC,* **0.1% SDS-**

All subsequent probe detection steps were done at room temperature. Prior to probe detection, the membranes were agitated in Buffer **1 (0.15** M NaCl, **O.** 1 M Tris-HCl **pH 7.5)** for 5 minutes to remove SDS , The membranes were then blocked for 1 hour in Buffer 2 (Buffer **1 with** 0.5% Blocking Reagent). The membranes were incubated for ¹ hour in buffer 2 containing 111000 **dilution of** anti-fiuorescein-HRP conjugate (NEN). then rinsed 4 **times** in Buffer **1- The** membranes were then incubated in a I : **1** mixture of Enhanced **Lumïnol** Reagent and Oxidizing Reagent (NEN) **for 1** minute, then blotted dry. The membranes were **wrapped** in plastic wrap and placed in an autoradiography cassette with X-ray film (Kodak, Rochester, New York) to detect the probe.

Growth of bacteriophage and DNA purification

Two plaques which hybridized to the probe were chosen as sources of phage **DNA.** Single plaques were excised from the plates and placed in 400 µ of SM buffer **(0.58%** wlv **NaCI, 0.2%** wlv **MgS04** septahydrate, 50 mM Tris **pH** 7.5,O.O 1 '31 w/v **gelatin)** for 4 hours at **4°C** to elute the phage particles. *E. coli* celis (BB4) were grown ovemight in LB medium containing 0.2% maltose and **10** mM **MgS04. 100** y1 of cells were combined with 100 µl of eluted phage and 100 µl of 10 mM MgCl₂/ 10 mM CaCl₂ **and** incubated at **37°C** for 15 minutes. The mixture was added to 50 ml of LB medium containing 10 mM MgSO₄ and incubated at 37° C until the culture contained stringy cell

debns indicating **lysis.** 2 **drops** of chloroform were **added and** the culture was centrifuged at 12,000 **g** for 10 minutes at **4°C.** The supematant (lysate) **was** retained and stored at 4°C in glass **vials with a** few drops of chloroform.

Pap7 bacteriophage clone DNA **was** purified from plate lysate using Lambdasorb Phage Adsorbent (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin). Prior to the procedure, *E. coli* cells *(C6ûû)* were grown ovemight in LB medium (with 10 mM **MgS04,** 0.2% maltose) and resuspended to an A_{600} of 0.5 in 10 mM MgSO₄. The *pap7* λ liquid lysate (see above) was diluted 1/5000 prior to use.

For a typical preparation, twelve 10 cm Petri dishes were each filled with 30 ml of 1% **LB-agar.** Two hundred microlitres of A. lysate and 300 **pi** of cells were mixed and incubated at **37°C** for **30** minutes, then plated in 2.5 ml of top agarose (LB with *0.5%* agarose and 10 mM MgSO₄ added) and incubated overnight at 37°C. When the plaques were almost confluent, **3** ml of SM was pipeted ont0 each plate, and the top agarose scraped with **it** into a **30** ml Corex glass centrifuge tube **and** incubated, shaking, for 30 minutes at room temperature. **The** tubes were then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C and the supematant filtered through **two** layers of lint-free wipes (Kimberley-Clark, Dallas, **Texas) and** retained. One hundred microlitres of Larnbdasorb were added **to** each 10 ml of lysate **and** incubated with shaking at room temperature for 30 minutes. The lysate **was then** centrifuged at 14,000 **g** for 15 **minutes at** 4°C **and** the supernatant carefully discarded. The pellet was mice resuspended in 1 ml of SM and spun down in a microcentrifuge, then resuspended in 500 **pl** of 10 mM Tris-HC1 (pH 7.8), 10 mM **EDTA.** The suspension **was** heated to 67°C for **5** minutes to release the *h* DNA, and the debris pelleted by centrifugation at **13,000** g for 5 minutes. Fifty microlitres of 5 M NaCI

were added per 500 **pi** of supernatant, then the supernatant **was** extracted twice with 25:24:1 phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol, then with 24:1 chloroform/isoamyl alcohol. One-half volume of 5M **NaCI** and one-third volume of 30% **w/v** PEG 8000 were added and the supernatant **was** incubated on ice overnight.

The PEG precipitation **was** centrifuged at 13,000 **g** and the pellet retained and resuspended in **300** pl of TE buffer. The DNA solution was extracted once with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol and once with chloroform, then precipitated by adding 0.1 volume of 3M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 2 volumes of 100% ethanol and leaving at -80°C for 30 minutes. The DNA pellet was washed once with 75% ethanol. dried, and resuspended in 100 **pl** of TE buffer. The DNA **was** quantified by measuring the **A260** with a spectrophotometer.

Restriction digestion of clone and subcloning of restriction fragments

All restriction enzymes used for cloning and mapping were obtained from Promega and **MBI** Fermentas (Burlington, Ontario). **EcoRI** and **EcoRIISaïI** fragments of the **pap7** clone were subcloned into pBluescript SK+ (Stratagene, La Jolla, Cdifornia) for subsequent manipulations. One microgram of phage DNA and 500 **ng** of pIasmid DNA were each completely digested with 1 U of *EcoRI* or 1 **U** each of **EcoRI** and SnlI. **The pap7 phage DNA was** mixed with approximately 0.1 **pg** of plasmid, 0.5 **pl** (0.5 units) of DNA ligase (Promega), and **0.5 pl** of ligase buffer in 10 **pl** total volume and incubated at 16°C ovemight.

Heat shock transformation **was** used to insert the ligated plasmid and fragments into *E. coli* ceus. **Fifty** microlitres of frozen **ceils** (pre-prepared competent **DH-SR** Gibco

BRL/Life Technologies, Burlington, Ontario) were thawed slowly on ice. One microlitre of ligation mÎx **was** added **to** the cells and **gently stirred.** The cells were then incubared on ice **for 30** minutes, followed **by** heat shocking at 37°C for 30 seconds **and** re-cooling on ice **for** 2 minutes. **The** cells were added to 1 ml of **LB** medium and incubated for I hour, **shaking,** at **37C**

The cells were **then** plated on blue-white colour selection plates to select transformants with plasmid inserts. The plates contained 100 μ g/ml of ampicillin and were coated with 40 μ l each of 0.1M IPTG and 50 μ g/ μ l X-gal (Promega). The plates were incubated at 37°C overnight. A total of 15 white colonies were selected from several plates and each **was** used to inoculate 2 ml of **LB-amp** and grown ovemight. Plasmid DNA **was** punfied from the iiquid cultwes using the Qiaprep Spin Column kit (Qiagen, Mississauga, Ontario) and quantitated spectrophotometrically. Aliquots of purified DNA were digested with *EcoRI* and SaII and separated on an agarose gel to determine insert sizes.

Sequencing and analysis of subclones

Subclones were sequenced **by** MOBM **Inc.** (Hamilton, Ontario). Sequence traces were manudly **transcrïbed** into a computer and assembled into contigs using EditSeq and **SeqMan,** components of the Lasergene software package (DNAStar, Madison, Wisconsin). Sequence homology searches were done using BLAST (Altschui et al., 1990) on the NCBI **web** site at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/. Introdexon boundary prediction **was** assisted **by** using the **program** SplicePredictor (Ussuka and Brendel, 2000) on the web at http://gremlin1.zool.iastate.edu/cgi-bin/sp.cgi. Protein

sequence alignments and cladogram construction were performed with the CLUSTAL **algorithm** (using the software's default **parameters:** gap penalty=lO, gap length **penalty=10; Higgins and Sharp, 1988), using the Lasergene program MegAlign.**

Probe pap7pl synthesis and labeling

For the probing of Southem blots, a probe **was** designed corresponding to the end of the putative $pap7$ coding sequence (the last exon) and the sequence immediately 3' to it. A 574 bp region **was amplified** by PCR, using **genornic** clone DNA as the template. The parameters were 94°C for 2 minutes, 55°C for **1** minute, and 72°C for 1 minute. for 30 cycles. PCR was performed **using** an MJ Research (Watertown, Maine) Minicycler.

The fragment was purified **from** an agarose gel using the Sephaglas BP kit (Amersham-Phamiacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Probe labeling **was** done using the Prirne-a-Gene kit (Promega). Approximately 50 ng of gel-purified restriction fragment or PCR product **was** diluted to **10 fl** volume and denatured by boiling for 2 minutes and cooling on ice. The denatured DNA **was** added to 10 **p.l** of **5x** kit labeling buffer, 2 y1 of unlabeled dNTP's (G, A, and T; 500 μ M each), 2 μ l of BSA (10 mg/ml), 5 μ l of ³²PdCTP (approx. 50 µCi), and 7.5 units of DNA polymerase (Klenow fragment, Promega) in a reaction volume of 50 **pl.** The reaction proceeded for 90 minutes at room temperature and **was** stopped by boiling for 2 minutes. The probe was purified using a Nick size-fiactionation colurnn **(Life** Technologies, Burlington, Ontario). The column **was** equilibrated with TE buffer (pH 7.4) **and** the labeling reaction was loaded ont0 the column, followed by 350 **pl** of TE. Another **400** pl of TE was loaded and the second 400
pl eIuate fraction **was** retained. Label incorporation **was** detemùned from 1 pl samples using a scintillation counter.

Southern blotting and hybridization

Southern blotting **was** used both in partid digestion mapping experiments and in determining genomic copy number of **pap7.** For potato genomic DNA Southern blots. 10 **pg** of DNA **was** loaded per lane. For plasmid **and** bacteriophage clones, an appropriate amount **was** loaded in each lane to ensure **visibility** on the gel.

Restriction-digested DNA was separated on an agarose gel containing 10 μ g/ml ethidium brornide, The DNA **was** visualized on a UV transilluminator and photographed. The DNA **was** fragmented by soaking the gel in 0.25 M HCl for **30** minutes. Then the DNA was denatured by soaking in 1.5 M NaCl / 0.5 M NaOH twice for 20 minutes each. The gel was neutralized with 1.5 M **NaCl** / 0.5 M Tris pH 7.0 twice for 15 minutes. The gel **was** rinsed with distiUed water between steps.

The gel **was** placed on a **wick** of Whatman **3M** paper which was draped into a reservoir of **20x** *SSC* **(3M** NaCV0.3M sodium citrate, pH 7). **A** sheet of Hybond Plus nylon membrane (Schleicher and Schuell), cut to size and wetted with distilled water. **was** placed on top of the gel. Three sheets of Whatman **3M** paper were soaked in 20X *SSC* and layered on top of **the** membrane- **A** stack of paper towels, cut to size, was placed on top of **the** filter papers and topped **with a** glas plate and **a** weight. The transfer **was allowed** to proceed overnight and the membrane was rinsed in **2X** *SSC* and air-dried. The DNA **was** fixed to the membrane by exposure to UV light on a UV transilluminator for 4 minutes.

The membrane was pre-hybridized overnight at 65^oC in hybridization buffer (5x SSC, 5x Denhardt's, 0.5% SDS) containing 40 μ g/ml denatured herring sperm DNA. An appropriate amount of denatured radioactively labeled probe was added and the hybridization left overnight at 65°C. After hybridization, the membrane was washed twice for 15 minutes at room temperature with low-stringency wash (2x SSC, 0.1%) **SDS),** twice for **15** minutes at *6S°C* with medium-stringency wash (lx SSC, 0.1% SDS). and twice for 15 minutes **at 65"** in high-stringency wash (0.1~ SSC, O. 1% **SDS).** The membrane **was** rinsed in **2x** SSC to remove SDS and results **visuaiized** with X-Omat Blue XB-1 autoradiography **film** (Kodak).

Mapping of subclones

The pap7 subclones were mapped by partial *EcoRI* digestion. Twenty-five micrograms of λ clone DNA were digested to completion with δaI . The DNA was then added to a 100 µl digestion containing 20 µl of 10x Tango buffer (MBI Fermentas). The reaction was divided among five microcentrifuge tubes, 30 **ul** in the first, 20 **ul** in the second, third, and fourth, and 10 μ l in the fifth, and the tubes placed on ice. Ten units (1) μ) of *EcoRI* were added to the first tube and mixed, then 10 μ as of the mixture added to the second tube. Ten microlitres from the second tube were added to the third. and so on, serially diluting the enzyme. The reactions were incubated at 37° C for 15 minutes, and stopped by heating to 65°C for 10 minutes. The reactions were run on a 1% agarose gel and photographed, then Southern blotted onto a Nytran N+ membrane.

To map **the** clone, radiolabeled probes were made of different restriction fragments and used to probe the blot. **The** sizes of **the** partial digest fragments they

hybridized to indicated which *EcoRI* and *EcoWSalI* fragments were adjacent to one another. Combined with sequencing data this allowed mapping of the region of the clone containing the **pap7** sequence.

Growth of potato plants

Potato plants were derived from locally obtained sprouting tubers (Yukon Gold variety), Slices of tuber were planted in flats of Pearlite and grown in a growth charnber until the plants were approximately 10 cm **tall.** 24 plants were then transferred to a **drîp**culture hydroponics apparatus in a greenhouse. The plants were supported in a nutrientfree fragmented clay matrix in separate baskets, each irrigated by a drip spigot. The phosphate-fed $(+P)$ plants were cultured in 0.1x MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) without vitamins. The phosphate-starved $(-P)$ plants were grown in 0.1 \times MS **medium with 0.13 mM KCl substituted for 0.13 mM** K_2HPO_4 **. The apparatus was filled** with fresh medium **every** 3-4 days to prevent depletion of the nutrients.

Tissue Protein Extraction and Phosphatase Assays

Tissues were harvested after 3 1 days. **Leaf,** stem and root tissues were separated and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and placed at **-80°C.** To confirm that plants were responding to phosphate starvation a phosphatase assay was done on leaf tissue. For each assay two leaf discs, excised with a **#5** cork borer, were used. The assay was performed in triplicate for both $+P$ and $-P$ plants. 300 μ l of extraction buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 3 mM MgCl₂, 20% w/v glycerol, 2% w/v PVPP, 1 μ M benzamidine, 1 μ M ~-aminocaproic **acid)** and 1.5 **pl** of **200** rnM **phenylmethylsulfonylfluonde** (PMSF) were

added to each sample **which was then** ground with a Polytron homogenizer (Brinkmann Instruments, Westbury, New York) and small generator until homogenized. The samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 g for 2 minutes and the supernatant retained. Aliquots of supernatant $(5 \mu l)$ were incubated with 800 μl of 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 5.0), 1.25 μ M p-nitrophenol phosphate for 10 minutes at 37 \degree C and the reactions were stopped with 200 μl of 1N NaOH. The A₄₀₅ of the samples were then measured with a spectrophotometer to determine p-nitrophenol produced.

In order to normatize the phosphatase activities, protein concentrations in the samples were measured using a modified protocol of Bradford (1976). One microlitre of supematant was added to 200 **pl** of dye concentrate (Bio-Rad, Mississauga, Ontario) and 800 **pl** of water. The reactions were incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature and the samples' **As95** measured. Bovine IgG **was** used to produce a standard curve for the assay.

RNA isolation and Northern blotting

After 31 days in phosphate-free medium, the starved plants exhibited external **signs** of phosphate starvation **(dark** green foliage, smailer size than the control plants) as well as significantly greater phosphatase activity in their tissues (see Results). Leaf, stem and root tissues were harvested and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored in sealed tubes **at -80°C.**

Ail RNA isolation and Northem blotting steps were **done** in pre-stenlized plasticware or DEPC-treated glassware and tubes. Solutions were treated with 0.2% **DEPC for** 2 **hours** and autoclaved, or made with DEPC-treated ddH20 if they contained ethanol, Tris-HCl or MOPS.

Total RNA **was** isolated fiom tissues **using** TRIzol reagent (Gibco BRL, Burlington, Ontario). 100-500 mg of frozen tissue **was** ground to powder with a prechilled mortar and pestle in liquid nitrogen. Samples were then rnixed with 1 ml of TRIzol per 100 mg (alI subsequent amounts are per 100 mg of sample), homogenized with a Polytron and small generator and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. Each sample was **mixed** with 1/5 volume of chloroform, shaken for 15 seconds. and incubated at room temperature for 3 minutes- The samples were centrifuged **at** 12,000 g for 15 minutes at 4^oC and the clear upper phase retained. To remove carryover, the upper phase **was** loaded into 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 13,000 g for 2 minutes **and** the supernatant **retained.** The aqueous phase **was** vigorously mixed with 1 volume of isopropanol and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes, then centrifuged at 13,000 g for 10 minutes at 4^oC. The pellet was washed with 1 ml of 75% ethanol and air-dried briefiy, then resuspended **in** 20-50 **pl** of water. The amount of RNA in each sample was quantitated spectrophotometrically.

RNA was electrophoresed in a 1% agarose gel containing 1x MOPS running buffer and 2.2 M formaldehyde. SampIes were adjusted to 20 **pI** in **lx** MOPS, 2.2 M formaldehyde, 50% formamide and incubated for 5 minutes at 55°C, and 2 µl of ethidium bromide RNA loading buffer added before loading. The RNA was visualized with a UV transillurninator. To **determine** band sizes, a DNA size marker (Mass Ladder, MBI Fermentas) was run on the gel. Prior to blotting, the gel was soaked in 0.05 M NaOH /

1.5 M NaCL for **30** minutes and **0.5** M **Tris-HCl (pH 7.4)** / 1.5 M **NaCl** for 30 minutes. The gel was then equilibrated in 20x SSC for 45 minutes.

The RNA was blotted ont0 a nylon membrane by capillary transfer. **A Ixge** glass **baking** dish was haif-fiHed with **20x** SSC and **a** glas plate laid across it. **A** strip of Whatman 3M paper the **same** width as the **gel** was soaked in **20x** *SSC* and laid across the glass plate with the ends hanging down into the buffer. The gel **was** laid on the paper, with cut strips of Parafilm placed around it. **A** Hybond Plus nylon membrane (Schleicher and Schuell), cut to the size of the gel **and** equilibrated in **20x** SSC, was laid on top of the **gel. Air** bubbles were removed from between the layers by rolling with a glass pipet. Three sheets of **Whatrnan 3M** paper and a stack of paper towels, **al1** cut to the size of the gel, were placed on top of the membrane. The transfer was Ieft overnight at room temperature. Trarkfer efficiency **was** determined **by** staining the gel with ethidiurn bromide to determine if **any** RNA remained, The membrane was air-dried and placed on a UV transilluminator for 4 minutes to imrnobilize the **RNA,**

The membrane **was** pre-hybridized overnight at 42°C in hybridization buffer **(5x** SSC, **5x** Denhardt's reagent, **50%** formamide, **1% SDS)** containing 40 mgml denatured herring sperm DNA. An appropriate amount of denatured radioactively labeled probe **was added** and the hybridization **left** overnight at **42°C. After** hybndization, the membrane was washed twice for **5** minutes at room temperature with low-stringency wash **(2x** SSC, 0.1 % SDS), twice for *5* minutes **at** room temperature with mediumstringency **wash** *(0.2~* **SSC, O.** 1 % SDS), twice **for 15** minutes at 42°C in mediumstringency wash and twice for 15 minutes at 68° in high-stringency wash $(0.1x$ SSC. **0.1% SDS).** The membrane's radioactivity was checked between each step with a hand-

held Geiger counter- **The** membrane **was rinsed** in **2x** *SSC* and placed on film for visualization.

Potato genomic DNA extraction

Frozen tissues fiom the phosphate-fed potato plants were used as a source of genomic DNA. Genomic DNA **was extracted** from potato leaves by the CTAB (hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide) method. One and a half grams of potato leaf was ground thoroughly in liquid nitrogen **in** a chilled mortar. The powdered tissue was put in a **30** ml polypropylene tube and 15 **m!** of CTAB buffer (100 mM Tris-HC1 pH 7.5. 2M NaCI, 25 mM disodium-EDTA pH **8,2.5%** CTAB, 1.5% PVP 40) added, then vortexed. The tube was then incubated **at** 65°C for 2 hours, with slow agitation, then cooled to room temperature. An equal volume of 24:1 chloroform/2-octanol was added, **and** shaken for 5 minutes. The tube was centrifuged for 10 minutes in a **JA-20** rotor at 12,000 *g* and the supernatant transferred to **a** 50 ml **tube.** Fifteen millilitres of isopropanol **was** added **and** the tube **was** incubated at room temperature for a few minutes, until strands of precipitate were visible. The DNA was recovered by hooking it out of **the** solution **with** the flame-bent tip of a Pasteur pipette, and transferred to a microcentrifuge tube. 1 ml of wash solution (70% ethanol, 100mM ammonium acetate. 0.2 **pg/ml RNase** A) **was** added and **left at** room temperature for 10 minutes, then spun down for 10 minutes in a microcentrifuge. The pellet was briefly air-dried and 1 ml of TE buffer added. The DNA **was** left to dissolve overnight and visually inspected by electrophoresis on **a** 1 % agarose gel.

ResuIts

Su bclones

The *pap7* genomic library was constructed from a Sau3A1 partial digest, so the insert was separated from the λ **EMBL3** vector arms by cutting with Sall, which only cut once inside the clone (cutting it into 9 **and 9.4** kb fragments). *"S* hotgun" ligation and cloning into pBluescript yielded five **EcoRI** and two EcoRVSaiI subclones from the *pap7* genomic clone. Figure 1 shows representations of the subclones: pap7-6 *(5.6* **kb),** pap7-7 (800 bp), pap7-8 (3.1 kb), pap7-9 (3.3 kb), pap7-500 (464 bp; EcoRVSa!I), **pap7-** 10 (6 kb) and pap7-11 (1.1 kb; *EcoRI/SalI*). Pap7-6 is a double clone, with two *EcoRI* fragments, one of which is the same as the insert in pap7-9-

Restriction Mapping

A restriction map of **the** portion of the genomic clone containing the **APase**homologous sequence was constructed. Partial digestion experiments revealed that the **3.3** and **2.3** kb fragments which were adjacent in subclone pap7-6 were in fact adjacent in the genomic clone, and the 1.1 kb *EcoRUSalI* fragment (subclone pap7-11) was adjacent to the **3.3** kb *EcoRI* fragment. The 1.1 kb fragment **was** adjacent to the large *h* am, indicating that it contained one end of the genomic clone. Figure 2 shows the arrangement of the three fragments, and the location of the APase-homologous sequence. The assembled sequence of these three fragments is shown in Figure 3.

Figure 1. Schematic representations of the $pap7$ subclones isolated for sequencing. All **subclones are inserted into pBluescript SK+, with the T3 end** of **the multi-cloning site at the left of the figure and the T7 end at the right. The dashed fine above subclone pap7-10 indicates the unsequenced region,**

 $\ddot{}$

Figure 2. **A restriction map of the three contiguous** *pop7* **clone sequences derived from partial digestion and sequence data There are numerous Sau3Al sites,** only **the one separating the genomic clone from the vector is shown. The** *BamHI* **sites are** 2.8,4.4, **and 5.0 kb from this** *Sau3A1* **site, the** *HindIII* **site is 3.0 kb from the** *Sau3A1* **site. The gray bar indicates the portion of the clone that shows homology to acid phosphatase sequences.**

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathbb{R}^2

 \mathbf{r}

Figure 3. The assembled DNA sequence of subclones pap7-6, pap7-9, and pap7-11, **starting at the Sau3Al cloning site. Protein-coding exons (underlined) were predicted** using homology with known acid phosphatases and the intron/exon splice-site prediction **program SplicePredictor,**

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$. The $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

AACTGGCAGIGICACAICAAGICAIFAICIAIAACACIAGAAAIAGAIAAAIAIAACAIC 2160 CITATTIATGCCTITAATTAATAGATTCTCTAAATACAAAGTACCTTCCAAAATATTAGC 2340 CAACAGAAAAITAAAAICITTTGCCCCTCTTTGGCTCGTCTAGTCTTCACTATAAATTGA 2400 TIATTCCTITGTGTTTTTCTCAATCCTAAGAATTTGTCATTTCTTTATATTATTGGTTTT 2520 TAAGTTACATACACTGATATGAGTTGGGCTTCAAGAAAAGGCGAAAAATTTATATAGCCA 2580 ACCAGGCAACCACAACTCGTTTGTGACTTAGGTGTAGGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTAA 2640 GITACATACATTAACAACATAAATATTTTTTTTATGCTATCGGTATAGTTTATTTGATAAC 2700 GIAAALATITACAAACAAGAITIGGITGAGGAITCAAAGIGAGAAATICIATIGACAGAA 2760 GAGAGAGAGTACAATCIGTCATCAGCAAAATGATATTGTTGTTTGTTGGAATGTACTCTC 2820 TCTGCTTCTGCCAATTGAAACTATAATGGATCCTCAACAAAGATTACACATTTTCCTATT 2880 CATAATGAAAATAGAATATGATGITAAATGTTTTGTTGCTAGAGATTTTCTGTAAATTAT 2940 AIGAACATCIACIATITACACTACACAAAAATGITACAAAGIGITIAAGAATGIGCCAGA 3000 ATGCTAAATAAGACTAGTGTTGGAAGTTTATTTAGTTTCCAGGCTTCAAGCTTGAGTTAA 3060 TGAGCAAAAIGAAGATATATATATCGTCCAAACGCCATGGTTTGCTCAAAGTCCCATCA 3120 GGTACATAGCTGAGAAGAAACAAGATTAAACGTTCCTCGGAGAAATTACTGAGTATTTCT 3180 GTACTCATTTTTGTTTTTCAAACCACTTCTGTTTGATCCTTCATTAGTTACACTGTACAT 3240 ATTTTTATTCAAAGGAAGAGAGATTTTCATGAACTTGATCTGTTTGCAACATAATTGCTG 3300 TTCTAATATTTCATACAAGTCTTGTACATCTTCTGTAACCAAAAATGATATTGGATGGCA 3360 ATGACATATTTACTCTGTTGAACAATGATGAAATGAAAGTCATTACATTAATATAGAGAT 3420 CAGTATTTCATTCTATTAGATGTTATGAAAAGGGAGGAGGCCTTAAACTCTCCAAATCAC 3480 TGCATGAACACAGTGAAAAGTCATCTAATTCCGATTTCGAGTTCTGGGTATGCAAAAGT 3540 GTTTGATAGAAAGCGTTTCTCTCGAATGGACTATAAGTGGTGCGAATCAAAAAAAGTCAT 3600 CTAAATTACTAACGAGAAAGTCACTCTTATGTCCAGTATAATCTACCAGATAACACCAAA 3660 CTCAAATTTGAAACATATGTTTCGTGTTTCTTAAATAAAAATTCTTAATCATCAATTTTT 3720 CACAATAACAAATCTAACAAACTCAATTGACACTCTTTAATCTTTTTTCATATATCACTA 3780 TCGCTCAGTTATATATGATTGAAAGTTGAAACACATGTTAAAAATGACTAAAATTTTAGA 3840 TATATGCATTTTAATATTAAAACAAAATTTAAGAAAAGTACTAATTTTTCTTGAAAGCTA 3900 GAGACAGTGAGATAGAAAGTTTCGACAGACAAACAGAGTTCTGCACCGGTGCCACCGCCT 4140 CCGGCTGCCTGCGTCCCGTCTGTTTCCGCCTGCTCCCGTCGCGTTTCAAATTGTTTCATT 4200 TGAATAGTAAACAGCTTTTGAAATAAATTTGGAAATTGGATTTAATTAGTGATGCTGCGA 4260 ATGTTGTTATCCAAGCTACCAAATGAACTGATTTAGCCATTTGAAAACCTCAAAGTTGGC 4320 TTACATTTTTGTATTTTTATGTAAAATTAGGAGACCTCTCTACTTATTTGTCAATTGTCA 4380 TICICATCATGITACACAATCGGACGAGGTTCAGCTAATACGTTGTGGATCCGAGGATTA 4500 GCAGGCAAGGCATCATCTTCTTCCCAACCCGCCGCCTGGCCACATGTATTTACTTTATTT 4560 GCAGATAGGTGGAGTCCTGCCGATTCGTTGGTCAACCAAGATATGAGAGAAAAAGTTTCA 4620 CATTTAAAGGATGAATTGTTAGCATACAGTGGTGATGCTGAAAAGTTTGAGAAAATATTA 4680 GCAGATAAAGGGGATTTGTTGTTTAGTAGGTATGCAGATGGTTCTGCGGTTGTTGAGCTC 4740 TTACAGCAGCTAAAATCTTCGCCCGGACTAGCGCTCCAGGTGATTCTCTTTCTCTATGCA 4800 ACATACGATAGCATCCTCGTTATAACTGAACCGATTTTGTTTTGCAATGATTGAAGTGAA 4860 TGTGATTATTCTTTTGTATGTTAGAAACTATATAAATCTCAATAGCCACCAATCTTTGAA 4920 CAAGAAAGATACCATAAAACAAATATATGAATGGAGTTGTGGTCAAAGAGGACTTGTTGA 4980 TTTTGCAAACAGAGGCGGATCCAGGATTTGAACTCTATGGGTTCAGTCTTTAAGGTTCTT 5040

TGCCTGCAAAGGTCAATTAAGTTGGGACATCATACAGTTATGCGAGTACAACTTA 5160 TGATTAGATTATGTAAATTAGGCGGGGGATAAGATGGAGCTAGTTGATGAAAGAC 5220 GAGTAGTTGTCCTTCTTTTGGTAATATCAAACAGTCCTTTCAATAATCAATATATT 5280 GGTGCCTTTCrACTAGCCACACTTGAAGAATTTTCTTGTATGCACTTATTACTA 5340 ATTrCTJCïCTATGCCTTTTACCTAAGAGATGATGTTAAGTCTATGATTACTGCCATTTT 5400 CCCTCATTGAGGAAGTAAACATAGTTTGACTATTTTCTATCAGGCTTTCGACTGGAGAAG 5460 **AAGACAATTGGATTACCAGAATCCCATGACAGTGAGGAGTATTCCAAGGCTATTTT 5520 GGCTGGAAGGTTAAAGAATGTTGATCTTGCAGCCAAACTATTCAAGGAGGCTTCCAAAA 5580 GCAACTCAAGrCTACCTCTTTATATAATGCCCTTATGACTGCATATATGACAAGCT 5640 GGCTGJTAAGTGTCAATCAGTTTTTCGGGACTTGAAGAGGGAAGCAACATGTACTCCAAC 5700 TATTGTAACATACAACATACTGATCTCTGTGTTTGGAAGATTAATGCTGATAATCACAT 5760 GGAGGCAACTTTACGGGAAATAAATGATTTGGGTATCTGCCCAAATGTTGGTACGTACAA 5820 CTATTTAATTGCTGGGTATATCACAGCGTGGATGTGGATGATGTGGAGAAGGCATATA 5880 AATCATGAAGGCAGGAAGTAKAAACCGGATCTTACTACCCATTTATGAGCTCGAGG 5940 ATATGCACAT TC TGGTAAGTTGGA** JAATATGGAAGAAATCTATGAGCTTGTCAAAGGTCA **6000 TGTTGATCGACATGGAATCCCATTAATTCGATCTATGATATGTGCTTATAGTAAAAGTT 6060 TGATGTAAATAAAGTTCAAAAGATTGAGGAATTGAGGTTGATTCCTAAAGAA 6120 TAGGCCTTGGTTGAATGTCATATTAAMGTTTGCAAAGGAGGATCTATTAGATGA 6180 GATGGAAAATTCAATAAATGAGGCATTTAAATGTAACACATCCGTCACAACGGT 6240 CATGCATTGCATCATTTCAAGTtACTTCCGAAACAATGCAGTGGACAAACTGCAAT 6300 TGTTAGCCGTGCAGAATGTGCTGGTTGGAAAATATGCAGGTCCCTTTACACTGCAAGAT 6360 GGTTATGTATTCATCACAAAAGCGACTCATTGAAATGGAGAAAGTTCTTACGAGAA 6420 TAAAGTGAATTTGGACTTTTCAAAGAAAACACTTTGGATATTACTTAAAGCCTACGGAAC 6480 TTGGGGGGAAAAGGATAAACTTCATCAGGTCTTGGGTATGATGTGCAGGCATGGATATGG 6540 AATTC 6545**

Sequencing and homology searches

All of the subclones were fuily sequenced except pap7-10, and these sequences are shown in Figure **3** (7-6,7-9 and **7-1** 1 assembled) and appendices A-D (7-7, 7-8, 7- 10 and 7-500). When the subclone sequences were analyzed by BLAST search (using BLASTN to compare nucleotide sequences, **and** BLASTX to compare al1 six reading **fiames** to known protein sequences), only three subclones, pap7-6, pap7-9 and pap7- 1 1, comprising three separate restriction fragments, showed homology to other plant APase genes (for details see "Sequencc Andysis"). BLASTX analysis of subclone pap7- **10** returned homology to plant transposable elements at its ends: approximately 350 bp at the T3 end and approximately 700 bp at the T7 end are homologous to *copia*-like and gypsylike polyproteins respectively. **The** T3 sequence (the reverse complement of bases 153- 494) displayed maximal protein identity (40%) with the polyprotein from *Phaseolus* vulgaris transposable element Tpv2-1c, a copia-type retrotransposon (accession # CAB42059). The **T7** sequence (the reverse complement of bases 221-934) displayed maximal protein identity with a pineapple polyprotein-like sequence (40%; accession # T07863) **and** a gypsy-type poiyprotein from Sorghum bicolor (39%; accession # AAD22153) The 2.3 kb *EcoRI* fragment in subclone pap7-6 displayed protein-level homology with a number of hypothetical *Arabidopsis* proteins of undetermined function (e-g. accession # AAC02749), as weli as Arnbidopsis clones similar to membraneassociated salt-inducible genes **(23%:** accession # BAB08985) and glutamine synthetase (22%; accession # CAB64220).

Sequence Analysis

Once the DNA sequence **was** mapped **and** assembled, the *pap7* deduced amino acid sequence showed the strongest homology **(BLASTX)** to an *Arabidopsis thaliann* purple acid phosphatase homologue (accession # T04599), kidney bean PAP (KBPAP, accession # **P80366),** and a white lupin (Lupinus albus) secreted acid phosphatase (accession # **BAA97745).** The sequence **was** analyzed to determine the extent of the putative pap7 coding sequence and find intron/exon boundaries and other features. Exons were predicted by starting with the open reading frames showing APase homology, and adjusting the intron/exon boundaries to optimize both amino acid homology to potato **tuber** acid phosphatase (PTAP) and consensus **mRNA** splice site sequences (GT at the 5' end of the intron and AG at the 3' end; Egoavil *et al.*, 1997). The SplicePredictor introdexon boundary prediction program **was** used to assist in this process, **and** although it predicted a nurnber of unlikely splice sites it also predicted ones which flanked the highly-homologous ORF's. **A** consensus sequence-conforming site cound not be found for one acceptor splice site (at the 5' end of exon **#4),** so its location was assigned solely on the basis of ORF translation homology. Seven putative exons were mapped, coding for 404 amino acids (Fig. 4). Because the 5' end of the sequence is truncated by the boundary of the genomic clone, the predicted protein sequence is incomplete. Based on alignrnent with PTAP and purple acid phosphatases, PAP7 could contain between 33 and 71 additional residues at the amino terminus.

The predicted PAP7 sequence shows 53% identity with PTAP, 63% identity with **KBPAP, and** 61% identity with sweet potato PAP (expressed as percentages of the

Figure 4. Predicted amino acid sequence of PAP7.

 $\sim 10^7$

 \Box

HIGRSVIVSWVTPLESQSNYVIFWEEGAKHHHKHKAHAKT 40 TSYKYYNYTSGYIHHATIKRLKYNVTYIYQLGEHNSTRRF 80 SFTTPPKVGPDVPYTFGIIGDLGQTFDSNQTLEQYLSNPK 120 GQAMLVVGOLSYADHYPFHDNVRWDTFGRFlEKSAAFQPW 160 ITAAGNHELDFAPEIFLGENTPFKPYTHRYHVPYKASQST 200 **SPLWYSIKCASTYI IVLSSYSAYGKYTPQYSWLEQQREFP** 240 **KVNRTETPWLIVLLHSPWYNSNNYHYMEGESMRVMFESWF** 280 VQNKVDMVFAGHVHSYERSERVSNVMYNITNGQSTPIEDP 320 SAPIYITEGDGGNIEGIANNFTEPQPSYSAYREASFGHAI 360 LEIKNRTHAYYTWHRNQDSERVAADSLWIYNRHWYPKKET 400 SSMA 404

known Pap7 sequence). Figure 5 shows a **CLUSTAL** (Higgins and Sharp, 1988) alignment of the deduced **PAP7** sequence witb **PTAIP, KBPAP, spPAP,** *Ambidopsis PAP* homologue, and lupin secreted **APase.** In the well-characterized kidney bean enzyme, the residues involved in metai binding at the active site have been determined by X-ray crystallography. **Fe(m)** is coordinated with Asp 135, Tyr 167, and His 325, while Zn(I1) is coordinated with Asn 201, His 286, and His 323, and Asp 164 "bridges" the two metal ions (Klabunde *et al.*, 1995). These residues are all completely conserved among the APases in Figure 5, including **PAP7-**

Figure 6 shows a CLUSTAL phylogenetic tree of the APases aligned in Figure 5. as well as *Aspergillus niger* **and** *Aspergillus nidulans* pH **6-0** optimum **APases** (accession #'s U18553 and 279750). The cladogram indicates that kidney bean, lupin, and sweet potato PAP's **make** up one subgroup with PTAP while pap7 and *Arabidopsis* PAP compose another. The A. *niger* and A. *nidulans* APases, which share the active-site residues with **PAP's** (Mullaney and Ullah, 1998), nevertheless are highly divergent from the plant PAP's.

Because PTAP showed strong tyrosine phosphatase activity, the PTAP and PAP7 amino acid sequences were compared with those of three plant protein tyrosine phosphatases, fiom pea (accession **#AJ005589),** soybean (accession **#AJ006308),** and Arabidopsis (accession #AF055635). The three PTPases showed strong homology with each other, however PTAP and **PAP7** showed no homology **ta** them (Fig. 7).

Figure **5. CLUSTAL alignment** of the **PAW** protein sequence with **PTAP,** kidney bean PAP (accession # **P80366),** sweet potato PAP **(AF200825),** *Arabidopsis thaliana* **PAP**homologue **(T04599),** and white Iupin secreted **APase** precursor **(BAA97745)-** Shaded residues indicate identity with PAP7. The residues marked with a dot above indicate the amino acids involved in active site metal ion-binding in **KBPAP** (Asp 135, Asp 164, Tyr 167, Asn 201, His 286, His 323, and His **325)-** The amino-terminus of the PAP7 sequence is incomplete due to the 5' truncation of the genomic clone.

 \sim

 $\ddot{}$

Figure *6.* **CLUSTAL cladogram of the PAP7 protein sequence, PTAP, kidney** bean **PAP** (accession # P80366), sweet potato PAP (AF200825), *Arabidopsis thaliana* PAP**homologue (T04599), white lupin secreted APase precursor (BAA97745),** *Aspergillus niger* **APase (U18553) and** *Aspergillus nidulans* **APase (279750).** *The* scale **at the bottom indicates divergence as the number of residue substitutions between pairs.**

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 \overline{a}

Figure 7. CLUSTAL alignment of the PTAP and PAP7 protein sequences with pea **(accession #k1005589), soybean (accession #k1006308), and** *Arabidopsis* **(accession #AFû55635) protein tyrosine phosphatases. Shaded residues indicate identity with the consensus sequence-**

 \bar{z}

 $\ddot{}$

Genomic Copy Number

A Southeru blot of potato genomic **DNA was** probed with pap7p 1 (corresponding to the **3'** end of the last *pap7* exon) to determine the nurnber of copies of *pap7* in the genome. As seen in Figure 8, genomic DNA digested with **EcoRI and XbaI** each produced a single hybridizing band with sizes of 7 kb *(EcoRI)* and approximately 15 kb **(XbaI).** These results iadicate there is a single copy of the *pap7* sequence in the potato genome. Although the *EcoRI* fragment sue (7 kb) is not the same as the size expected from the genomic clone sequence **(3.3 kb),** the genomic DNA used **was** not from the same cultivar as the library clone.

Expression of pap7

Northern blotting **was** used to determine if *pap7* **is** a gene expressed in phosphatefed or starved potato plants. In order to confirm starved plants were experiencing Pideprivation, acid phosphatase activity **was** assayed. Afier 3 1 days of growth in zerophosphate medium, assays showed significantIy more acid phosphatase activity in the leaves of P_i -starved plants than in P_i -fed plants (one-tailed t-test, t=2.335, DF=4). Phosphatase activity in the Pi-starved plants was 164.0 ± 24.6 U/mg total protein while in the Pi-fed plants it was 118.1 ± 13.1 U/mg (means of three replicates each; U= μ mol pnitrophenol produced/min).

Total RNA from the leaves, stems, and roots of phosphate-fed and phosphatestarved potato plants **was** isolated and probed with a probe made fiom the **3.3** kb EcoRI fragment of pap7 containing the last three predicted exons (subclone pap7-9). No signal **was** detected in any of the fed or starved tissues even after the autoradiogram was

Figure 8. a) The pap7p1 probe corresponds to the 1st predicted exon of *pap7* **and a** portion of the 3' region. The gray bars indicate the last three predicted exons of $pap7$ and **the hatched bar represents the probe. b) Southem blot of restriction-digested potato genomic DNA probed with pap7pl. The probe bound to a 7 kb EcoRI fragment and a 15 kb** *XbaI* **hgment. The srnalier band is probe binding to the DNA size marker (lane marked** "M") .

l,

 $b)$

 \Box

 $a)$

exposed for 14 days (Fig. **9).** Probing the same blot with a potato actin probe showed the presence of **mRNA** in all **lanes** in approximately **equal** arnounts, except for the phosphate-fed stem, which contained a weaker but still distinct signal. In order to determine if **the** phosphate-starved tissues were expressing another, known phosphatestarvation **induced** gene, the blot **was also** probed with a probe made from the sequence of **StPT2,** a potato **cDNA** encoding a PSI root phosphate transporter gene (Leggewie et al., **1997). The results appeared** to show **a** correctly-sized (approximately 1 **-8** kb) band in the phosphate-starved roots, but as in the original StPT2 study, there was a large amount of nonspecific hybridization to the ribosomal RNA bands, making identification of the band difficult. When the blot was washed at increased stringency, the StPT2 band was reduced in intensity dong with the nonspecific binding.

Figure 9. Northern blot to determine if pap7 is expressed in phosphate starved potato plants. Lane identities: $L = leaf$, $S = stem$, $R = root$; $+P = phosphate - fed$ (0.13 mM P_i), $-P$ = phosphate starved (no **Pi).** a) The blot **was** probed with a potato actin probe to confirm RNA transfer and loading amounts. b) The blot probed with a pap7 probe. The **dark** signal at the sides of the blot is fiom nonspecific hybridization with the size **marker,** c) The blot probed with a probe made fiom the sequence of **StPT2,** a phosphate-starvation induced root phosphate transporter gene from potato (Leggewie et al., 1997). The arrow indicates the expected position of the 1.8 kb StPT2 transcript (size determined by comparison with the DNA size marker).

55

 $\ddot{}$
Discussion

Previous work in our laboratory on potato acid phosphatases resulted in the cloning of the gene coding for the major tuber APase (PTAP) and the isolation of several potato genomic clones $(pap3, 7, and II)$ and a rice cDNA clone homologous to it (GeLlatly, 1996). This **was** one of the most thorough studies of acid phosphatase genes in any species, and suggested that there exists a distinct family of plant acid phosphatases sharing sequence homology. The PTAP enzyme itself is a 100 kDa homodimer, showing 19 17 **U/mg** activity towards phosphotyrosine and able to dephosphorylate phosphotyrosylated proteins. It cannot be classified as specific, however, as it is also able to hydrolyze other phosphoesters. PTAP shows 52% amino acid sequence identity with kidney bean purple acid phosphatase, especially at the C-terminus, and much of it in blocks of 5 to **12** completely conserved residues (Gellatly, 1996).

Coding sequence prediction

Prediction of a *pap7* protein sequence required locating exon-candidate ORF's which had functional exon characteristics as **well** as homology to other APases when translated to an amino acid sequence. Candidates were initially identified by examination of the report returned from a BLASTX search, showing ORF's highly homologous to other APases. This provided a crude estimation of the boundaries of any potential exons. The first 2500 bp of the assembled genomic sequence, containing all of the APasehomologous sequence and a substantial 3' region, was then analyzed by the SplicePredictor software. The program returned 14 potential donor sites (5' end of

intron) **and** 1 1 potential acceptor sites (3' end of intron). The donor and acceptor sites which corresponded to the ends of homologous sequences had total scores ranging from 13 **rhighly** likely") down to 4 ("doubtful"). The score represents the cumulative assessrnent of three factors: P, representing the likely in *vivo* efficiency of the site, *rho,* which factors in the P value of a possible complementary splice site, and gamma, which compares the site's P **value** to those of nearby sites of the **same** type (Brendel and Kleffe, 1998)- One predicted AG acceptor site at **the** 5' end of exon **#4** was rejected in favour of a nearby non-consensus TG because it would have resulted in a frarneshift in the following exons, destroying the APase homology. It is possible that this problem is due to a sequencing error or a mutation introduced during cloning; alternately, pap7 may be a non-expressed pseudogene.

The *pap7* genomic clone is approximately 18 kb in size. Of this, approximately 6.6 **kb** of contiguous sequence, comprising three subcloned restriction fragments, **was** sequenced and mapped. This sequence, adjacent to the **EMBL3** vector large **arm,** includes seven open reading **frames** homologous to **PTAP** and purple acid phosphatases. The first predicted exon starts almost immediately at the *Sau3AI* cloning site, suggesting that **it** was truncated by cloning. The predicted protein sequence (Fig. 4) is almost certainly incomplete. At 404 amino acids, **it** is distinctly shorter than potato tuber APase (45 1 a.a.), kidney bean PAP (432 a.a.), sweet potato PAP (466 a.a.), and white lupin APase (462 a.a.). This suggests that anywhere from 84 to 186 bp of coding sequence is missing from the **5'** end of the *pap7* clone, as well as a non-predictable amount of intron sequence. Of course, the 5' non-coding region is missing as well, preventing any

determination of whether pap7 possesses a functional transcription start site, transcription complex binding sites, or recognîzable promoter elernents.

Polyadenylation site prediction

Assembly of the subcloned restriction fragments of pap7 into *6.6* kb of unintempted genomic sequence, with the predicted coding sequence at one end, allows examination of the 3' **region.** The 3' regions of plant genes **(and** mRNAYs) contain several elements, relating to endonucleolytic trimming of the transcript **and** subsequent polyadenylation. Polyadenylation sites in plant genes are preceded by near upstream elements (NUE'S) and **far** upstream elements **(FUE's).** Unlike animais, plant **mRNA's** do not appear to require an AAUAAA consensus sequence in the NUE, although it does appear in many plant **mRNA** 3' regions (Rothnie, 1996). The pea *rbcS-E9* gene, for example, has a functional **NUE** with the sequence **AAAUGGAAA** (Li and Hunt, 1995). The efficiency of recognition of this signal did improve when the AAUGGA was replaced with AAUAAA, but other, single base, changes only slightly affected the efficiency. Three additional **NUE'S** were present, each controlling a separate poly-A site, but these additional NUE's were not characterizable except as U-rich regions. The maize 27 **kDa** *zein* gene has two **NUE'S,** both AAUGAA, the downstream of which controls polyadenylaùon in **vivo** (Rothnie, 1996). FUEYs are harder to **analyze.** The FUE of the pea **rbcS-E9** gene is **a** 60 bp U-rich region ending **10** bp upstream of the first NUE, while the **zein** FUE is an 81 bp region (not U-nch) about 30 bp upstream from the NUE (Rothnie, 1996). The pap7 sequence contains two AAUAAA motifs near the 3' end of the coding sequence, 300 bp and 48 1 bp downstream fiom the stop codon. There is also

an AAUGAA motif 497 bp downstream fiom the stop codon. Of these, the most likely candidate for a functional NUE is the one 300 bp downstream. It has a 120 bp region directly upstream of it which is T-rich **(56%),** as **well** as a number of (T/C)A doublets immediately downstream which codd be candidates for **mRNA** cleavage/poly-A sites. However, this identification is not definitive, as polyadenylation sites and control sequences are difficuit to identify fiom genomic sequence done. In fact, comparative studies of yeast, plant, and animal suggest that multiple control sequences are involved in poly-A site determination, and that none of them are required to have any exact consensus sequence (Graber et al., **1999).**

f ap7: cornparison with other APases

A number of plant acid phosphatase genes have recently been cloned and characterized. These genes fall into several categories of nonmetallic APases (or tartrateinhibited), and purple acid phosphatases (metalloproteins, and tartrate-resistant). PAP7 and **FTAP** appear to **fall** within **the** purple acid phosphatases, based on their derived protein sequences.

Based on amino acid sequence, plant APases comprise three distinct groups: PhyA-like, bacterial class C-like, and purple acid phosphatases. PhyA is a phytase (myoinositol hexakisphosphatase) from the fungus Aspergillus *niger.* It has **dud** pH optima at 2.5 and 5.0, and hydrolyses other phosphoesters besides phytate (Mullaney and Ullah, **1998).** Another similar phytase, **PhyB,** and a nonspecific APase (Ullah and Dischinger, **1993)** fiom A. *niger* have also been sequenced, **and alI** share a cornmon **RHGxRxP** active site motif. This motif also appears in the derived protein sequence of an *Arabiciopsis*

gene which othenvise shares only 15% homology with PhyA (Mullaney and Ullah, 1988). The first plant phytase to be cloned was Phy S11 from maize, and although it does not maintain complete conservation of the **RHGxRxP** motif, it has a RHQLRLG motif which retains the **Arg** and His residues essential for PhyA phosphatase activity (Maugenest et al., 1997).

Bacterial nonspecific acid phosphatases can be divided into three types based on sequence **and** characteristics. Class A APases are mono- or multimenc proteins with 25- 27 kDa subunits, while Class B APases are homotetramers of 25 kDa subunits, with a metal cofactor requirement (Thaller *et al.*, 1998). Class C APases are membrane lipoproteins, and show limited homology to the other two classes. However, they do have two conserved sequence motifs with class B enzymes and some plant **APases. These** motifs, **xDxDxL and GDxxxD,** are found in *Lysopersicon esculentum* APS 1. a soybean root APase, and an Arabidopsis vegetative storage protein (Thaller *et al.*, 1998). The same motifs are present in two soybean vegetative storage proteins (V sp α and V sp β) which are homologous to **Arabidopsis** Vsp and **which** display acid phosphatase activity (DeWald *et al.*, 1992). In particular, the four Asp residues are also conserved across phosphatases from a wide variety of microbial species, suggesting they may be critical for these enzymes' phosphohydrolysing activity (Thaller et **al., 1998).**

Purple acid phosphatases (PAP's) are unique among these groups of APases in that ihey require two metal cations bound within the active site for phosphatase activity. **X-ray** crystdlography studies of kidney bean purple acid phosphatase showed that the cations are bound by specific amino acids: Fe(III) by Asp 135, Tyr 167, and His 325, and Zn(II) by Asn 201, His 286 and His 323, with Asp 164 binding both (Klabunde *et al.*,

1995). In mammalian PAP's the second ion is Fe(II) (Klabunde *et al.*, 1995), while in sweet potato PAP it is either Mn(II) (Schenk *et al.*, 1999) or Zn(II) (Durmus *et al.*, 1999). White lupin APase has not been **analyzed** for metal content, but when purified it displays the distinctive purge colour caused by the Fe(m)-Tyr charge transfer (Ozawa et **ai., 1995).** Although the overall sequence homology between mammalian **PAP's** and plant PAP's is poor, these metal-binding residues (and their relative positions within the polypeptide) are completely conserved (Klabunde *et al.*, 1995; see also Fig. 5). Both **PAP7** and **PTAP** contain these conserved residues, as well as having a high degree of overail homology with plant PAP's.

The metals in PAP active sites appear to be integral to their reaction mechanism. In general, APases hydrolyze phosphoesters through the formation of an acid-labile phosphorylated amino acid intermediate (Vincent et al., 1992). Studies of the crystal structure of kidney bean PAP have suggested a more detailed model of PAP-cataiyzed hydrolysis (Klabunde et al., 1996). Two oxygens in the phosphate group of the substrate hydrogen-bond to His 202 and His 296, and another coordinates with the $Zn(\Pi)$. A hydroxide ion coordinated with the $Fe(III)$ then attacks the phosphate, producing a transition state where 5 oxygens are bound to the phosphorus atom (including the oxygen in the phosphoester bond). Then the phosphoester oxygen becomes bound to His 296, and finally electrons are transferred from the Fe(III) to its coordinated oxygen, and from the phosphorus atom to the phosphoester oxygen, which is protonated by His 296 and leaves with the dephosphorylated product, leaving the phosphate coordinated with the **Zn(I1)** and His residues. This model is supported by stereochemical data and enzyme **kiaetics** as well as crystallographic data on both the free **and** substrate-bound enzyme

(Klabunde et *al-,* 1996). The Fe(III) ion is especially important in this model, as it provides the strongly nucleophilic hydroxide **w hich** attacks the phosphate. releasing the dephosphorylated product (Vincent et **aL,** 1992).

En contrast to **kidney** bean **PAP,** PTAP is not known to **be** a metalloenzyme. In fact, purified **PTAP** does not display the characteristic purple colour, nor was it found to contain detectable arnounts of iron or zinc, although some magnesium and calcium were detected (Gellatly, 1996). It seems unlikely that iron or zinc could have disassociated **fiorn** the **enzyme during the** 3000-fold dialysis prior to the metals analysis, while other metals remained. Since the enzyme was active prior to dialysis, and other metals were found in the **sample,** it is possible that PTAP does **not** use the same metallic active site structure as other characterized PAP's. In addition, PTAP activity **is** eliminated by added Zn^{2+} (Gellatly, 1996), making it an unlikely active site component. Hence, it is possible that **the** ptap and pap7 gene products are not purple acid phosphatases despite **their** strong sequence homology to plant **PAP's.** If this is true, it would pose the question of how the conserved residues are **utilized,** if not to bind the catalytically important metal ions.

Molecular modeling of the Cdc25 protein tyrosine phosphatase (Eckstein et al., 1996) suggests that **its** catalysis proceeds via nucleophilic attack on the phosphate by cysteine, resulting in a temporary thiophosphate ester bond **which** is then hydrolyzed to release **the** phosphate. The dephosphorylated substrate is protonated by an **aspartic** acid residue as it is replaced by the bond to cysteine. Although PTAP is highly active against phosphotyrosine, it and PAP7 **share** little sequence homology with protein tyrosine phosphatases (Fig. **7).** Significantly, the **HCxxxurR** active-site motif shared by tyrosine

phosphatases (Eckstein et **oL,** 1996) **is** present in the PTPases in **Fig.** 7 but not in PTAP or **PAP7.** PTAP and **PAP7** each have 1 cysteine residue but they are not in conserved positions. **It** is unlikely that these enzymes could share a reaction mechanism with **PTPases.** As PTAP is activated by Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} (Gellatly, 1996), it could be speculated that the enzyme utilizes a divalent cation **to** generate the nucleophilic hydroxide, **while** the leaving group is protonated by a histidine residue as in the proposed **KBPAP mechanism.**

The predicted *pap7* protein shows a **higher** homology with **ICBPAP** (63%) than **with** PTAP (53%). If the potato and kidney **bean** enzymes represent two related groups of **enzymes** with subtly different **mechanisms, this limited** data would suggest that the PAP7 protein, if **it exists in** vivo, **might be** more "KBPAP-like" than "PTAP-like". Of course, the ciifference in homology could have no functional significance. The purification **and** characterization of the **PAP7** protein **fkom** potato tissues is obviously a prerequisite to any serious **analysis** of its functiond homology to other APases.

Non-APase Homologies

The 3' end of the contiguous **mapped** region of the genomic clone (corresponding to the **2.3 kb EcoRI** fragment of subclone pap7-6) is homologous to an *Arabidopsis* clone coding for a glutamine synthetase-like protein. Glutamine synthetase (GS) cataiyzes the addition of ammonia to glutamate in the first step of nitrogen incorporation into amino acid biosynthesis. It **is** expressed in non-green tissues **like** roots and **legume** nodules, where it serves to incorporate ammonia into usable metabolites, and in green tissues where it serves to metabolize ammonia produced by photorespiration (Canton *er al.,*

1999). men translated, the homologous open reading frame in **the** potato clone was missing the first 96 amino acids present in the Arabidopsis GS sequence. Although the **ORF** continued **right up** to the EcoRI site at the **3'** end of the mapped region of the clone, it **was** missing only about six amino acids at the C-terminus when compared to the **Arabidopsis** *GS* sequence. The missing 5' end could be absent in vivo for this sequence; another possibility could be that it **was** mapped incorrectly on the genornic clone - since the entire clone **was** not mapped **and** sequenced the 5' end could be on another uncharacterized restriction fragment.

The other significant non-APase homology found in the genomic clone was at the protein level between regions of subclone pap7-10 and *copia*- and *gypsy*-like LTR (long terrninal repeat) transposable elements. Retrotransposons are transposable elements that replicate themselves through the genome by producing an RNA molecule, and then reverse-transcribing it and inserting the resultant DNA into genomic DNA. LTR retrotransposons are bracketed by regions of repetitive sequence, and most faIl into two categories: *copia-like* **and** gypsy-like. These are distinguished by the order of their protein-coding genes (protease, integrase, and reverse transcriptase/RNase H) in the *pol* ORF (Laten *et al.,* 1998). Subclone pap7-10 showed two separate regions of hornology to **LTR** retrotransposons. In both cases the sequence only corresponded to a portion of the retroelement polyprotein. **The 107** amino acids from the copia-like sequence were homologous to **the** integrase-coding portion of *Phaseolus* vulgaris Tpv2 (Garber *et* **aL,** 1999), while the **238** amino acids from the gypsy-like sequence were homologous to reverse transcriptase/RNase H-coding partial sequences from *Hordeum vulgare* and *Nicotiana tabacurn* (Kumekawa *et al-,* 1999). *In* the case of the gypsy-like sequence,

there were ten stop codons in the homologous reading frarne. Thus, these sequences do not appear to represent functional retrotransposons, or indeed, ones that were functional in the recent evolutionary past. Transposable element sequences can make up to 35% of human genome size (Labrador and Corces, 1997), and these sequences may **make** up much of the repetitive DNA that causes wide variations in plant genome sizes (Kumekawa *et al.*, 1999). In light of this, it is perhaps surprising that this genomic clone **did** not contain more sequence homologous to transposons.

Expression Analysis

Acid phosphatases are a ubiquitous component of the phosphate starvation response in plants. APase induction is found in roots in secreted forms (Wasaki et *al.,* 1997; Gilbert *et* aL, **2000),** cell-wall bound forms (Dracup et ai., 1984, **Wasaki** et al., 1997), and intracellularly (Szabo-Nagy et al., 1987; Panara *et* aL, 1990;). There is also evidence for APase induction in leaves of phosphate-starved Arabidopsis (Haran et *aL,* 2000). Northern blot analysis of phosphate-fed and starved potato tissues did not reveai **any** expression of *pap7* under either condition in leaves, stem or roots. This suggests that pap7 **is** not a phosphate starvation-induced gene, or since the Northem blot was of RNA from plants starved for 3 1 days, that it may be induced only in **early** stages of phosphate starvation. When the same RNA was probed with a known phosphate-starvation inducible gene (the potato phosphate transporter StPT2) a transcript was detected, though the signal was not as clear as in **the** original **StPT2** paper (Leggewie *et* **aL,** 1997). This could indicate that the plants were not expressing PSI genes normally, possibly due to the extended starvation time. In the study reporting **StPT2** expression (mainly in phosphate-

starved roots), plants were only starved for three days before analysis (Leggewie et al., 1997).

Clearly, one of the most important continuations of this project will be the cloning and sequencing of the 5' end of the gene, containing the promoter region. Once this sequence is available, it can be examined for elements **which** suggest whether it is regulated by phosphate starvation, or possibiy by some other conditions or developmental cues. This should increase the likelihood of determining *pap7*'s mode of regulation. Recently, the promoter region of a phosphate starvation-induced **APase** from Arabidopsis **was** cloned **(Haran** et al., **2000).** If pap7 did turn out to be induced at an early stage of phosphate starvation, it would be interesting to compare the two genes' promoter sequences. **Again,** it is possible that *pup7* **is** a pseudogene, and does not possess a functional promoter at all.

Just because *pap7* does not appear to be expressed under phosphate starvation does not imply **tbat** it is never expressed in **vivo.** APases are induced under a number of other conditions, including seed germination (Biswas and Cundiff, 199 1)- senescence (De Leo and Sacher, 1970), flowenng **(La1** and Jaiswal, 1988), fniit ripening (Kanellis et *al-:* 1989), sink tissue removal ("depodding"; DeWald et al., **1992),** salt stress (Pan, 1987, del Pozo *et* al., 1999), *and* oxidation stress (del Pozo et *al.,* 1999) *as* well as diverse tissues including seeds (Fujimoto et al., 1977; Beck et al., 1986; Van Etten and Waymack, 1991; Bose and Taneja, 1998; Ferriera et al., 1998; Granjeiro et al., 1999), legume root nodules (Penheiter *et al.,* 1997), and **tubers** (Kubicz *et* al., 1974; Uehara *et* **aL,** 1974; Sugawara er **aL,** 1981; Kamenan *et* al., 1983; Gellatly et *al.,* 1994). In some of these cases, such as senescing tissues and germinating seeds, the fûnction of APases could be resource

mobilization: redistribution of P_i to other plant tissues in the case of senescence and senescence-causing stresses such as salinity, and retrieval of P_i from storage compounds in germinating seeds. In legume root nodules, APase was suggested to have a role in the synthesis of ureides, compounds involved in fixed nitrogen export from the nodule (Penheiter et al., 1997). An intriguing possibility involves **the** role of APases in oxidative stress: at least one APase, **AtACP5** (de1 Pozo et al., 1999) dso displays peroxidation activity and is induced by oxidative stress. Clearly, acid phosphatases are involved in many components of plant biochemistry other than the response to environmental phosphate starvation. Determination of **the** roles of PAP7 and PTAP will heIp to fûrther elucidate some of the ways plants manage phosphate at the biochemical level.

Conclusions and Future Work

Pap7 appears to be a single-copy gene encoding an acid phosphatase homologous to potato tuber acid phosphatase and plant purple acid phosphatases. The $pap7$ genomic **clone** did not contain the entire gene sequence, as the 5' end was interrupted at the clone boundary. The available sequence codes for 404 amino acids, which share 53% identity with PTAP and 63% identity with kidney bean **PAP.** Northem blotting did not detect $pap7$ transcript in either phosphate-fed or phosphate-starved plant tissues, although the tissues studied may not have been expressing PSI genes normally due to extended starvation.

Clearly, the most important imrnediate continuation of this project is the cloning and sequencing of the 5' end of the $pap7$ gene, including the beginning of the coding sequence and the promoter elements. Work is currently underway to isolate the upstream

genomic sequence using a PCR strategy- Restriction-digested potato genomic DNA will be Iigated to an adapter DNA and **amplified** using an adapter-specific primer and a primer from the 5' end of the known $pap7$ sequence. This should allow amplification of one or more upstream genomic clones overlapping the **5'** end of the known **pap7** sequence.

Although promoter sequences often share homology with others activated under the same conditions, expression analysis is still required to confim under which conditions or in which tissues a gene is expressed. If the *pap7* promoter contains homology to other known promoters, this process is simplified. However if it does not. it would be necessary to look for expression in plants under different nutrient stresses and developrnental stages, **using** Northem blotting or **RT-PCR** screening to identify transcripts. Alternately, the promoter region could **be** combined with a reporter gene such as glutathione s-transferase (Smith and Johnson, 1988), β -glucuronidase (Jefferson et nL, **1987),** or a fluorescent protein **(Chalfie** et al., 1994) and used to transform plants. The transformants could be examined for reporter gene expression under different conditions.

Ifpap7 expression **was** confmed **in vivo,** attempts could be made to purify and characterize the gene product. Although the protein could be purified from potato tissues, isolation of a cDNA clone would allow **it** to be cloned into an expression vector and expressed in a bacterial or yeast system. An eukaryotic system would not only provide more protein to **study** but would also increase the Iikelihood that it would be folded and glycosylated correctly.

Literature Cited

- Akiyama, **T.,** Uchirniya, H., **and Suzuki,** H. **(198** 1) Gibberellic acid-induced increase in activity **of** a particular isozyme of acid phosphatase in wheat half-seeds, Plant Cell Physiol. **22(6):** 1023-1028.
- **Altschiil, S.F-,** Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., **and Lipman, D.J. (1990)** Basic local alignment search tool. **J.** Mol- Biol. 215: **403-410-**
- Amemura, M., Makino, K., Shinagawa, H., Kobayashi, A., and Nakata, A. (1985) Nucleotide sequence of the genes involved in phosphate transport and regulation of the phosphate regulon in *Eschenchia coli.* **J.** Mol. Biol, 184: 241 -250.
- Bailey, **KM.,** Phillips, **D.J.,** and Pitt, D. (1976) Effects of gibberellic acid on the activation, synthesis and release of acid phosphatase in barley seed. J, **Exp.** Bot. **27(97):** 324-336-
- Beck, **J.,** McConachie, L., Summors, **A.,** Aniold, W., **De** Jersey, **J., and** Zerner, **B.** (1986) Properties of a **purple** phosphatase from red kidney bean: a zinc-iron metalloenzyme. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 869: 6 1-68.
- Biswas, T.K. and Cundiff, C. (1991) Multiple forms of acid phosphatase in germinating seeds of *Vigna sinensis.* Phytochem. **30(7):** *21* 19-2125.
- Bose, **S.K.** and Taneja, **V.** (1998) Induction of a germination-specific, Iow molecular weight, acid phosphatase isozyme with specific phosphotyrosine phosphatase activity in lentil (Lens *esculenra)* seeds. Biochem. Biophys. **Res.** Comm. **250(3):** 629-634.
- Bradford, M. (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72: 248-254.
- Brendel, **V.** and Kieffe, J. (1998) Prediction of locally optimal splice sites in plant pre**mRNA** with applications to gene identification in *Arabidopsis thaliana* genornic DNA. Nucl. Acids Res. 26(20): 4748-4757.
- Burleigh, **S.M.** and Harrison, M.J. (1998) Characterization of the Mt4 gene from *Medicago truncatula.* Gene *216: 47-53.*
- Canton, **F.R.,** Suarez, M.F., Jose, **E.M.,** and Canovas, F.M. (1999) Expression analysis of a cytosolic glutamine synthetase gene in cotyledons of scots pine seedlings: developmental, **light** regulation and spatial distribution of specific transcripts. Plant Mol. Biol. **40(4):** 623-634.
- **Cashïkar, A.G.,** Kumaresan, R., and Rao, **N.M-** (1997) Biochernicai characterization and subcellular localization of the red kidney bean purple acid phosphatase. Plant Physiol. 114: 907-915.
- Chalfie, M., Tu, Y., Euskirchen, G., Ward, W.W., and Prasher, D.C. (1994) Green fluorescent protein as a marker for gene expression. Science **263(5148):** 802-805.
- **Cheng,** H-F. and Tao, M. (1989) Purification and characterization of a phosphotyrosylprotein phosphatase from wheat seedlings. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 998: 271-276.
- **Chung,** R-P-T. and Polya, G.M. (1992) Copurification and characterization of poppy seed phosphatase and phosphoprotein phosphatase activities. Plant Sci. 84: 153- 162.
- De Leo, P. and Sacher, J.A. (1970) Control of ribonuclease and acid phosphatase by auxin and abscisic acid during senescence of Rhoeo leaf sections. Plant Physiol. 46: 806-8 1 1.
- del Pozo, **J-C.,** AlIona, I., Rubio, **V.,** Leyva, A., de la Pena, A., Aragoncillo, C., and Paz-Ares, J. (1999) A type 5 acid phosphatase gene from *Arabidopsis thaliana* is induced by phosphate starvation and by some other types of phosphate mobilizing/oxidative stress conditions- Plant J. **19(5):** 579-589.
- DeWald, **D.B.,** Mason, **H.S.,** and Mullet, LE. (1992) The soybean storage proteins VSPu and **VSPP** are acid phosphatases active on polyphosphates. J. Biol. Chem. **267(22):** 15958- 15964.
- Dong, B., Rengel, *Z.,* and Delhaize, E. (1998) Uptake and translocation of phosphate by ph02 mutant **and** wild-type seedlings of **Arabidopsis** *thaliana.* Planta 205: 25 1 - **256.**
- Dracup, M.N.H., Barrett-Lennard, E.G., Greenway, H., **and** Robson, A.D. (1984) Effect of phosphorus deficiency on phosphatase activity of cell walls from roots of subterranean clover. **J. Exp.** Botany **35(153):** 466-480.
- Duff, S.M.G., Sarath, G., and Plaxton, W.C. (1994) The role of acid phosphatases in plant phosphoms metabolism. Physiol. Plant. 90: 79 1-800.
- Duff, S.M.G., Lefebvre, D.D., and Plaxton, W.C. (1991) Purification, characterization. and subcellular localization of an acid phosphatase from black mustard cellsuspension cultures: comparison with phosphoenolpyruvate phosphatase. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 286: 226-232.
- Duff, S.M.G., Plaxton, W.C., and Lefebvre, D.D. (1991) Phosphate-starvation response in plant cells: de novo synthesis and degradation of acid phosphatases. Proc. Nat. Acad, **Sci-** 88: 9538-9542.
- Duff, S.M.G., Lefebvre, D.D., and Plaxton, **WC.** (1989) Purification and characterization of phosphoenolpyruvate phosphatase from *Brassica nigrn* suspension cells. Plant Physiol. 90: 734-741.
- Duff, S.M.G., Moorhead, G.B.G-, Lefebvre, D., and Platon, W.C. (1989) Phosphate starvation inducible "bypasses" of adenylate and phosphate dependent glycolytic enzymes in *Brassica nigra* suspension cells. Plant Physiol. 90: 1275-1278.
- Durmus, A., Eicken, **C.,** Sift, B.H., Kratel, A., Kappl, R., Huttermann, J., and Krebs, B. (1999) The active site of purple acid phosphatase from sweet potatoes *(Ipornoen bararas).* Eur. J. Biochem. 260: 709-716.
- Eckstein, **J.W.,** Beer-Rornero, P., and Berdo, 1. (1996) Identification of an essential acidic residue in Cdc25 protein phosphatase and a general three-dimensional mode1 for a core region in protein phosphatases. Prot. Sci, 5: 5-12.
- Egoavil, C., Marton, **KA.,** Baynton, C.E., McCullough, A.J., and Schuler, MA. (1997) Structural analysis of elements contributing to 5'splice site selection in plant pre**mRNA** transcripts. Plant J. **12(5):** 97 1-980.
- Enon, **J.L.,** Ballo, B., May, L., Bussell, **J.,** Fox, **T.,** and Thomas, S. (1 99 1) Isolation and characterization of a tomato acid phosphatase cornplementary DNA associated with nematode resistance. Plant Physiol. 97: 1462-1469.
- Ferriera, C.V., Granjeiro, J.M., Taga, E.M., and Aoyama, H. (1998) Purification and characterization of multiple foms of soybean seed acid phosphatases. Plant Physiol. Biochern. **36(7):** 487-494,
- Fujimoto, S., Nakagawa, T., and Ohara, A. (1977) Isolation of violet-coloured acid phosphatase from soybean. Agr. Biol. Chem. 41(3): 599-600.
- Gellatly, **K-S-,** Moorhead, G.B.G., Duff, S.M.G., Lefebvre, D.D., and PIaxton, W.C. (1994) Purification and characterization of a potato tuber acid phosphatase having significant phosphotyrosine phosphatase activity. Plant Physiol. 106: 223-232.
- Gellatly, K. (1996) Biochemical and molecular characterization of potato tuber acid phosphatase and other members of the plant acid phosphatase family. Ph.D. thesis. Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.
- Gilbert, G.A., Knight, J.D., Vance, C.P., and Allan, D.L. (2000) Acid phosphatase activity in phosphorus-deficient white lupin roots. Plant Ce11 Env. 22: 80 1-8 10.
- Goldstein, A.H., Danon, A., Baertlein, **D.A.,** and McDaniel, R.G. (1 988) Phosphate starvation inducible metabolism in *Lysopersicon esculentum*. Plant Physiol. 87: **7** 16-720,
- Graber, **J-H.,** Cantor, C.R., Mohr, S.C., and Smith, **T.F.** (1999) **In** *silico* detection of control signals: **mRNA** 3'-end-processing sequences in diverse species. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 96(24): 14055-14060.
- Granjeiro, P.A., Ferriera, C.V., Granjeiro, J.M., Taga, E.M., and Aoyama, H. (1999) Purification and kinetic properties of a castor bean seed acid phosphatase containing sulfhydryl groups. Physiol. Plant. 107: 15 1 - 158.
- Haran, S., Logendra, S., Seskar, M., Bratanova, M., and Raskin, I. (2000) Characterization of *Arabidopsis* acid phosphatase promoter and regulation of acid phosphatase expression. Plant Phys. 124: 615-626.
- Higgins, D.G. and Sharp, P.M. (1988) CLUSTAL: a package for performing multiple sequence alignments on a microcomputer. Gene 73: 237-244.
- Huber, S.C. and Huber, J.L. (1996) Role and regulation of sucrose phosphate synthase in higher plants. **Am.** Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 47: 43 1-444.
- Igaue, **L,** Watabe, H., Takahashi, K., Takekoshi, M., and Morota, A. (1976) Violetcoloured acid phosphatase isozymes associated with ce11 wall preparations from rice plant cultured cells. **Agr.** Biol. Chem. 40(4): 823-825.
- Jefferson, R.A., Kavanagh, T.A., and Bevan, M.W. (1987) GUS-fusions: . β glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene hision marker in hisher plants. EMBO J. 6: 3901-3907.
- Kamenan, A. and Diopoh, **1.** (1983) Properties of two membrane-bound acid phosphatases compared with those of a cytoplasrnic acid phosphatase from *Dioscorea cayanensis rotundata.* Plant **Sci.** Letters 32: 305-312.
- Kanellis, A.K., Solomos, T., and Mattoo, A.K. (1989) Changes in sugars, enzymic activities and acid phosphatase isoenzyme profiles of bananas ripened in air or stored in 2.5% O₂ with and without ethylene. Plant Physiol. 90: 251-258.
- Klabunde, T., Strater, N., Krebs, B., and Witzel, H. (1995) Structural relationship between the mammalian Fe(III)-Fe(II) and the Fe(III)-Zn(II) plant purple acid phosphatases. FEBS Letters 367: 56-60.
- Klabunde, T., Strater, N., Frohlich, R., Witzel, H., and Krebs, B. (1996) Mechanism of Fe(III)-Zn(II) purple acid phosphatase based on crystal structure. **J**. Mol. Biol. 259: 737-748.
- Kubicz, A., Morawiecka, B., and Kruzel, M. (1974) Heterogeneity of acid phosphatase fiom potato tubers. Acta Biochim. Pol. **21(2):** 1 13-1 **17.**
- Kumekawa, **N.,** Ohtsubo, H., Horiuchi, T., and Ohtsubo, **E.** (1999) Identification and characterization of novel retrotransposons of the **gypsy** type in rice. Mol- Gen. Genet. 260: 593-602.
- Labrador, M., and Corces, **V.G.** (1997) Transposable element-host interactions: regulation of insertion and excision. **Am** Rev. Genet. 31: 38 1-404.
- **Lal,** M., and Jaiswal, **V.S.** (1998) Modification of flower sex and acid phosphatase activity **by** phthdimides in female plants of Morgus **nigra** L. Plant Growth Reg. **7:** 29-37.
- Laten, H.M., Majumdar, A., and Gaucher, E.A. (1998) SIRE-1, a copia/Tyl-like retroelement from soybean, encodes a retroviral envelope-like protein. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 6897-6902.
- Lee, R.B. (1988) Phosphate influx and extracellular phosphatase activity in barley roots and rose ceus. New Phytol. **109: 141-148.**
- Lefebvre, D., Duff, S.M.G., Fife, C.A., Julien-Inalsingh, C., and Plaxton, W.C. (1990) Response to phosphate deprivation in Brassica nigra suspension cells. Physiol. Plant- 93: **504-5** 1 1.
- Lefebvre, D. and Glass, A. (1982) Regulation of phosphate influx in barley roots: effects of phosphate deprivation and reduction of influx with provision of orthophosphate. PhysioI. Plant. 54: 199-206.
- Leggewie, *G.,* Willmitzer, L., and Riesmeier, J.W. (1997) Two **cDNA7s** from potato are able to complernent a phosphate uptake-deficient yeast mutant: identification of phosphate transporters from higher plants. Plant Ce11 9: 38 1-392.
- Lenburg, **M.E., and** O'Shea, **E.K.** (1996) **Signaling** phosphate starvation. Trends Biochem. **Sci. 21:** 383-387-
- Li, Q. and Hunt, A.G. (1995) A near-upstream element in a plant polyadenylation signal consists of more **than** six nucleotides. Plant Mol. Biol. 28: 927-934.
- Liu, C., Muchhal, U.S., Uthappa, M., Kononowicz, **A.K., and** Raghothama, K.G. (1998) Tornato phosphate transporter genes **are** differentially regulated in plant tissues by phosphorus. Plant Physiol. 116: 91-99.
- Liu, H., Trieu, A.T., Blaylock, L.A., and Harrison, **M.I.** (1998) Cloning and characterization of two phosphate transporters from Medicago *truncatula* roots:

regulation in response to phosphate and to colonization by Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungi. Mol.-Plant Micr. Inter. 11(1): 14-22.

- Makino, **K, Shinagawa,** H., Amemura, **M., Kimura,** S., Nakata, A., and Ishihama, A. (1988) Regulation of the phosphate regulon of *Escherichia* **coli:** activation of *pstS* transcription by PhoB protein in *vitro.* J. Mol. Biol. 203: 85-95.
- Makino, K., Shinagawa, **H., Arnemura,** M., and Nakata A. (1986) Nucleotide sequence of the *phoB* gene, the positive regulatory gene for the phosphate regulon of *Escherichtiz* coli **K-12. J,** Mol. Biol, **190:** 37-44.
- Maugenest, S., Martinez, I., and Lescure, **A.-M.** (1997) Cloning and characterization of a cDNA encading a maize seedling phytase. Biochem **J. 322:** 5 **1 1-5 2** 7.
- Maugenest, S., Martinez, I., Godin, B., Perez, P., **and Lescure,** A-M. (1999') Structure of two maize phytase genes and their spatio-temporal expression during seedling development. Plant Mol. Biol. **39: 503-5** 14.
- Metcalf, **W-W-, Stieed, P.M., and** Wanner, **B.** (1990) Identification of phosphate starvation-inducible genes in *Escherichia coli* by DNA sequence analysis of *psi::lacZ*(Mu d1) transcriptional fusions. J. Bacteriol. 172(6): 3191-3200.
- Miernyk, J.A. (1992) Purification and characterization of the major acid phosphatase isozyme secreted by maize endosperm cultures. Phytochem- **31(8):** 26 13-26 **16.**
- Muchhal, U.S ., Pando, **J.M., and** Raghothama, **KG.** (1 996) Phosphate transporters from the higher plant *Arabidopsis thaliana.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 93: 10519-10523.
- Muchhd, U.S. **and** Raghothama, KG. (1999) Transcriptional regulation of plant phosphate transporters. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 96: 5868-5872.
- Mullaney, E.J. **andl Ullah,** A.H.J. (1998) Conservation of the active site motif in *Aspergillus niger (ficuurn)* pH 6.0 *optimum* acid phosphatase and **kidney** bean purple acid phosphatase. Biochem. Biophys Res. Cornm. **243:** 471-473.
- Murashige, **T. and** Skoog, F. (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue culture. Physiol. Plant. 15: 473-497.
- Nakazato, H., Okamoto, T., Nishikoori, M., **Washio,** K., Morita, N., Haraguchi, K., Thompson, G.A., and **Okuyarna, H-** (1998) **The** glycosylphosphatidylinosi tolanchored phosphatase fiom *Spirodela oligorrhiza* is *a* purple acid phosphatase. Plant Physiol. 118: 1015-1020.
- Nandi, **S.K.,** Pant, **W.C.,** and Nissen, P. (1987) Multiphasic uptake of phosphate by corn roots. Plant Cell Env. 10: 463-474
- Neuman, H. (1968) Substrate selectivity in the action of alkaline and acid phosphatases. J, Biol. **Chem, 243(18): 467 1-4676.**
- **Ogawa,** N., Hayashi, N., Saito, **H.,** Noguchi, K., Yamashita, Y., and Oshima, Y. (1994) Regulatory circuit for phosphatase genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: specific cis-acting sites in **PH0** promoters for **biading** the positive regulator **Pho4p.** In: Tomani-Gorini, A., Yagil, E., **and** Silver, S. (Eds.), Phosphate in Microorganisms: **Cellular and** Molecular Biology . American Society for Microbioiogy, Washington, DC, pp. 56-62.
- Oshima, Y., Ogawa, N., and **Harashima,** S. (1996) Regdation of phosphatase synthesis *in Saccharomyces cerevisiae* - *a* review. Gene 179: 17 1 - 177-
- Ozawa, K,, **Osaki,** M., **Matsui,** H., Honma, M., and Tadano, **T.** (1995) Purification and properties of acid phosphatase secreted from lupin roots under phosphomsdeficiency conditions. Soil Sci. Plant **Nutr. 41(3):** 461-469.
- Pan, S_{rm.} (1987) Characterization of multiple acid phosphatases in salt-stressed spinach leaves. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 14: 1 17-124.
- Panara, F., Pasqualini, S., and Antonielli, M. (1990) Multiple forms of barley root acid phosphatase: purification and some characteristics of the major cytoplasmic isoenzyme. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1037: 73-80.
- Paul, E.M. and Williamson, V.M. (1987) Purification and properties of acid phosphatase-1 fiom a **nematode** resistant tomato cultivar. Plant Physiol, 84: 399- 403.
- Penheiter, A.R., Duff, S.M.G., **and** Sarath, G. (1997) Soybean root nodule acid phosphatase. PIant Physiol. 114: **597-604.**
- Pfeiffer, W. (1996) Auxin induces exocytosis of acid phosphatase in coleoptiles from Zea *mays.* Physiol. Plant. 98: 773-779.
- Pfeiffer, W. (1998) Expression **and** low pH increase the activity of an apoplastic acid phosphatase in **growing** tissues from *Zea rnays.* Physiol. Plant. 102: 1 1 1- 1 18.
- Raghothama, K.G. (2000) Phosphate transport and signaling. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 3: 182-187.
- Rao, N.N. and Torriani, A. (1990) Molecular aspects of phosphate transport in *Escherichia coli.* Mol. Microbiol. **4(7):** 1083-1090.
- **Randall,** D.D. and Tolbert, **N.E.** (1971) 3-Phosphoglycerate phosphatase in plants **1.** Isolation and characterization from sugarcane leaves. J. Biol. Chem. 246(17): **55 10-55** 17.
- Rebeille, F., Bligny, R., Martin, J.-B., and Douce, **R-** (1983) Relationship between the cytoplasm and the vacuole phosphate pool in *Acer pseudoplatanus* cells. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 225: 143-148-
- Richardson, A.E., **Hadobas,** P.A., and Hayes, **J.E.** (2000) Acid phosphornonoesterase and phytase activities of wheat *(Triticum aestivum* L.) roots **and** utilization of organic phosphorus substrates by seedüngs grown in sterile culture. PIant CeIl Env. 23: 397-405.
- Rothnie, **H.M.** (1996) Plant **aiRNA** 3'-end formation. Plant Mol, Biol. 32: **43-6 1.**
- Rudolph, H. and Hinnen, A. (1987) The yeast **PH05** promoter: phosphate-control elements and sequences mediating **mRNA** start-site selection. Proc. Nat. **Acad. Sci.** 84: **1340-1344.**
- Schenk, G., Ge, Y., Carrington, **L.E.,** Wynne, **C.J.,** Searle, LR., Carroll, B.J., Hamilton. S., and de Jersey, J. (1999) Binuclear metal centers in plant purple acid phosphatases: Fe-Mn in sweet potato **and** Fe-Zn in soybean. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 370(2): 183-189.
- Scholten, M. and Tommassen, J. (1994) Effect of mutations in the -10 region of the *phoE* promoter *in Escherichia coli on* regulation of gene expression. Mol. Gen. Genet. 245: 218-223.
- Shimogawara, K., Wykoff, D.D., Useda, H., and Grossrnan, A.R. (1999) *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* mutants abnormal in their responses to phosphorus deprivation. Plant Phys. 120: **685-693.**
- Shinagawa, **H.,** Makino, K., and Nakata, **A.** (1983) Regulation of the pho regulon in *Escherichia coli* K-12: genetic **and** physiological regulation of the positive regulatory gene *phoB.* **J.** Mol. Biol. 168: 477-488.
- Smith, D.B., and Johnson, K.S. (1988) Single-step purification of polypeptides expressed in *Escherichia coli* as fusions with glutathione S-transferase. Gene **67(1):** 3 1-40.
- Sugawara, S., Inamoto, Y., and Ushijima, M. (1981) Resolution **and** some properties of acid phosphatase isozymes bound to the cell wall of potato tubers. Agr. Biol. Chem. 45(8): 1767-1773.
- Surin, B.P., Jans, **D.A.,** Fimmel, A.L., Shaw, D.C., Cox, G.B., and Rosenberg, H. (1984) Structural gene for the phosphate-repressible phosphate-binding protein of *Escherichia coli* has its own promoter: complete nucleotide sequence of the *phoS* gene. J. Bacteriol. 157: 772-778.
- Szabo-Nagy, A., Olah, Z., and Erdei, L. (1987) Phosphatase induction in roots of winter wheat during adaptation to phosphorus deficiency. Physiol. Plant. 70: 544-552.
- Thaller, **MC.,** Schippa, S., and Rossolini, GM. (1998) Conserved sequence motifs among bacterial, eukaryotic, and archaeal phosphatases that define a new phosphohydrolase superfamily. Prot. Sci. 7: 1647- 1652.
- Toh-e, A., Inouye, S., and Oshima, Y. (1981) Structure and function of the *PH082-ph04* locus controlling the synthesis of repressible acid phosphatase of Saccharomyces *cerevisiae.* J. Bacteriol. **145(1):** 22 1-232.
- Tommassen, J., de Geus, P., and Lugtenberg, B. (1982) Regulation of the pho regulon of *Escherichia coli K-12:* cloning of the regdatory genes *phoB* and *phoR* and identification of **their gene** products. J. Mol. Biol. 157: 265-274-
- Trull, **MC,** Guiitinan, **M.J.,** Lynch, J.P., **and** Deikman, **J-** (1997) The responses of wildtype **and ABA** mutant *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants *to* phosphorus starvation. Plant Ce11 **Env.** 20: 85-92.
- **Uehara, K.,** Fujimoto, S., Taniguchi, T., **and Nakai,** K. (1974) Studies on violet-coloured acid phosphatase of sweet potato II : enzymatic properties and amino acid composition. J. Biochem. 75: 639-649.
- Ueki, K. **and** Sato, S. (197 1) Effect of inorganic phosphate on the extracellular acid phosphatase activity of tobacco cells **cultured** in virro. Physiol. Plant. 24: *506-* 511.
- Ullah, **A.H.J.** and Dischinger, H.C. (1993) Identification of active-site residues in *Aspergillusficuum* extracellular pH 2.5 optimum acid phosphatase. Biochem. Biophys Res. Comm. **192(2):** 754-759.
- Usuka, J. and Brendel, V. (2000) Gene structure prediction by spliced alignment of genomic DNA with protein sequences: increased accuracy by differential splice site scoring. **J.** Mol. Biol. 297: 1075- 1085.
- Utsugi, S. (1998) *Arabidopsis thaliana* vegetative storage protein (VSP) genes: gene organization **and** tissue-specific expression. Plant Mol. Biol. **38(4):** 565-576.
- Van Etten, R. and Waymack, **P.P.** (1991) Substrate specificity **and** pH dependence of homogenous wheat germ acid phosphatase. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **288(2): 634-645.**
- Vincent, J.B., Crowder, M.W., and **Averill,** B.A. (1992) Hydrolysis of phosphate monoesters: a biological problem with multiple chernicd solutions. Trends Biochem. Sci. 17: 105-1 10,
- Vogel, K., and Hinnen, A. (1990) The yeast phosphatase system. MOI. MicrobioI. **4(12):** 2013-2017,
- Wanner, B.L. (1993) Gene regulation by phosphate in enteric bacteria, J. Cell. Biochem. **51: 47-54.**
- **Wasaki,** J., Ando, M., Ozawa, K., Omura, M., **Osaki,** M., Ito, H., Matsui, **H-,** and Tadano, **T:** (1997) Properties of secretory acid phosphatases **fiom** lupin roots under phosphorus-deficient conditions. In: Ando, **T.** et al. (Eds.), Plant nutrition - for sustainable food production and environment. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Japan, pp. 295-300.
- **Wykoff,** D.D-, Grossman, **A.R., Weeks,** D.P., Usuda, **H., and** Shimogawara, K. (1999) Psr1, a nuclear localized protein that regulates phosphorus metabolism in **Chlamydornonas,** Proc. Nat. Acad. **Sci. 96(26):** 15336-15341.
- Yamada, M., Makino, K., **Amemura,** M., Shinagawa, H., **and** Nakata, A. (1989) Regdation of the phosphate regulon of *Escherichia colt* mutant *phoB* and *phoR* genes causing different phenotypes. J. Bacteriol. 171(10): 5601-5606.
- Yeh, C-C., Tsay, H-S., Yeh, **J-H.,** Tsai, F-Y., **Shih,** C-Y., **and Kao,** C-H. (1995). A comparative study of the effects of methyl jasmonate and abscisic acid on some rice physiological processes. **J.** Plant Growth **Reg.** 14: 23-28.

Appendices

- **B Nucleotide sequence of EcoRI subclone pap7-8**
- *C* **Partial nucleotide sequence** of *EcuRI* **subclone pap7-10**
- **D NucIeotide sequence of** *EcoRIISaGI* **subclone pap7-500**

 \bar{z}

E Sequences of oligonucleotides used

 $\ddot{}$

 \Box

Appendix A. Nucleotide sequence of *EcoRI* **subclone pap7-7.**

 $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 \mathcal{L}

GAATTCCTATAAATGGATGAAGTTGGTAGCTCATATTTCA 40 ATTTCATTTCCATCATAGTTCTATGACGGTTAACCCGATT 80 GTAGTTTTGTTGGAATTTGGGATTTTGATGAAGCAGCAGA 120 TGACACTCTATTTTGTTCTTCTCCTTTGCTTGTTCCCTCT 160 TGTTGCTGATAAATTACAGGCTAAAATCTCAAGTGATGAA 200 AGCATTTCTATGATATAGAAGAGTTAATTCAAGCATGCAT 240 GAACAACATGTCTGCTTATTGCCAATATAATTCAAATTGA 280 GGGTATTTTAGACTTCTCATAGTACCTAACTATATACCAT 320 GCCTTTATTTTGTTTGCCATGTATCACTTCTCTATTTTGT 360 TTTATTTTTGGCTCTAAATCiTCTACAGCCTTTTCGGTTC 400 ATCGCCATCCAGCTTGTCTGGGrCTTAGCATCATCTATCC 440 ACTATTAAGTTTGTTTGTATGTTCJTTCTTCTGCTATCCA 480 GTACCTTTTATAATACAAGTCTGAATCTGGCAATAACTAC 520 TGCTCTCTGTTCTTGGTTGTTCTTTCTAGATTCTGTCTCT 560 ACACTCGCTTGGAGATTTATGTTGTTCCACTGCCTCTGAT 600 CGGTGAGTTTCACTGATATTCTATCACGTCTJTTTCCTGC 640 CTGCTTTCTAAATTCAAATCTGGGTTTACGGTTTTCATCT 680 AAGGAAAAAACAAGTAATAATATAGATGATTCTGTTTCAT 720 GAATTAAGTATCTTAATGGCCTTCCAGTGGTTGTTTG 760 ATGCATTTATTCATATGAAACATCCTGAATATCTGGAAG4 800 GTTACACTCCTTGAATTC 818

Appendix B. Nucleotide sequence of EcoRI subclone pap7-8.

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max}

GAATTCAAATAGAGTCACAACCCTTTACAACATAGTCTCAAAATGAAGGTACAAAGAAAG 60 AAAATAGAACAACATATTCCATCCTCGAACCATGAGGACTCATCGAAGTAACGATATAG 120 TTGATCCAAGCTTTGACCGCAAAAGAAGCACACCTCTAGAACCGGGCCCTACACTTAGAG 180 AAAAGTGTCGAAAATATGGGTTAGTACAAATATGCACTAATTATGATATCATGCATAAA 240 GTCCTTCAAACATGCCAAAAAGGGACATTTTATTTGAAACCATGCACTTTATCAAGTGTA 300 **AGATTCATCATAAACATTAGTGTAAAAACACAACATAAGTACTTAGATCTTCATATCATA** 360 **AGAGAACCATTGCATAAGCAATCCTAATCTATATTTGTTCAATGCATAGACAAGATCCCA** 420 TATAATACCAAGTACCACCTTTAGATCACCACATTCATACTTATCATGCTTTGACCTTAT 480 CCATAGCTAATACTCGTAGACAAGGAAACATTCATGTACAATAACTCATGTCAACGAAAG 540 CCACTAATATAACCAATCCACATTAGGAATGCATAAATTCATATACTTCATACTTAAGAG 600 **AAGAACACCATGACCACTCTGAAAGCGTACTTGTGCAATGCATGAATGAAATCCCATACC** 660 CCCACTCACACTAAGTAGAACCTCTTGAGGAACCGTAGAACAAAAATATGTTTTTGTTCG 720 **TAGTTCATGTTCTTGTTGJGGAGGGTAATAGCCTTAACCGACATAGACCATGTGAGATTA** 780 CATGGAATCCTGTATCCTGCCCCCATACCGAAAAGAGGTGTCCTACTTGCCTAAGGAAAA 840 **CTAAAGTGTAGCTAGGGGATCCCAACCAArCCTTGTAGTTCGACCACGACCTCAAAAGTA** 900 GGTATATGCATACGATCACTATGCTGAAAATGGGGACATAATTGAATATTTATCAACCTC 960 CACAAAGACTTTGGGGACCACCCATACGAACCTGAGTATCGCCTCTAAACAGAGATGCTA 1020 CAACCCTGCGCCTAGGGCAATCTCTAGCATAGTGCCCAACCTCGCCGCAATCAAAGAAAC 1080 CTTGATCACCCCAAGGTATAGGTAGAAGACTGTCTAATCCACCCTAACCTCCACTCCTA 1140 AAGTTCAAAGCGAGATCTGAATTTGTCAGCTAGACTGCCCCTAGGGATAATAAGCATGCT 1200 GACCTTTGTTTGAAAGCTCCTACCATGGAACTGAGAACCACTGTAGATACCCTGGAAGCA 1260 AGTCCTCTTATATCTACCCCACAGGCCTCACGATGGAGCTTCTCCATAGTACGAGTATGG 1320 TCAACTACATCTACGAAGGATCTATCTGCTTTCTCTTTAGGAGTTTCAACTTTCTTTCTT 1380 GTGTAGAATTGAATATTGAAGAAATCTCAAAAGAAATAAAAGATTTGATGATCTAATCAA 1440 ATAATAGTAGCTCTTCAATACTAGCCAAATCATTTGCAATCTTTTTCTTCTCTTCCCAAA 1500 TTATGTCTTTAGAAATTTTCACTTTCTATGGTGTATCAACTACCACCATATAATTATCGC 1560 ACATCCAGCCACTCTGAGTTGTAACAACTATACAATGACTCACATTACCTTCTTCAGCCT 1620 TCGAATTTTCCATAGTGTCGCTCAGAAGAGTGCCCTTTTGCCTTTGGTTATCAAGGCTAA 1680 CAGTTGGCTTAACTAACCTCTATTTGTTTAGTCGATGTGGATGAGAGTTTACCACTTAGT 1740 CTATGCTTGCTAGGTCACTATGTAGTTTTATACCATCATCTATAGATTAAAATTTTTAA 1800 GGACACAAGATAACATATCATCTATTTTGTGCTCATGGGGTCACTCACATCGAATGCTC 1860 AAAATTCTGGTGAGCACCTTGGGTGGAACATATGGATTGAAGCTACTATGGTTGAAGCCT 1920 TGATCCTAGTTGCCCTGGTTCCTATCTCACTAACCTATGTTCGCTAACTTACTCCCGA 1980 TTCCAACCTTAATTCCCTAGGCCTTGCTGCCAAAATCCCCGAGTGGAACCTTGATAGTTA 2040 GGTTGGGAACCTCTCAACTAATTGCTCACATAATGAGCCTCTTCTTCATACGACTAATAA 2100 ACTTCCTCATTTTTGTAGCCCATTATTAGAAGCCATAACATTCACCTCTTGGAATAGTGC 2160 ACCCATCACATCCTTTGCTAGGATTACGATCTATGTCATCAAGTATGTCATTTTTTCGTC 2220 **TTAGGCCTTTTCTCTGAGTATCTCATCCTTTGATAGCTTTCTGATTGAAGCATTGTCCAT** 2280 TACCTCAATATTTTTAGTGTGACATGCCCATGCCTTGCATGTCTTTGTTATGAATCAAG 2340 **TAGCGTGTTGGTCTCATTATAGGAATGCTTCACCAGTAAACCCTCTATTGTTGGC** 2400 CATATCTAAGGATCTGTAAAAGCTCTCTAGCAACACCCTATTTGATAATTTATAGTTTGA 2460 ACACTGAACCACCATAATTTTAATCATTAGCTAGTGTAACATCTCGCAACTAGAAAGAAC 2520 TAGAAGGCAGTTTAAAATCTGGAAATAGTTATTTTTGGAAAGTATAAGGAGATCTGGAAA 2580 ATTTTAAGCAAGTTAAAGTGAGTTTTTGGTCAACTTCAAACGACCATAACTCCTAGCTCA 2640 AGATGAGTTAGATGTATTTCCAGATATGGTTGGAAATTTTTTGGAAAGATCTTTCCAACA 2700 CCGCCAAGATTGCATGAATCTGAGTTTGTATGAGTGAGATACGCCTATTGGAATTGGA 2760 TGTACAAATAAGGAAATCTCCAATCCGGAUTTTGAAGGGTATTTCAATCTTTTCCTTAT 2820 CCAATTAATTAAATTCGTTTTAGTGAATTAATTAAGGGTCTAAACTGAACCTTGTCAGTT 2880 TTACGCTTTTGGAAAAGAGTTAGGGTTTTAGAGAAGAGGAAAGAAGAGGAAAAAAGAGAA 2940 GGAGAAGCAAGGAAATCGTCAAGTTCGTCGAGTTTAGCTTTGGATTTCGTCGAGGGTGA 3000 TCCCTACAAGGTATGTGAGTCTCACATAAAGTTGGTTTCATTCACCCATACACCAAACAT 3060 GTTTAGTTCAGCGAAATTCGTCCTAAAAGAGTTTGAAAGTTAATGTTTTTGACATGAATT 3120 C 3121

Appendix *C.* **Nucleotide sequence of EcoRI subclone pap7-10 a)** from **T3 end of** vector **MCS, and b) from T7 end of vector MCS (following page).**

 $\ddot{}$

GAATTCCCATTTTGTCCCTCTTCATTGATGGAGATACTAATTGGTGTTTCCTCTATTCTA 60 a) GAATTCCCATTTGTCCCTCTTCATTGATGGATACTAATTGGTGTTTCCTCTATTCTA 60
GTGCTGGTTTACAAATATCACTCTTTTTTTTCTACAATAAATGTTGCTCCTTTTCTCA 120 AATGACTCTGTTGCGGTAATTTAAGAGTAATGAAGCGACTTACTATTACAATTGCAAAGA 180 GTATATCTGGCCTTGTACAGGTCAATGTGATTTTTGAACAGATTGGAGTCAATCTTTTCT 240 CTATCATCTAACTTTGACAACTTTATTCCACTTTCCATTGGAATATTCATGAGGTTGCAA 300 TCGAACCTGTTGAACTTCAAAATCTCCTTTATATATCTTTCTTGAGATATGAAGATGCCT 360 TTCTCCATTTGCTTCACTTCTAAGCCCAAGTAATATGACATGAGACCTATGTCCGTCATC 420 TCGAACTCAAGAGACAGCTTTCTTGAAAGCTTCAAACAAACTTGGTTTATTAGTCCTAAG 480 CTGCTCAGACTATTATGGTGCCCCACCCGTGTCGACATGACATGGGTGTGGTGTGAGAT 540 CTTTACCGGATCTGGTCAGTAACCAAACTCGGCAGAGAAATTCGGGAGAGATAAAAACGA 600 **ATGCTAAAGGGAACTfGAAGAGGATGCAATGTCGGTTCCTTTTGCGGCCGGTTGGGATTG** 660 CGGCGCTTAATCAACAGGCAGCTTAGGGTACCGTCTAAGTTTCCATATGATGTTTCATAA 720 TGCAGACATTTTTATAACTCTAGTTTTAGATATTTGAATTATTATCCATACCCCTGAATA 780 ATATAATTTAAATCATGAAGGATCTGCATCCGTATTGGACACCCACACCAGAATCCGAGC 840 **AGTTTAGAGCTTACCAGATTTGCCCTTGTGATGTCGCATTGCTGTGTGTGTTTGTAAT** 900 AAGAAAGATGAGAGGTATAGTCTTCAAAATGTAGTTGATAGATTTACGCTGACCTTAATC 960 TACAGTGCAGTGGTTATATCATATATGTCACCTGAACAAGCAATGTCCACTTGTAACACG 1020 CCAAGATCTTTTATCCTTAATCAGTTCACAAGTCCAAATATGAGTTTTTTTGTTTACTAG 1080 ATTCACTGCATGTGTGTTGCACGTGTATGCCTAGTTTTGAGATTCATATAATCGTCCAAC 1140 TGTATCCATACTCGTGTTTAGAAAATTAGAGCACAATGATTCCAGATATACATATGCAGA 1200 TAAAAGAAAGCTACATCTGGTTCAATGGCAAAAGGTAATGATTGATAAGGAAGGAGGCTT 1260 CATAGGGTTACTCCTCCTTTAATTAAAAATCTCGAGTTTGAACTTGAGAATAAAGAAACT 1320 ATTGACTAGCTTACACTACATTCTCTACGCAGCTCGAATATAAGTTTGTGGAGTCAGTAT 1380 ATTTTTCATTATATACCAAATAATAAATAATAAATATGTATCCAACATTGAAAAATATATT 1440 ATAAATTGCATAATAAGATGGCAAAGTAAACTTGTCTTCGTGCAAAGCAGAAATGATTAG 1500 GCAACTTCCAACTCCAAGTCCATTTGTGACTTTGAAATTCTCTTCTTTTTTTCTAGAGAA 1560 AATGGTCTAAAACCCCTCCAATCTATACCCGAAATCTCAACTACACACTCCAACTTTACG 1620 GGTGTCCTATCATCCCCCTGAACTATTTAAAAATATAATAAATAACCCCTTAAAACGCAA 1580 **TAACCACTCATGAGACTTGTGGTGAAACACACACCTGACACGTGTTTTTCACCAATTATT** 1740 TTTTAAAATTATTTTTTCTCCTTCTTTTTTATACTTTCTTCCCCAATTACAACCCTAATT 1800 CTTTGTGCAAGTTTTGATTTTAAAAATATTGAGGGATTTGAATCTGACTTGGGTGATAAA 1860 **AGGCTTGGGAGAATTA** 1876

Appendix D. Nucleotide sequence of *EcoRVSalI* **subclone pap7-500-**

 \mathcal{L}^{\pm}

 $\ddot{}$

GTCGACTCTAGGCCTAAATGGCCATTTAGGTGACACTATA 40 **GAAGAGCTCGAGGATCAACTAGTCTATGCAAAAGGATTCA** 80 TCTAAAATGGATGACCCTTTTCTACCCATGGTGGCTAAAT 120 **GATTTATGGAGACTTGAGTTAATGTGAACTCGCATCCCTA** 160 **TATCGGTGCTCAATACTACTCCCAAAAATATAATATTAGC** 200 TCTTATGTTTAAAACATACTTCTTTTGTGATTTGAGATTA 240 TTGCTCAAAAACTTAGCTCAAAGGCTATCTTGGAAATCAA 280 AGTCTTCCCGTCTTGCTTAATTATGAAAGCATTTACTCTT 320 **TTTTGAAAACTAGCCCGAAGGCTCTTTGGAAATCGAAGTT** 360 TCCTTCCTTGTTTAAATGTGAAAACATTTTATACTCTTTG 400 GGAATACATAGTCCCCGTATGCTTTTGAAGAAATGAACTT 440 CAAGCTTTACTCTTTACTGAATTC 464

Appendix E. 5' to 3' sequences of oligonucleotides used as sequencing and PCR primers.

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$

 $\sim 10^6$

 $\ddot{}$

 \blacksquare

 $\ddot{}$

 ~ 10